

Representation

Draft Modification Report

0422: Creating the permission to release data to Meter Asset Provider organisations

Consultation close out date: 08 February 2013
Respond to: enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk
Organisation: RWE npower
Representative: Amie Charalambous
Date of Representation: 08 February 2013

Do you support or oppose implementation?

Qualified Support

Please summarise (in one paragraph) the key reason(s) for your support/opposition.

RWE npower supports the intent of the modification. The implementation of this mod will assist with the MAP's, the means to track their assets and assist overall in the process of data quality issues.

We would welcome improved efficiency of meter provision arrangements that should lead to lower overall metering costs, this in turn would help to reduce industry costs and hopefully improve services to consumers.

We would anticipate that any DPA issues are fully resolved before data is released by Xoserve and would like re-assurance that the agreements between Xoserve and MAPs are transparent.

Are there any new or additional issues that you believe should be recorded in the Modification Report?

Although we support this modification, recognition should be given to the work carried out at the SPAA where relationships have been created through RGMA to allow a relationship between MAMs & MAPs.

The following SPAA changes, CP 12/210 – Mandate sending of MKPRT record containing the supplier in an ONUPD INSTL flow from MAM to MAP and CP 12/211 – Mandate sending of MKPRT record containing the supplier in ONUPD APPNT flow from MAM to MAP, were implemented to assist MAPs.

If this relationship is to work then the correct way of obtaining this data would be for the Map to approach the MAM. An enduring solution would be an adherence by the Suppliers/ MAMs to existing industry obligations.

0422
Representation
08 February 2013

Version 1.0

Page 1 of 2

© 2013 all rights reserved

Relevant Objectives:

How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?

Implementation of this modification would impact objective D - Securing of effective competition

Impacts and Costs:

What analysis, development and ongoing costs would you face if this modification were implemented?

None

Implementation:

What lead-time would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why?

Legal Text:

Are you satisfied that the legal text [and the proposed ACS (see www.gasgovernance.co.uk/proposedACS)] will deliver the intent of the modification?

Yes

Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?

Please provide any additional comments, supporting analysis, or other information that that you believe should be taken into account or you wish to emphasise.

No