UNC Workgroup Report At what stage is this document in the process? UNC 0871S: Facilitating IGTs with NTS Entry O1 Modification O2 Workgroup Report O3 Draft Modification Report O4 Final Modification Report

Purpose of Modification:

To clarify the treatment of energy entering the NTS after entering an IGT network.

Next Steps:

The Workgroup recommends that this Modification should be subject to Self-Governance
The Workgroup asks Panel to agree that this Self-Governance Modification should proceed to
consultation.

The Panel will consider this Workgroup Report on 18 July 2024. The Panel will consider the recommendations and determine the appropriate next steps.

Impacted Parties:

High: IGTs facilitating entry, Customers seeking to inject gas into an IGT

Low: National Gas

None: Suppliers, Shippers, Distribution Network Operators

Impacted Codes:

UNC

Any Contents questions? 1 **Summary** 3 Contact: Joint Office of Gas 2 3 **Governance Transporters** 3 Why Change? 4 **Code Specific Matters** 4 4 enquiries@gasgove rnance.co.uk 5 **Solution** 4 5 6 **Impacts & Other Considerations** 0121 288 2107 7 **Relevant Objectives** 7 Proposer: **Implementation** 8 8 **Graeme Hunter Barrow Shipping Legal Text** 8 9 10 Recommendations 8 ghunter@barrowshi 11 Appended Representations 8 pping.co.uk 07967 611235 Timetable Transporter: Modification timetable: **National Gas** Transmission (NGT) Pre-Modification Discussed 04 April 2024 **Date Modification Raised** 04 April 2024 Malcolm.Montgome New Modification to be considered by Panel 18 April 2024 ry@nationalgas.co First Workgroup Meeting 02 May 2024 m Workgroup Report to be presented to Panel 18 July 2024 07970 114 460 Draft Modification Report issued for consultation 19 July 2024 Systems Provider: Consultation Close-out for representations 09 August 2024 Xoserve Final Modification Report available for Panel 14 August 2024 20 Modification Panel decision 19 September 2024 UKLink@xoserve.c

om

1 Summary

What

The concept of gas entering the NTS after entering an IGT network is not covered by the UNC, leaving a potential for double counting if the gas is treated as entering the GB network twice.

Why

A number of developers are looking at injecting gas into potential IGT networks that would be connected to the NTS, creating flow from an IGT to the NTS.

How

It is proposed that the UNC is modified such that gas would be treated as entering the GB market where it enters an NTS connected IGT network and not when it subsequently enters the NTS.

2 Governance

Justification for Self-Governance

The modification is a technical change that:

- (i) is unlikely to have a material effect on:
- (aa) existing or future gas consumers; and
- (bb) competition in the shipping, transportation or supply of gas conveyed through pipes or any commercial activities connected with the shipping, transportation or supply of gas conveyed through pipes; and
- (cc) the operation of one or more pipe-line system(s); and
- (dd) matters relating to sustainable development, safety or security of supply, or the management of market or network emergencies; and
- (ee) the uniform network code governance procedures or the network code modification procedures; and
- (ii) is unlikely to discriminate between different classes of parties to the uniform network code/relevant gas transporters, gas shippers or DN operators.

Requested Next Steps

This Modification should be considered a non-material change and subject to Self-Governance.

Workgroup's Assessment

Workgroup's Assessment of Governance and whether the Modification meets/continues to meet the Self-Governance criteria.

Insert text here.

3 Why Change?

The UNC currently defines the Total System¹ as comprising the NTS and the Local Distribution Zones (LDZs), hence excluding iGT networks. This means that gas flowing from an iGT to the NTS is defined as entering the Total System notwithstanding the fact that it has already entered the GB gas network. Because of this technicality of how the Total System is defined in the UNC, under the prevailing UNC terms the point of delivery to the NTS would be treated as a new Aggregate System Entry Point (ASEP)2.

A number of potential projects are being developed where gas would be injected into an IGT connected to the NTS. There would also be potential for gas to exit the IGT to supply local gas users. The energy reaching the NTS would therefore be less than the energy injected – and there is potential for the flow to the NTS to be net entry or net exit.

Change is needed to ensure the energy is properly accounted for.

Code Specific Matters 4

Reference Documents

IGT172 - Provision for gas entry within the IGT UNC

Knowledge/Skills

Understanding of UNC and its development.

5 Solution

A simple principle is that gas should be accounted for when it enters and exits the GB gas network, and there should be no double counting. It is therefore proposed that any gas that is injected into an IGT, and hence this is the point at which it enters the GB network, that should be defined as gas entering the Total System. Hence when any gas reaches the NTS, it would already be within the Total System and so, as not entering the Total System, no ASEP would be created and there would be no risk of the gas being double counted.

No change is proposed to the existing UNC provisions regarding the interface between the IGT and NTS. Where gas moves between networks within the GB gas system, this is treated as exit rather than entry, and this principle would also apply for gas moving to the NTS from an IGT. That is, gas moving from a DNO to an IGT is subject to DNO exit requirements rather than IGT entry, and gas at NTS/DNO offtakes is treated as NTS Exit rather than DNO entry. The proposal that the gas moving to the NTS is not treated as NTS entry is consistent with this.

