

**UNC Workgroup 0855 Minutes  
Settlement Adjustments for Supply Meter Points impacted by the  
Central Switching System P1 Incident**

**Friday 08 September 2023**

**via Microsoft Teams**

**Attendees**

Bob Fletcher (Chair)	(BF)	Joint Office
Keri Bunnell (Secretary)	(KB)	Joint Office
Steve Mulinganie	(SM)	SEFE
James Doyle	(JD)	Outfox the Market
Andy Clasper	(AC)	Cadent
Barbara Allsopp	(BA)	E.ON Next
Charlotte Gilbert	(CG)	BU UK
David Addison	(DA)	Xoserve
David Mitchell	(DM)	SGN
Louise Hellyer	(LH)	Total
Mark Jones	(MJ)	SSE
Mark Weston	(MW)	NPower
Sally Hardman	(SH)	SGN
Sharon Dudley	(SD)	Xoserve
Tom Stuart	(TSu)	WWU

*The Workgroup Report is due to be presented at the UNC Modification Panel by 21 September 2023.*

*This Workgroup meeting will be considered quorate provided at least two Transporter and two Shipper User representatives are present.*

*Please note these minutes do not replicate/include detailed content provided within the presentation slides, therefore it is recommended that the published presentation material is reviewed in conjunction with these minutes. Copies of all papers are available at: <https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0855/080923>*

**1. Introduction and Status Review**

Bob Fletcher (BF) introduced the meeting.

**1.1 Approval of Minutes (31 August 2023)**

The minutes from the previous meeting were approved.

**1.2 Approval of Late Papers**

Late papers were approved.

**1.3 Review of Outstanding Actions**

**0801:** Xoserve (DA) to provide a view of the impacted AQs for intergroup transfers

**Update:** David Addison (DA) noted that of the 84k sites impacted, around 59k were intragroup transfers. There are two large parties relating to the majority of these transfers. This highlights that there are a lot of meter points although most would low AQ volumes.

S Mulinganie (SM) noted there were mixed views in terms of parties wanting to utilising the suppression process for intragroups settlements to occur. At least one party wanted to use intragroup suppression. DA has written to all parties subsequently as one party indicated they were impacted by intragroup transfers, but no response has been received. **Closed**

**0802:** Xoserve (DA) to provide a view on the number of impacted domestic premises for gaining Suppliers.

**Update:** DA explained that this has been harder to do as accessing data has been difficult. He has been prioritising doing registrations. He has looked at meter points and based on AQ (reasonable domestic AQ 11,000kWh), has used a proxy for domestic sites of 12,000kWh. This would suggest 22,000 non-domestic sites – which suggests the logic is failing as a proportion of the impacted sites. He has also done a split based on 16,000kWh as well, still resulting in a non-domestic figure in the 20,000's. Therefore, there has been no conclusion to the action. **Closed**

## 2. Rough Order of Magnitude (ROM)

DA provided an overview of the ROM (available at <https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0855/080923>)

DA recommended the Workgroup opt for the recommended least cost option, without automated email option at £70-120k.

SM asked if it was clear whether the automated email system was a one-off. It is a lot of extra money, but would it be available next time?

DA responded that yes it would be available if another incident occurred. However, it is DA's view was if there was a large scale failure by CSS, then this process would not be used as the electricity model should be adopted and this should feature as a change in future to ensure alignment.

BF asked if this ROM sits on top of the Modification 0836S solution ROM. DA confirmed that no, it replaces it. DA confirmed that ongoing costs will supersede any quoted for Modification 0836S.

SM queried why there is a difference in the Maintain The Business (MTB) costs, particularly when the P1 incident is resolved. DA explained that this is because new system processes are effectively being added and there is a need to support maintenance of the code. However, there is potential for downward pressure on these costs.

DA presented 4 changes relating to missing messages – detail is available in the document Associated CDSP Change Proposals for Missing Messages published [here](#).

SM queried whether the Workgroup wanted to include any of this detail on missing messages in the Modification Report. DA said perhaps it could be flagged as a paper in the Workgroup Report in section 6. If it goes in the actual report it may cause distraction or confusion as a number of the Change Proposals are not directly resulting from this Modification. BF noted a link to it could be included in the Workgroup Report. (Subsequently included under item 6) Reference Documents.

## 3. Amended Modification

DA provided an overview of the Modification's amendments, going through change by change.

Modification 0855 v3.0 (change marked 04 September 2023)  
<https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0855>

BR9 – DA confirmed they are writing out to shippers concerning approximately 1300 sites to ask for confirmation whether they need to be included in the process set out in the Modification. These sites have been confirmed as provided by CSS, however confirmation has still not reached Centrals Systems.

No further questions or comments were received from the Workgroup regarding the changes.

## 4. Amended Legal Text

The Workgroup considered the amended Legal Text as presented by DA, agreeing it aligned to the Modification's Solution.

Modification 0855 Legal Text (07 September 2023)

<https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0855/080923>

Square brackets to be removed and a typo was spotted by A Clasper (AC) and noted by DA for correction.

No further comments or questions were received from the Workgroup regarding the amended text.

## 5. Conclusion of the Workgroup Report

The Workgroup considered the draft Workgroup Report recording its view on the Modification.

BF updated the Workgroup Report in collaboration with the Workgroup.

J Doyle (JD), noted that the Workgroup Report included his views that the impacts highlighted by the Modification could be seen as immaterial due to the number of intragroup transfers which mitigated any settlement risk. He noted the workgroup view that the changes proposed should be considered material and the modification is not suitable for Self-Governance.

DA noted that there is a need for an IGT Modification and will follow up on this.

BF confirmed that the Workgroup was still looking to submit to the Panel on 21<sup>st</sup> September 2023.

JD asked for clarity on the SAR process. To help understand how the S-step solution fits within the scope. DA confirmed the process described is a REC process and therefore outside of UNC. He offered to meet with JD offline to discuss the issue.

After making the necessary changes, BF confirmed that the Workgroup Report can now be published for the September Panel.

## 6. Next Steps

Submit Workgroup Report to the 21 September 2023 UNC Modification Panel.

Discuss at the Panel and decide whether to go to consultation.

DA and JD to catch-up offline about the SAR process.

## 7. Any Other Business

None raised.

## 8. Diary Planning

Further details of planned meetings are available at: [www.gasgovernance.co.uk/events-calendar/month](http://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/events-calendar/month)

No further meetings planned.

0855 Workgroup Action Table						
Action Ref	Meeting Date	Minute Ref	Action	Reporting Month	Owner	Status Update
0801	31/08/23	1.0	Xoserve (DA) to provide a view of the impacted AQs for intergroup transfers	September 2023	CDSP (DA)	Closed
0802	31/08/23	1.0	Xoserve (DA) to provide a view on the number of impacted domestic premises for gaining Suppliers.	September 2023	CDSP (DA)	Closed