

NOVEMBER 22 - GEMSERV

PARR DASHBOARDS

15TH NOVEMBER 2022



Gemserv

MAKING THINGS THAT MATTER WORK BETTER

2A.1 ESTIMATED & CHECK READS - PRODUCT CLASSES 1 & 2

Report measures the average percentage across all shippers portfolio in each market, where estimated reads were provided. Count of each Shippers portfolio where check reads were not provided

PC1

Industry movement:

↑ 0.96% - Monthly change
 ↓ 7.86% - Annual change

Monthly changes:

↑ 3.33% Luanda ↓ 3.23% Mogadishu
 ↑ 5.10% Brazzaville ↓ 4.54% Washington
 ↑ 33.63% Monaco ↓ 9.68% Reykjavik

PC2

Industry movement:

↑ 4.49% Monthly change
 ↓ 3.02% Annual change


Monthly changes:

↑ 6.83% Athens ↓ 0.59% Rome
 ↑ 8.74% Manama ↓ 2.38% Brazzaville
 ↑ 29.03% Lisbon ↓ 3.23% Washington


Observations:

- In the year, use of estimated reads for PC1 and PC2 has been declining but has seen a slight rise in volume in recent months.
- The number of uncompleted check reads in PC1 have remained the same over the course of the year whilst the volume of uncompleted check reads in PC2 has increased in recent months.




2A.1 Percentage of Estimated Reads for PC1 & PC2



2A.1 Count of Check Reads not completed for PC1 and PC2




2A.1 ESTIMATED & CHECK READS - PRODUCT CLASSES 1 & 2




2A.1 ESTIMATED & CHECK READS - PRODUCT CLASSES 1 & 2



2A.1- 12 Month comparison (Average of PC1 Estimated Reads)



2A.1- 12 month comparison (Average of PC2 Estimated Reads)



2A.2 – NO METER RECORDED



Report measures the percentage of each shippers portfolio where no meter recorded in the supply point register

PC1

0% for all shippers

PC2

0% for all shippers

PC3

Highest shippers:


Mogadishu 0.15%
Praia 0.23%

PC4

Highest shippers:

Prague 21.43%
Reykjavik 25.00%
Luxembourg 42.11%

2A.2 Percentage of No Meter recorded by Product Class



Observations:

- The percentage of no meter recorded in PC4 continues to decline from the highs seen in 2020.
- The PAC, PAFA and CDSP at Xoserve are working with the relevant Shippers in this area who are driving the increase in the number of no meters recorded.

2A.3 NO METER RECORDED AND DATA FLOWS RECEIVED



Report measures the percentage of each shippers portfolio where no meter recorded in the supply point register and data flows received

PC1

0% for all shippers

PC2

0% for all shippers

PC3

Highest shippers:


Dili **0.01%**
Praia **0.03%**

PC4

Highest shippers:

Saipan **2.78%**
Oranjestad **3.36%**

2A.3 No Meter recorded by Product Class and data flows received



Observations:

- The percentage of no meter recorded in PC4 continues to decline from the highs seen in 2020.
- The PAC, PAFA and CDSP at Xoserve are working with the relevant Shippers in this area who are driving the increase in the number of no meters recorded.

2A.4 - SHIPPER TRANSFER READ PERFORMANCE



Report measures the percentage of Shipper portfolio of opening meters reads provided following confirmation

Industry movement:

↑ 0.05% Monthly change

↓ 13.62% Annual change

2A.4 Percentage of opening meter reads provided by industry total



Observations:

- The number of transfer reads being submitted within the relevant window are still well below the requirements of the UNC.
- Transfer read performance has been declining for the past few months reaching its lowest point in 12 months.
- The PAFA will continue to monitor this area.


2A.5 - READ PERFORMANCE




Report measures the average percentage of Shipper portfolio submitting reads in September 2022.

PC4 Monthly and Annually read measures the average percentage of Shipper portfolio submitting reads in August 2022.

