

Representation - Draft Modification Report 0535

Implementation of Non Effective Days to enable Annual AQ Review (independent of Nexus transition)

Responses invited by: **12 June 2015**

Representative:	David Mitchell
Organisation:	Scotland and Southern Gas Networks
Date of Representation:	12 th June 2015
Support or oppose implementation?	Support
Relevant Objective:	d) Positive f) Positive

Reason for support/opposition: Please summarise (in one paragraph) the key reason(s)

SGN supports this modification as it is required to facilitate the implementation of non-effective days within the UNC that will allow the annual AQ review process to take place. Due to the size of the data used to undertake the annual AQ review non effective days are required to give Xoserve sufficient time to populate UK Link systems. The AQ review process will improve the data that is held in UK Link systems that underpins the energy balancing process, therefore this modification will have a positive impact on industry processes.

Implementation: *What lead-time do you wish to see prior to implementation and why?*

SGN supports the implementation date of the 12th July.

Impacts and Costs: *What analysis, development and ongoing costs would you face?*

None identified.

Legal Text: *Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the Solution?*

SGN is satisfied with the legal text.

Are there any errors or omissions in this Modification Report that you think should be taken into account? *Include details of any impacts/costs to your organisation that are directly related to this.*

None identified.

Please provide below any additional analysis or information to support your representation

N/A.