

Representation

Draft Modification Report

0416S: Extending the data provision permissions created by Modification 0279 regarding historic asset and read data provision

Consultation close out date: 07 September 2012
Respond to: enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk
Organisation: WWU
Representative: Robert Cameron-Higgs
Date of Representation: 07 September 2012

Do you support or oppose implementation?

Support

Please summarise (in one paragraph) the key reason(s) for your support/opposition.

The more frequent provision of the data items that this proposal facilitates, will allow all shippers to have more up to date information on which to base (for example) its customers AQ. This will lead to more accurate transportation charges thereby improving customer invoicing.

Are there any new or additional issues that you believe should be recorded in the Modification Report?

No

Self Governance Statement:

Do you agree with the Modification Panel's decision that this should be a self-governance modification?

Yes

Relevant Objectives:

How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?

The improved data accuracy in meter read and asset data that will be more frequently available to all shippers will secure effective competition between relevant shippers and between relevant suppliers as there will be an improved level of certainty in data items which directly affect transportation charges.

Impacts and Costs:

What analysis, development and ongoing costs would you face if this modification were implemented?

No costs are anticipated. Non-Code User pays services will be created if this proposal is implemented, however this is outside of the UNC process.

Implementation:

What lead-time would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why?

Standard lead time for Self Governance Modifications should be sufficient for this proposal

Legal Text:

Are you satisfied that the legal text [and the proposed ACS (see www.gasgovernance.co.uk/proposedACS)] will deliver the intent of the modification?

Yes

Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?

Please provide any additional comments, supporting analysis, or other information that you believe should be taken into account or you wish to emphasise.

No