

**Appendix 1 – Performance Assurance: Risk Template** Please complete the template with as much information as possible that to aid the registration and initial investigation of the proposed risk. All fields are mandatory unless otherwise specified. Please refer to the guidance notes.

<b>Date</b>	18/03/2019	<b>Raised by (include contact details)</b>	John Welch <a href="mailto:john.welch@npower.com">john.welch@npower.com</a> 07557 170816			
<b>There is a risk that... (Risk Description)</b>	There is a risk that problems with AMR data provision could and are distorting settlement accuracy.					
<b>Because of... (Cause)</b>	Poor read submission performance in class 2 and 3, in addition to low rates of check read submission, suggest that there could be underlying inaccuracy of equipment, software and processes related to AMR.					
<b>Leading to... (consequence)</b>	Incorrect settlement energy being potentially recorded at D+5, and not corrected for some time if at all.					
<b>Risk Scores</b>		<b>Throughput (1-5)</b>	<b>Probability (1-5)</b>	<b>Control (Not Effective, Partially Effective, Effective)</b>	<b>Gross Risk</b>	<b>Total (Net Risk)</b>
	<b>Current</b>	3	3	Not effective	9	9
	<b>Target</b>					
	<b>Inherent</b>					
<b>Current Controls Identified - Explanation</b>	Aside from class 2 reports, no industry reporting exists to monitor this at present.		<b>Any additional information / Supporting information (optional)</b>	Further reporting and analysis required to assess risk level. It is proposed that a review is undertaken by CDSP, PAFA, and/or other independent party to assess the accuracy and robustness of AMR data provision.		