

Representation - Draft Modification Report 0535

Implementation of Non Effective Days to enable Annual AQ Review (independent of Nexus transition)

Responses invited by: **12 June 2015**

Representative:	Colette Baldwin
Organisation:	E.ON
Date of Representation:	29 th May 2015
Support or oppose implementation?	Qualified Support
Relevant Objective:	d) None f) Positive – this is to achieve the efficient updating of central systems following the AQ Review

Reason for support/opposition: Please summarise (in one paragraph) the key reason(s)

We recognise the need for the central system process to be updated following the AQ Review and the additional impact that the WALP adds to workload this year specifically, however non-effective days are never desirable particularly bearing in mind the time pressure around the CoS processes that faster switching requirements introduced.

The updates in the past have been achieved using the normal data latency provisions within the code, and we would like reassurance that by having the two non-effective days, Xoserve wouldn't seek to use the additional data latency provisions on top of these non-effective days.

Implementation: What lead-time do you wish to see prior to implementation and why?

N/A

Impacts and Costs: What analysis, development and ongoing costs would you face?

Initial assessments approximate costs to be between £5k and £10k.

Legal Text: Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the Solution?

Yes

Are there any errors or omissions in this Modification Report that you think should be taken into account? *Include details of any impacts/costs to your organisation that are directly related to this.*

No

Please provide below any additional analysis or information to support your representation

Our initial analysis indicates around 4k customers might be impacted by these non-effective dates.