2A.5 Percentage of Product Class read submissions



2A.5 Industry average percentage of Product Class read submissions



Poorest performing Shippers:

PC1

0% Taipei
49.18% Monaco
84.83% Thimphu

PC2

0% Tehran
56.99% Thimphu
63.52% Saipan

PC3

0% Avarua
0% Paramaribo
0% Sarajevo

PC4 (Monthly)

0% Apia
0% Berlin
0% Gibraltar
0% Luxembourg
0% Maputo
0% Monaco
0% Reykjavik
0% Vienna


PC4 (Annual)

0% Avarua
0% Caracas
0% Kingston
0% Skopje


2A.5 - READ PERFORMANCE (PC1)



Read Performance - PC1




2A.5 Distribution of percentage of PC1 sites providing meter reads




2A.5 - READ PERFORMANCE (PC2)



Read Performance - PC2




2A.5 Distribution of percentage of PC2 sites providing meter reads




2A.5 - READ PERFORMANCE (PC3)




Read Performance - PC3




2A.5 Distribution of percentage of PC3 sites providing meter reads




2A.5 - READ PERFORMANCE (PC4)



2A.5 Distribution of read performance for PC4 Monthly sites



2A.5 Distribution of percentage of PC4 Annual sites providing meter reads






2A.6 METER READ VALIDITY MONITORING

Report measures the percentage of Shipper portfolio where reads submitted failed validation

2A.6 Industry total percentage of meter read validity failure by Product Class - September 2022







Product Class	Reads where logic check* failed as a % of submitted readings	MRE01030	MRE01026	MRE1027	MRE01028	MRE01029
1	Warsaw – 24.90%					
2	Philipsburg – 31.06%	Thimphu – 1.19%	Saipan – 1.73%	Philipsburg – 0.90%		Athens – 3.74%
3	Monaco – 31.98%	Khartoum – 19.58%	Alofi – 0.01%	Khartoum – 9.79%		Khartoum – 29.63%
4	Papeete – 77.81%	Yerevan – 31.68%	Ramallah – 12.50%	Monaco – 66.67%		Khartoum – 25.00%

2A.7 NO READS RECEIVED FOR 1, 2, 3 OR 4 YEARS – PRODUCT CLASS 4




Report measures the percentage of Shipper portfolio in the specified AQ band without a meter reading for the specified period.




2A.7 NO READS RECEIVED FOR 1, 2, 3 OR 4 YEARS - PRODUCT CLASS 4




PC4 - EUC05




PC4 - EUC06



PC4 - EUC07



PC4 - EUC08



2A.9 STANDARD CF AQ > 732,000 KWH



Report measures the count of sites with an AQ>732,000 kWh, but having a standard correct factor

EUC04

↓ 97 Monthly Change
↓ 119 Annual Change

EUC07

↓ 1 Monthly Change
↑ 6 Annual Change

EUC05

↓ 7 Monthly Change
↑ 45 Annual Change

EUC08


No Monthly Change
↓ 2 Annual Change

EUC06

↓ 2 Monthly Change
↑ 8 Annual Change

EUC09

↑ 3 Monthly Change
↓ 7 Annual Change



Observations:

- EUC04 continues to have a significantly higher number of standard correction factors incorrectly used compared to other EUC bands.
- Work with the CDSP continues in the area, but PAC are aware of the implementation of UNC681S and the potential impacts on the reports.
- Monitoring will continue.

2A.10 REPLACED METER READ



Report measures the count of meter reading replacements which results in reconciliation adjustments

EUC01

↑ 586 Monthly Change
↓ 1662 Annual Change

EUC02

↓ 400 Monthly Change
↑ 110 Annual Change

EUC03

↓ 101 Monthly Change
↑ 48 Annual Change

EUC04

↓ 25 Monthly Change
↑ 29 Annual Change

EUC05

↑ 5 Monthly Change
↑ 16 Annual Change

EUC06

↑ 4 Monthly Change
↑ 3 Annual Change

EUC07

↓ 2 Monthly Change
No Annual Change


EUC08

↑ 2 Monthly Change
↓ 1 Annual Change

EUC09

No Monthly Change
or Annual change

2A.10 Count of meter reading replaced by EUC





Observations:

- EUC01 generally has the highest number of meter replacements due to the number of sites in this category. Read replacements have been declining are at a similar level they were 12 months ago.
- EUC02 has seen a sharp increase since June 2022 which has declined in the last two months. This is driven by one Shipper which is currently being investigated.



