

Representation

Draft Modification Report

0479S - Inclusion of email as a valid UNC communication

Consultation close out date: 05 February 2015
Respond to: enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk
Organisation: EDF Energy
Representative: Natasha Ranatunga
Date of Representation: 03 February 2015

Do you support or oppose implementation?

Comments

Please summarise (in one paragraph) the key reason(s) for your support/opposition.

We do support the principal of using email as a valid code communication within the UNC in order to issue general communication to all parties simultaneously.

Email may be considered easier to use, reliable, faster and efficient and a number of the proposed changes to the UK Link Manual are for general, non-User specific reports or processes. We believe that email should be considered as an appropriate form of communication in these cases.

However we have concerns where data or information to be sent relates to a specific User or site. Email as a form of communication will only be secure if the Gas Transporters and Xoserve ensure that the systems and processes are in place to manage the secure transmission of data.

UNC 0479S does not place an obligation on the Gas Transporter or Xoserve to ensure that there are appropriate safeguards to prevent one User's data or information from being issued in error to another User.

Are there any new or additional issues that you believe should be recorded in the Modification Report?

None

Self Governance Statement:

Do you agree with the Modification Panel's decision that this should be a self-governance modification?

n/a

Relevant Objectives:

How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?

Impacts and Costs:

What analysis, development and ongoing costs would you face if this modification were implemented?

n/a

Implementation:

What lead-time would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why?

n/a

Legal Text:

Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the modification?

n/a

Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?

Please provide any additional comments, supporting analysis, or other information that that you believe should be taken into account or you wish to emphasise.

None