

PARR Dashboards

10 October 2019

PAFA


Gemserv

2A.1 Estimated & Check Reads - Product Classes 1 & 2



Report measures the percentage of each shippers portfolio where estimated reads were provided. Count of each shippers portfolio where check reads were provided

PC1

Industry movement:

- ↓ 0.04% - Monthly change
- ↓ 0.20% - Annual change

Monthly changes:

- ↑ 0.83% Rome
- ↑ 1.20% Gitega
- ↑ 2.84% Philipsburg
- ↓ 0.36% Reykjavik
- ↓ 2.15% Thimphu
- ↓ 3.91% Saipan

PC2


Industry movement:

- ↑ 8.89% Monthly change
- ↓ 7.74% Annual change


Monthly changes:

- ↑ 17.02% Reykjavik
- ↑ 23.33% Ramallah
- ↑ 96.77% Roseau
- ↓ 1.41% Saipan
- ↓ 4.69% Thimphu
- ↓ 22.36% Philipsburg

2A.1 Percentage of Estimated Reads for PC1 & PC2



2A.1 Count of Check Reads Completed for PC1 and PC2




Observations:

- Estimated reads for PC2 has seen a significant decrease since November 2018 but remains well above UNC requirements.
- The number of check reads for PC2 has significantly increased since May 2019, which appears to have stabilised in recent months.


2A.1 Estimated & Check Reads - Product Classes 1 & 2




2A.1 PC1 Estimated Read Totals




2A.1 PC2 Estimated Read Totals



2A.1 PC1 Check Reads Total




2A.1 PC2 Check Read Totals




2A.1 Estimated & Check Reads - Product Classes 1 & 2



2A.1- 12 Month comparison (Average of PC1 Estimated Reads)



2A.1- 12 month comparison (Average of PC2 Estimated Reads)



2A.2 – No Meter Recorded

Report measures the percentage of each shippers portfolio where no meter recorded in the supply point register

PC1

Highest shippers:
Valletta **33.33%**

PC2

0% for product class


PC3

Highest shippers:
Praia **0.11%**
Gitega **0.08%**
Papeete **0.04%**

PC4

Highest shippers:
Monrovia **1.31%**
Pyongyang **0.49%**
Saipan **0.36%**

2A.2 Percentage of No Meter recorded by Product Class



Observations:

- Percentage of no meter recorded remains relatively low

2A.3 No Meter Recorded and data flows received



Report measures the percentage of each shippers portfolio where no meter recorded in the supply point register and data flows received

PC1 & PC2
0.0% for both product classes

PC3

Highest shippers:


- Praia 0.21%
- Roseau 0.16%
- Bishkek 0.01%
- Gitega 0.01%

PC4

Highest shippers:

- Valletta 2.15%
- Saipan 0.39%
- Roseau 0.58%

2A.3 No Meter recorded by Product Class and data flows received



2A.4- Shipper Transfer Read Performance

Report measures the percentage of Shipper portfolio of opening meters reads provided following confirmation

Industry movement:

- ↑ 0.33% Monthly change
- ↑ 4.46% 9-month change

Monthly changes:

- ↑ 29.17% Thimphu
- ↑ 38.89% Taipei
- ↑ 46.88% Malabo
- ↓ 23.46% Manama
- ↓ 23.13% Reykjavik
- ↓ 25.67% Philipsburg


Observations:

- Transfer read performance remains low and is significantly below the UNC obligation
- Average transfer read performance between October 2018 and July 2019 is 35.04%


Recommendations:

- Industry education on obligation to provide opening meter readings following confirmation.
- Industry engagement on the difficulties providing opening meter reading following confirmation.

2A.4 Percentage of opening meter reads provided by industry total



2A.4- 9 Month Comparison of Shipper Transfer Read Performance




2A.5- Read Performance



Report measures the percentage of Shipper portfolio submitting reads in May 2019

2A.5 Percentage of Product Class read submissions



PC1

- 0% Riyadh
- 0% Bamako
- 0% Jakarta

PC2

- 0% Warsaw
- 0% Ramallah
- 0% Roseau


PC3

- 0% Marigot
- 0% Djibouti
- 0% Warsaw

PC4

- 0% Suva
- 0% Bern
- 0% Tripoli
- 0% Nairobi
- 0% Bishkek
- 0% Castries

2A.5 Percentage of LSP/SSP read submission




Observations:

- PC2 and PC3 incorporates the values from the new reports
- Although read performance is higher, it is still lower than the UNC obligation for all product classes


2A.5- Read Performance




2A.5 Distribution of percentage of PC1 sites providing meter reads




2A.5 Distribution of percentage of PC3 sites providing meter reads



2A.5 Distribution of percentage of PC2 sites providing meter reads




2A.5 Distribution of percentage of PC4 sites providing meter reads



2A.6 Meter Read Validity Monitoring

Report measures the percentage of Shipper portfolio where reads submitted failed validation.

