

TL informed the IGT UNC Workgroup of the action. A member wished to highlight that [IGT159 - Amendments to the Must Read Process](#) is a Shipper driven Modification rather than an IGT driven Modification. They added that in terms of IGTs and their use of the Must Read process, all parties have a different approach. Some IGTs are very invested and committed to it and do a lot, some do not do anything at all or only do something if it suits them, with other IGTs somewhere in the middle. Other members of the Workgroup echoed these views and agreed that all IGTs take on a very different commercial approach to must reads.

The Workgroup discussed comments made by the UNC Distribution Workgroup (UNC0812R) whereby members noted that the provisions under the IGT UNC have expanded. TL advised that while provisions in Code have expanded the process itself had become more rigged and is expected to be used less. A members responded, adding that in terms of what we have landed with for the IGT159 solution, the pot of must reads will technically be smaller following the implementation of IGT159 and there will be less must reads collected despite the additional provisions. The CDSP had some concern that the IGT159 solution was not fully understood by the Distribution Workgroup, as they were not involved in the development. There was focus on the fact that there were differences between the two approaches, however, the IGT159 solution will actually bring the IGT must read process more in line with the approach taken by Distribution Networks, for example the intent to exclude Smart and AMR meters. They felt that using the word “expanded” was not entirely clear.