

Representation

Draft Modification Report

0362 - Use of 'notional Meter Readings' and 'Agreed Opening Meter Readings' for Individual CSEP Reconciliation

Consultation close out date: 19 May 2011
Respond to: enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk
Organisation: Scottish and Southern Energy plc
Representative: Mark Jones
Date of Representation: 19 May 2011

Do you support or oppose implementation?

Support

Please summarise (in one paragraph) the key reason(s) for your support/opposition.

There are a significant number of CSEP Reconciliations outstanding and historically they have not been reconciled in the numbers that they should have been leading to a significant number already being closed out unreconciled. This modification will enable more reconciliations to be performed and thus improve the accuracy of the allocation of gas between shippers. It also will correct the anomaly that exists in that these reading types are allowed to be used for reconciliation purposes in the large transporters' UNC.

Are there any new or additional issues that you believe should be recorded in the Modification Report?

No

Self Governance Statement:

Do you agree with the Modification Panel's decision that this should be a self-governance modification?

Yes

Relevant Objectives:

How would implementation of this modification impact the relevant objectives?

0362

Representation

19 May 2011

Version 1.0

Page 1 of 2

© 2011 all rights reserved

We agree with the proposer that this modification would impact Relevant Objective (d).

Impacts and Costs:

What analysis, development and ongoing costs would you face if this modification were implemented?

None identified.

Implementation:

What lead-time would you wish to see prior to this modification being implemented, and why?

In line with self governance modifications.

Legal Text:

Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the modification?

Yes.

Is there anything further you wish to be taken into account?

Please provide any additional comments, supporting analysis, or other information that that you believe should be taken into account or you wish to emphasise.

No.