2A.6 Percentage of meter read validity by Product Class - August 2019





Product Class	Reads where logic check failed as a % of submitted readings	MRE01030	MRE01026	MRE01027	MRE01028	MRE01029
1	Gitega – 17.15%					
2	Reykjavik – 45.83%	Thimphu – 4.86%	Papeete – 2.53%	Washington – 0.58%		Luanda – 5.26%
3	Warsaw – 200%	Monaco – 6.14%	Brazzaville – 4.12%	Brazzaville – 4.28%		Monaco – 55.70%
4	Manama – 83.01%	Gitega – 3.32%	Bissau – 50.00%	Ramallah – 6.32%		Warsaw – 6.67%

2A.7 No Reads Received for 1, 2, 3 or 4 years



Report measures the percentage of Shipper portfolio in the specified AQ band without a meter reading for the specified period.


PC1 & PC2 Limited or no data both product classes



2A.7 No Reads Received for 1, 2, 3 or 4 years




PC3 - EUC03



■ Sep-18 ■ Oct-18 ■ Nov-18 ■ Dec-18 ■ Jan-19 ■ Feb-19 ■ Mar-19
■ Apr-19 ■ May-19 ■ Jun-19 ■ Jul-19 ■ Aug-19 ■ Sep-19

PC3 - EUC04




■ Sep-18 ■ Oct-18 ■ Nov-18 ■ Dec-18 ■ Jan-19 ■ Feb-19 ■ Mar-19
■ Apr-19 ■ May-19 ■ Jun-19 ■ Jul-19 ■ Aug-19 ■ Sep-19


2A.7 No Reads Received for 1, 2, 3 or 4 years




PC4 - EUC01




PC4 - EUC03



PC4 - EUC02




PC4 - EUC04




2A.7 No Reads Received for 1, 2, 3 or 4 years




PC4 - EUC05



PC4 - EUC07




PC4 - EUC06



2A.7 No Reads Received for 1, 2, 3 or 4 years




PC4 - EUC08



■ Sep-18 ■ Oct-18 ■ Nov-18 ■ Dec-18 ■ Jan-19 ■ Feb-19 ■ Mar-19
■ Apr-19 ■ May-19 ■ Jun-19 ■ Jul-19 ■ Aug-19 ■ Sep-19

PC4 - EUC09



■ Sep-18 ■ Oct-18 ■ Nov-18 ■ Dec-18 ■ Jan-19 ■ Feb-19 ■ Mar-19
■ Apr-19 ■ May-19 ■ Jun-19 ■ Jul-19 ■ Aug-19 ■ Sep-19

2A.8 AQ Correction by Reason Code

Report measures the count of Shipper Portfolio of MPRNs where AQ Correction process Used

Changes in total number of AQ corrections used

Reason Code 01- Confirmed Theft

↓ 6 Monthly Change
↓ 4 Annual Change

Reason Code 02- Change in Consumer Plant

↑ 145 Monthly Change
↓ 412 Annual Change

Reason Code 03- Commencement of New Business


↑ 6 Monthly Change
↓ 2713 Annual Change

Reason Code 04- Tolerance Change

↓ 58 Monthly Change
↓ 1653 Annual Change

Observations:

- Reductions in AQ corrections have been seen across all reason codes, with Reason code 03 seeing the greatest decrease since August 2018.
- Change in consumer plant saw a sharp increase in May 2019, which appeared to be a one month occurrence.



2A.9 Standard CF AQ > 732,000 kWh

Report measures the count of sites with an AQ>732,000 kWh, but having a standard correct factor

EUC04

- ↓ 743 Monthly Change
- ↓ 2242 Annual Change

EUC07

- ↓ 2 Monthly Change
- ↓ 22 Annual Change

EUC05

- ↓ 68 Monthly Change
- ↓ 247 Annual Change

EUC08


- ↓ 3 Monthly Change
- ↓ 2 Annual Change

EUC06

- ↓ 5 Monthly Change
- ↓ 70 Annual Change

EUC09

- ↑ 1 Monthly Change
- No Annual Change



Observations:

- EUC04 continue to track significantly above the industry average and a much higher use of standard correction factors compared to other EUC bands
- The use of standard correction factors have continued to come down since September 2018, though this has risen slightly in the last few months, and will continued to be monitored over the coming months.

2A.10 Replaced Meter Reads

Report measures the count of meter reading replacements which results in reconciliation adjustments.