2A.11 SITES ABOVE CLASS 1 THRESHOLD NOT IN CLASS 1

The report measures the number of sites meeting or approaching or have reached the criteria for re-confirmation as Class 1 as set out in UNC G2.3.15b



Observations:


- Number of sites above the class 1 threshold has decreased over the past few months, with the majority of these sitting in Product Class 4.

2A.12A AQ READ PERFORMANCE – PC4 MONTHLY NO SMART




The report measures the percentage of PC4 monthly read AQ for sites without a SMART meter with an AQ >= 293000 kWh.

2A.12 AQ at Risk - Monthly no SMART industry average



2A.12a Distribution of AQ read performance for PC4 Monthly sites no SMART - 12 month average



Observations:


- Industry average remains below target of 90% - a number of Shippers are operating below target (based on 12 month average).
- The step change decline in performance from November 2021 onwards is due to the correct logic being applied on the AQ Read Performance reports within the Data Discovery Platform (DDP).




2A.12B AQ READ PERFORMANCE – PC4 MONTHLY SMART

The report measures the percentage of monthly read AQ for sites <293,000 with SMART/AMR

2A.12 AQ at Risk - Monthly SMART industry average



2A.12b Distribution of AQ read performance for PC4 Monthly sites <293,000kWh SMART - 12 month average



Observations:


- The step change decline in performance from November 2021 onwards is due to the correct logic being applied on the AQ Read Performance reports within the Data Discovery Platform (DDP).
- With the correct logic being deployed, the PAFA will work with Shippers on improving their performance in this area.
 - A number of Shippers remain on performance improvement plans.




2A.12C AQ READ PERFORMANCE – PC4 ANNUAL

The report measures the percentage of annually read AQ for sites <293,000 with no SMART/AMR

2A.12 AQ at Risk - Annual read industry average



2A.12c Distribution of AQ read performance for PC4 Annual sites -12 month average



Observations:


- Performance remains relatively unchanged following the updated logic being applied to the reports.

2A.13 AQ AT RISK



The report measures the percentage of Annual Quantity within each product class without a meter reading for the required duration as set out in the UNC.

2A.13 AQ at Risk - Product Class split



Observations:

- The majority of the AQ at risk sits within PC4.
 - There has been an increase in recent months to the AQ >293,000 kWh category.
 - PAFA continue to work with poorly performing Shippers to submit meter readings and reduce the volume of AQ at Risk.

Shippers with the highest percentage of AQ at Risk within their portfolio in September 2022:

Product Class 1

Rome **3.67%**
 Thimphu **3.67%**
 Canberra **6.22%**
 Valletta **7.57%**

Product Class 4 – AQ>293000

Djibouti **100%**
 Gibraltar **100%**
 Tallinn **100%**

Product Class 2

Thimphu **18.67%**
 Saipan **35.37%**
 Rome **35.51%**

Product Class 4 – Monthly SMART

Apia **100%**
 Djibouti **100%**
 Gibraltar **100%**
 Luxembourg **100%**
 Prague **100%**
 Vienna **100%**

