

PARR AQ reporting - Schedule 2B.11 (number to be confirmed by PAFA)				
			existing report	notes
Report 1	percentage portfolio calculated in month	<p>Monthly non-cumulative</p> <p>By shipper</p> <p>by Class, including MRF for class 4 (6 monthly and annually read treated as annually read)</p> <p>Percentage meter points calculated by AQ band</p> <p>include industry total</p> <p>reporting to 2 decimal places</p> <p>EXCLUDES NTS METER POINTS</p>	<p>existing reporting is for class 4 meter points only, this extends to all not cut by class (so no MRF either for class)</p> <p>broken down by EUC currently (but would expect to get AQ band from existing)</p> <p>would need totaling for industry</p> <p>not required to be by LDZ</p>	<p>will report against who is registered on the MPRN the day the report is run? OR run based on the registered user as at certain date each month??</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - only for Live registered Meter Points - Will it include Twin stream, SSMP - make the MPRN supporting data available to issue to shipper (think about BI tool for this) <p>QUESTION TO PAC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Do we run as of X date of month and hold or provide data as it recorded on the day report runs (BW one day behind) - supporting data availability (restrictions on amount of data that can be pulled)
Report 2	Percentage portfolio increased in month	<p>Monthly non-cumulative</p> <p>By shipper</p> <p>by Class, including MRF for class 4</p> <p>Percentage meter points calculated by AQ band</p> <p>include industry total</p> <p>reporting to 2 decimal places</p> <p>EXCLUDES NTS METER POINTS</p>	<p>not cut by class (so no MRF either for class)</p> <p>broken down by EUC currently (but would expect to get AQ band from existing)</p> <p>would need totaling for industry</p> <p>not required to be by LDZ</p>	
Report 3	Percentage portfolio decreased in month	<p>Monthly non-cumulative</p> <p>By shipper</p> <p>by Class, including MRF for class 4</p> <p>Percentage meter points calculated by AQ band</p> <p>include industry total</p> <p>EXCLUDES NTS METER POINTS</p>	<p>not cut by class (so no MRF either for class)</p> <p>broken down by EUC currently (but would expect to get AQ band from existing)</p> <p>would need totaling for industry</p> <p>not required to be by LDZ</p>	
Report 4	Percentage of portfolio with AQ calculation 1 month, 4 months, 12 months, 24 months, 36 months plus	<p>Monthly non-cumulative</p> <p>By shipper</p> <p>by Class, including MRF for class 4</p> <p>Percentage meter points calculated by AQ band</p> <p>include industry total</p> <p>EXCLUDES NTS METER POINTS</p>	<p>no existing reports issued externally but currently have internal report IP1066 that may meet the criteria</p>	<p>this is based on the percentage calculated v's portfolio registered at that time</p> <p>PAC MEETING</p> <p>only go back to Nexus go live date??</p>

Report 5	total percentage portfolio calculated by month (12 months rolling)	requires retrospective reporting to give a 12 month view - can we just go back to Oct 18 when AQ transparency reports were first produced	existing reports can be utilised	
Report 6	Total percentage AQ increased by month (12 months rolling)	requires retrospective reporting to give a 12 month view - can we just go back to Oct 18 when AQ transparency reports were first produced	existing reports can be utilised	
Report 7	Total percentage AQ Decreased by month (12 months rolling)	requires retrospective reporting to give a 12 month view - can we just go back to Oct 18 when AQ transparency reports were first produced	existing reports can be utilised	
Report 8	Count of failure to calculate by reason code in month	Shipper short code split by rejection code	not in transparency reports but is available via other AQ reporting, but not currently broken down shipper short code	