

Representation

Draft Modification Report

0447S: Exception to Code Cut Off Date for energy Balancing debt Recovery purposes.

Consultation close out date: 15 April 2013
Respond to: enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk
Organisation: National Grid Transmission
Representative: Beverley Viney
Date of Representation: 03 April 2013

Do you support or oppose implementation?

Support

Please summarise (in one paragraph) the key reason(s) for your support/opposition.

As proposer of this modification we support the introduction of an exception to Code Cut Off Date to apply only where an Invoice Item or Invoice Amount relates to invoicing of recovered energy balancing debts pursuant to X.4.

Are there any new or additional issues that you believe should be recorded in the Modification Report?

None

Self Governance Statement:

Do you agree with the Modification Panel's decision that this should be a self-governance modification?

We proposed this modification as self-governance and support the Panel's decision that this should be treated as a self-governance modification.

Relevant Objectives:

How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?

We believe that implementation of the Modification would better facilitate improvements in correctly allocating recovered debt which reduces gas community risk to socialised bad debt and therefore this proposal benefits Relevant Objective D "Securing of effective competition".

Impacts and Costs:

What analysis, development and ongoing costs would you face if this modification were implemented?

None

0447S
Representation
21 March 2013

Version 1.0

Page 1 of 2

© 2013 all rights reserved

Implementation:

What lead-time would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why?

This could be implemented immediately.

Legal Text:

Are you satisfied that the legal text [and the proposed ACS (see www.gasgovernance.co.uk/proposedACS)] will deliver the intent of the modification?

Yes

Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?

Please provide any additional comments, supporting analysis, or other information that that you believe should be taken into account or you wish to emphasise.

No