Product Class 3

Philipsburg **14.71%**
 Kampala **24.80%**
 Paramaribo **100%**

Product Class 4 - Annual

Bamako **100%**
 Luxembourg **100%**
 Majuro **100%**
 Reykjavik **100%**

APPENDIX – PARR REPORT DETAILS



Report ID	Topic	Details	Split By	12 Rolling Months	Report Format	e.g. for Nov Report	Condition
2A.1	Estimated & Check Reads	Estimated Reads: The percentage of Shippers portfolio where actual reads were not provided. Excludes NTS and Telemetered sites Check Reads: The number of MPRNS which have not had a site visit read for <=13 months	Class	Annual	Percentage	October	M-1
2A.2	No Meter Recorded on the Supply Point Register	The percentage of a Shipper's portfolio where no meter is fitted at the supply point for more than 6 months.	Class	Annual	Percentage	October	M-1
2A.3	No Meter Recorded on the Supply Point Register and Data Flows Received	The percentage of a Shipper's portfolio where no meter is fitted at the supply point for more than 6 months but data flows are received	Class	Annual	Percentage	October	M-1
2A.4	Shipper Transfer Read Performance	Shipper provided an opening meter read within D+10 of transfer of ownership	Total	Annual	Percentage	October	M-1
2A.5	Read Performance	Shipper to provide read as per frequency for each Product Class. Class and Shipper transfer are excluded. 6 monthly are considered as annual sites.	Class	Monthly	Percentage	October/ September (PC4 only)	M-1/M-2 (PC4)
2A.6	Meter Read Validity Monitoring	Percentage of Shippers portfolio which failed meter read validation MRE01026: Reading Breached lower outer tolerance MRE01027: Reading Breached upper outer tolerance MRE01028: Reading Breached lower inner tolerance and no override flag provided MRE01029: Reading Breached upper outer tolerance and no override flag provided MRE01030: Override tolerance passed and no override flag provided	Class	Monthly	Percentage	October	M-1

APPENDIX – PARR REPORT DETAILS



Report ID	Topic	Details	Split By	12 Rolling Months	Report Format	e.g. for Nov Report	Condition
2A.7	No read for 1,2,3 or 4 years	Percentage of Shipper portfolio in the specified EUC band which has not received a read for the specified period. Estimates are not counted	EUC Band and Class	Annual	Percentage	October	M-1
2A.8	AQ Corrections by reason code	Count of MPRNs on each Shippers portfolio where the AQ correction process was used.	Reason code	Annual	Count	October	M-1
2A.9	Standard Correction Factors	Count of sites with an AQ>732,000 kWh which have used a standard correction factor instead of using a site specific correction factor as per the requirements	EUC Band	Annual	Count	October	M-1
2A.10	Replaced Meter Reads	Count of sites which have replaced a meter read (actual meter reading with another actual meter read), with an updated AQ for the MPRN	EUC Band	Annual	Count	October	M-1
2A.11a	Sites above the Class 1 threshold which are not in Class 1	Reports on all sites with an Annual Quantity over the mandatory Daily Metered threshold which are not in Class 1 as a count and as a total AQ. Separated between those that have fully met the UNC G2.3.15b criteria, and those that have not yet met them.	Current Class	Annual	Count and sum of AQ	Nov	M
2A.11b	Count of sites reclassified to Class 1 by the Shipper and CDSP	Compares the number of qualifying sites which have been moved to Class 1 by the Shipper and by the CDSP each calendar month.	Shipper v CDSP	Annual	Count and sum of AQ	Oct	M-1

APPENDIX – PARR REPORT DETAILS



Report ID	Topic	Details	Split By	12 Rolling Months	Report Format	e.g. for Nov Report	Condition
2A.12	Class 4 read submission performance	<p>Assesses performance against the Class 4 meter read performance, expressed as a percentage of total AQ in that Shipper's ownership. Targeting larger AQ sites would aid settlement by ensuring that more energy is reconciled more quickly.</p> <p>Sites are excluded if there was a change of Shipper or where an "operational" Smart or Advanced meter was fitted for the first time in the calendar month.</p> <p>Sub-divided by Meter reading obligations, a = Monthly due to AQ, b = Smart/AMR fitted c = non-Monthly</p>	Meter reading obligation	Annual	Percentage Read	Oct	M-1
2A.13	Breakdown of AQ overdue for a Meter Reading	<p>Reports on the total AQ by Shipper which is overdue for a meter reading.</p> <p>"Overdue" for the purposes of this report is UNC obligation plus 2 or 3 months, i.e.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Class 1, 2, 3 - no read for three months - Class 4 monthly read sites - no read for three months - Class 4 non-monthly read sites - no read for 15 months 	Meter reading obligation	Current and prior month only	Percentage overdue	Oct	M-1



GEMSERV

PAFA@GEMSERV.COM