EUC01

↑ 149283 Monthly Change
 ↑ 153894 Annual Change

EUC02

↓ 240 Monthly Change
 ↓ 7523 Annual Change

EUC03

↓ 24 Monthly Change
 ↓ 1323 Annual Change

EUC04

↓ 3 Monthly Change
 ↓ 3559 Annual Change

EUC09

No monthly or annual changes

EUC05

↓ 7 Monthly Change
 ↓ 831 Annual Change

EUC06

↓ 6 Monthly Change
 ↑ 16 Annual Change


EUC07

↑ 1 Monthly Change
 ↑ 3 Annual Change

EUC08

↑ 3 Monthly Change
 ↑ 4 Annual Change

2A.10 Count of meter reading replaced by EUC



Observations:

- EUC01 has seen an upward trend in replaced meter reads in August 2019 due to one shipper in particular seeing a sharp increase in replaced meter reads.

- This is currently being investigated

Appendix – PARR report details



Sr No	Topic	Details	Split By	12 Rolling Months	Format	e.g. For Nov Report	Condition	Comments
1	2A - Estimated & Check Reads used for Gas Allocation, and consumption adjustments for Product Classes 1 & 2	<p>Need to count everyday portfolio and count mprn where read has been estimated and no actual present on the same day .</p> <p>Check Read : For check reads we would need to check , as of reporting day how many class 1 & 2 MPRNs are present with DRE/AMR.</p> <p>For those MPRNs we have site visit read <=14 months and no subsequent site visit read . Those are outstanding ones per shipper.</p>	Class	Annual	Percentage	September	M-2	
2	2A - No Meter Recorded in the Supply Point Register	<p>AQ Band wise , AQ band based on report run day . Class wise different table And AQ Band. Exclude NTS connected Sites & Telemeterd.</p> <p>Exiting SHPK - Topic - Confirmed No Asset Report</p>	Class	Annual	Count & B - Percentage	Nov	M	
3	No Meter Recorded in the Supply Point Register and data flows received by Xoserve	<p>Same as above but additionally need to check if for above MPRNs any Data Flow Means - > Asset Update , C & D Store & Reads received in that month</p>	Class	Annual	Count & B - Percentage	Additional MPRNs		
4	2A - Shipper Transfer Read Performance	<p>M-2 is considered – Open</p> <p>OPNT_REQ_FOLL_CON</p> <p>OPNT_RECEIVED_10</p>	Class	Annual	Percentage	September	M-2	
5	Read Performance	<p>As per frequency we need to check if we have received the read e.g. month read site will check if we have received the read in month .Class and shipper transfer are excluded .6 Monthly read site need to consider yearly ,it is not in UNC. It will be like MUR logic</p> <p>M-2 , exclude sites where class changes happened in M-2 , shipper changes</p>	Class		Percentage	September	M-2	

Appendix – PARR report details



Sr No	Topic	Details	Split By	12 Rolling Months	Format	e.g. For Nov Report	Condition	Comments
6	2A - Meter Read Validity Monitoring	<p>MRE01026 :Reading breached the lower Outer tolerance. MRE01027 :Reading breached the Upper Outer tolerance. MRE01028 :Reading breached the lower Inner tolerance value and no override flag provided. MRE01029 :Reading breached the upper Inner tolerance value and no override flag provided. MRE01030 :Override tolerance passed and override flag provided</p> <p>We can build this from DUK_ARSR , by checking failed reads . DUK_READ = We can get how many successfull reads received based on Status =U . Failed once are with status =F</p>	Reason Codes		Percentage	October	M-1	
7	No reads received for 1,2,3 or 4 years (excludeds estimated	<p>Per class table , per AQ Band ,Need to ignore estimates for all classed Logic is similar to existing SHPK Logic - NO_READ_2Y_3Y_B73200 Here we would need to create 4 counts No reads received for 1 , 2 , 3 , 4 years separtely as per layout</p>	AQ Band	Annual	Percentage	Nov	M	
8	2A - AQ Corrections	<p>AQ correction by reason code : Switch Type = 50 , Switch View = 50 , Switch status = LI Reason code per table , Reason code is new field added in ISU BW - DS OUC_SWTDOC Switch Document new field added in DS - ZZ_AQ_REASON</p>	AQ Band	Annual	count	October	M-1	
9	2A - Standard Correction Factors for sites with AQ > 732, MWH	<p>Standard correction factor by AQ Band Count of meter points where replacement reads received by AQ band ,only for class 3& 4 ,</p>	AQ Band	Annual		Nov	M	Report should only include AQs above 732000. Currently including >=732000
10	2A - Replaced Meter Reads	<p>Replaced meter reads are identified with DUK_READ where read reason = R , Upload Status = U , we would need to add AQ_Band either in DUK_READ or consider while processing</p>	AQ Band	Annual		October	M-1	

PAFA@Gemserv.com

