

## Agenda

**UNC Workgroup 0812R**  
**Review of Alternatives to “Must Read” Arrangements**  
**10:00 Thursday 27 October 2022**  
**via Teams: 0203 443 9666, Conference ID 181 035 523#**  
[Join Microsoft Meeting](#)

*Please Note: This meeting will be considered during the Distribution Workgroup.  
 The Workgroup Report is due to be presented to the UNC Modification Panel by 20 April 2023.*

Item	Topic	Lead	Category
<b>1. Introduction and Status Review</b>			
1.1.	Approval of Minutes (22 September 2022)	Chair	Approval
1.2.	Approval of Late Papers		Approval
1.3.	Review of Outstanding Actions		Information
<b>2. Amended Modification</b>		Proposer (SM)	Information
<b>3. Issues and Questions from Panel</b>			
3.1.	Does the process utilised in the IGT UNC work as an alternative arrangement?	Chair	Discussion
<b>4. Development of Workgroup Report</b>		Chair	Discussion
<b>5. Next Steps</b>		Chair	Information
<b>6. Any Other Business</b>		Chair	Discussion
<b>7. Diary Planning</b>		Chair	Information

**Action Table (as at 22 September 2022)**

Action Ref	Meeting Date	Minute Ref	Action	Owner	Status Update
0109	22/09/22	2.0	<p>Workgroup participants to review the proposed solution options to take this Review forward:</p> <p>1) (Preferred solution) - Remove obligation on Transporters and let PAC manage any settlement risk which gives them the freedom to address the issue as they see fit;</p> <p>2) Remove obligation on Transporters and put in place specific obligations on Shippers should they breach their meter reading obligation;</p> <p>3) Replace the Transporter obligation in Code by arrangements for central provision of the service.</p> <p>Feedback to be provided to Joint Office <a href="mailto:enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk">enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk</a> and/or Richard Pomroy <a href="mailto:Richard.pomroy@wwutilities.co.uk">Richard.pomroy@wwutilities.co.uk</a>.</p>	Workgroup participants	Pending
0209	22/09/22	2.0	<p>Workgroup participants to consider if it is still appropriate for Transporters to provide the Must Read service:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If yes, please provide your reasoning.</li> <li>• If no, then who should provide it?</li> </ul>	Workgroup participants	Pending
0309	22/09/22	2.0	<p>Workgroup Chair (RH/EF) to ask PAC for evidence on the effectiveness of must reads and the effectiveness on settlement accuracy: (Is there a benefit or value in the must read service)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If must reads are successfully used, to what extent do they mitigate settlement risk?</li> <li>• Are Transporters the appropriate party to provide the service?</li> <li>• If they are not, who should provide the service?</li> </ul>	Workgroup Chair (RH/EF)	Pending