When there is net exit from the NTS to an IGT, this would be subject to the existing UNC requirements and no change is proposed to these terms.

While no UNC changes are required to recognise the flow of gas from an IGT to the NTS, bilateral connection agreements will be required as for any other IGT to NTS connection.

UNC 0871S

Workgroup Report

¹ TPD Section A1.1.1

² TPD Section A2.3

6 Impacts & Other Considerations

Does this Modification impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

No.

Consumer Impacts

Minor. This is a technical change to the definition of where gas enters the system in order to ensure it is fully accounted for when entering and exiting the GB network. However, if this means that additional gas enters the network, and particularly green gas, there would be marginal consumer benefits.

What is the current consumer experience and what would the new consumer experience be?

- 1. the common end consumer's experience of the issue the modification seeks to address; and
- 2. the experience of end consumers if this modification is not implemented.
- 3. how the end consumer experience will change with the introduction of the modification, setting out both positives and negatives.

Insert text here.

Impact of the change on Consumer Benefit Areas:		
Area	Identified impact	
Improved safety and reliability In principle, facilitating additional gas sources supports the reliable delivery of energy, but the impact would be marginal	Positive	
Lower bills than would otherwise be the case In principle, facilitating additional sources of gas should put downward pressure on prices, but any impact would be marginal	Positive	
Reduced environmental damage To the extent that additional green gas is injected and offsets the use of fossil gas, there would be a marginal benefit.	Positive	
Improved quality of service No impact expected	None	
Benefits for society as a whole To the extent that some additional consumers connect to the GB gas network, there would be a marginal benefit with the potential for cost reductions and job creation. Plus jobs would be created at new gas production facilities, and this would reduce energy imports.	Positive	

Performance Assurance Considerations

Joint Office of Gas Transporters

The requirements to measure and account for gas entering the GB network are well established and monitored, as are the requirements for any gas exiting at Meter points. With no change to Settlement processes, there is no need for additional monitoring.

Cross-Code Impacts

None. All the requirements for gas entering and exiting an IGT network are already covered, including within IGT172 – Provision for gas entry within the IGT UNC.

EU Code Impacts

None.

Central Systems Impacts

None.

Rough Order of Magnitude (ROM) Assessment

Not Required, no central system impacts.

Initial Representations

None Received.

Panel Questions

1. What commercial process applies at the interface between the IGT exit and NTS entry?

The Workgroup

2. Consider potential impacts on Shippers, for example metering tolerance error.

The Workgroup concurred that

3. Consider whether there are consequential impacts of this Modification

The Workgroup concluded that there were [no] consequential impacts because.....

4. Does the flow of gas from an IGT into the NTS comply with the existing UNC principles of "upstream" and "downstream" systems and parties?

The Workgroup agreed that

Workgroup Impact Assessment (Joint Office to complete)

Insert text here.

Reference Documents (Joint Office to complete)

Workgroup to consider if changes will be required to any Code Related Documents or Guidance Documents and whether such proposed modifications shall be submitted to the Uniform Network Code Committee and considered by the Uniform Network Committee or any relevant sub-committee where the Uniform Network Code Committee so decide by majority vote in accordance with the requirements set out in paragraph 12 of Section V of the UNC Transportation Principal Document

Insert text here.

7 Relevant Objectives

lm	Impact of the Modification on the Transporters' Relevant Objectives:		
Re	levant Objective	Identified impact	
a)	Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	None	
b)	Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of	None	
	(i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or		
	(ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.		
c)	Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	None	
d)	Securing of effective competition:	Positive	
	(i) between relevant shippers;		
	(ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or		
	(iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.		
e)	Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	None	
f)	Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.	Positive	
g)	Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	None	

Facilitating gas entry increases supply and hence the potential for facilitating effective competition among Shippers and Suppliers. Ensuring there are appropriate arrangements for all entry options facilitates competition between Gas Transporters.

Ensuring the UNC does not allow double counting and has provisions that facilitate all entry options promotes efficiency in the implementation of the Code.

Workgroup Assessment of Relevant Objectives

The Workgroup must provide an assessment of how the Relevant Objectives are furthered and respond to what the proposer has provided

Insert text here

The Workgroup agreed with the Proposer that this Modification would have a positive impact on relevant objectives d) and f), because ...

8 Implementation

As Self-Governance procedures are proposed, implementation could be sixteen business days after a Modification Panel decision to implement, subject to no Appeal being raised.

9 Legal Text

Legal text will be drawn up by the relevant Transporter at a time when the modification is sufficiently developed in line with the Legal Text Guidance Document.

Legal Text has been provided by National Gas Transmission and is [included below/published alongside this report].

Workgroup Assessment

Workgroup's Assessment of the proposed changes and how these meet the intent of the Solution.

The Workgroup has considered the Legal Text and is satisfied that it meets the intent of the Solution.

Text Commentary

Insert text here.

Text

Insert text here.

10 Recommendations

Workgroup's Recommendation to Panel

The Workgroup asks Panel to agree that this Self-Governance Modification should proceed to consultation.

11 Appended Representations

Initial Representations - None