UNC Workgroup 0754R Minutes Investigate Advanced Analytic Options to improve NDM Demand Modelling

Tuesday 05 October 2021 via Microsoft Teams

Attendees,

Loraine O'Shaughnessy (Chair) Maitrayee Bhowmick-Jewkes (Secretary)	(LOS) (MBJ)	Joint Office Joint Office		
Cosmin Popovici	(CP)	Totaleneries Gas & Power		
Dan Stenson	(DS)	British Gas		
Fiona Cottam	(FC)	Correla on behalf of Xoserve		
Joseph Lloyd	(JL)	Correla on behalf of Xoserve		
Katherine Uzzell	(KU)	SSE		
Kundai Matiringe	(KM)	BUUK		
Mark Perry	(MP)	Correla on behalf of Xoserve		
Paul O'Toole	(PT)	Northern Gas Networks		
Penny Griffiths	(PG)	Correla on behalf of Xoserve		
Sanjeev Loi	(SL)	Cadent		
Sarah Palmer	(SP)	E.ON		
Steve Mulinganie	(SM)	Gazprom		

Copies of all papers are available at: https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0754/051021

The Workgroup Report is due to be presented at the UNC Modification Panel by 18 November 2021.

1.0 Introduction and Status Review

Loraine O'Shaughnessy (LOS) welcomed all to the Workgroup.

1.1. Approval of Minutes (07 July 2021)

The minutes from the previous meeting were approved.

1.2. Approval of Late Papers

No late papers submitted.

1.3. Review of Outstanding Actions

0303: Workgroup to consider the UIG taskforce recommendations during Workgroup Development (https://www.xoserve.com/services/issue-management/unidentified-gas-uig/#task-force-findings-etc).

Update: Ongoing Requirement. LOS advised workgroup that this is an ongoing action and is a fundamental piece of work and encouraged participants to review the content of these recommendations. **Carried Forward.**

1.4. Workgroup Extension

LOS advised the Workgroup that Correla had requested at the last meeting that the Workgroup be extended for six months to May 2022, noting that it will not be possible to complete all of the analysis needed to cover Areas 1 to 3 (identified by the Review Group as

priority items) before the Workgroup Report is due to be submitted to the UNC Modification Panel in November 2021.

Steve Mulinganie (SM) the Proposer of the Request, supported Correla's request to extend the Workgroup however, suggested that it should be extended for twelve months noting that it would be better to seek for a longer extension to allow sufficient time to complete the analysis and if completed earlier, to submit the Workgroup Report early, than to ask for a further extension if the work was not complete by May 2022 due to BAU obligations through the DESC Sub-committee workgroup.

The Workgroup accepted this suggestion and LOS noted the Joint Office would raise the request to extend the Workgroup for 12 months at the October UNC Modification Panel. LOS asked if an interim report would benefit Panel, however SM advised that this may not be available to provide if analysis was not completed.

SM confirmed that he would request a Workgroup extension for 12 months and the Joint Office to would raise this request at the October Panel.

New Action 1001: Joint Office (LOS) to request a 12 month extension of the Workgroup at the October UNC Modification Panel on behalf of Proposer.

2.0 Approach to Analysis

Jo Lloyd (JL) provided a brief background of this Workgroup and a recap of the key discussion points from the previous meetings.

For a full detailed update, please refer to the published slides on the meeting page.

Area 1: Trial alternative approaches to deriving SND_t

Approach to Analysis:

JL presented an overview of the current approach to analysis to derive the SND_t and the development cycle, including progress made and challenges faced so far.

For a full detailed update, please refer to the published slides on the meeting page.

JL noted that the focus so far had been to calculate the SND_t and $WSEN_t$ and a high-level decision had been made before commencing modelling to reduce the amount of data being processed. LOS noted that SND_t and $WSEN_t$ could be added to the Glossary in the slide pack.

JL added that some of the data elements that were reviewed during the approach phase and insight gained from them have been captured.

Progression of Modelling:

Penny Griffiths (PG) presented an overview on modelling progression.

For a full detailed update, please refer to the published slides on the meeting page.

SM asked how the modelling compared to the current arrangements.

PG clarified that the modelling could not be benchmarked against the current model as they were using the best machine learning outcomes, but it was not comparable.

Mark Perry (MP) noted that the chart showing the progression of models highlight the machine learning and linear regression approach and would be better in relation to modelling data. He emphasised that this was just an overview.

SM accepted the clarification and advised that it would be beneficial to see a comparison of the modelling being developed against the current process. LOS reconfirmed that the modelling development presented by the CDSP (Central Data Service Provider) was highlighting the various modelling approaches and these would be refined in the future to be more specific and would then be able to produce more accurate data.

Area 1 Deliverables:

JL advised that the key deliverables from Area 1 is the provision of ALP, DAF and Indicative Peak Load (IPL) Factor, presenting a table summarising the success in producing these deliverables.

JL presented examples of an ALP produced with machine learning (Gradient Boosted with Months and Seasonal Solar Radiation), where the newly calculated ALP differs from the published ALP. Also examples of models showing Gradient Boosted Machine Learning against the current Gas Year 2020 ALP and Gradient Boosted vs Neural Network ALP were presented.

For a full detailed update, please refer to the published slides on the meeting page.

JL advised that all the models were being given a fair chance and noted that the neural network can be quite positive.

SM asked why the results between the different models were so varied and whether these differences can be resolved.

JL advised that the CDSP were investigating this. MP added the CDSP had asked the third-party contractors who provided their analysis to review this and identify any errors.

SM asked if the contractors could be asked to do the work. MP noted that if the contractors were tasked to do the work, they would have to be paid. SM accepted this and noted that accessing the knowledge they had would be beneficial and it would also provide the CDSP with support in carrying out this analysis.

The Workgroup discussed the best way to proceed with this. SM suggested it would be most efficient to employ the contractors to carry out the analysis.

New Action 1002: CDSP (JL/MP) to investigate and update the Workgroup on neural networks to identify costs in obtaining data already produced by independent assessors under the UIG Taskforce

Challenges:

JL presented a table highlighting the challenges captured during the Model Development phase.

For a full detailed update, please refer to the published slides on the meeting page.

SM noted that the outputs from the model development were not matching up with the current process and asked how this would be resolved.

MP advised that machine learning used to develop the models was using a sample and a much larger data set would be required for the outputs to be reconciled.

JL added that at present, predicting over 12 months was difficult, but predicting short term modelling was more accurate.

Sarah Palmer (SP) noted that the ALPs highlighted in these slides were quite spiky and asked if this was because it was produced using a small sample.

JL clarified that the figures identified in the slides were in the purest form and needed further refinement, noting that once the analysis was complete and the data had been refined, it would be worth reviewing whether the figures were still spiky or were uniform.

LOS asked when developing these models, whether the COVID-19 period would have an impact on them.

JL confirmed that the COVID-19 period had been excluded to avoid any uncertainty as in establishing the methodology it was important to ensure it was pure and not impacted by any irregularities and noted that the main period of significant COVID-19 impact was after the 23 March 2020 which was excluded.

Conclusion:

In addition to the above, JL noted in conclusion that:

- Adding calendar months and an element of ranked Solar Radiation into the input data improved the results, and
- Gradient Boosting has produced promising results.

3.0 Approach Document

This was captured in section 2.0.

4.0 Model Development

This was captured in section 2.0.

5.0 Next Steps

JL noted, the focus of the next Workgroup would be on:

- Delivering DAFs and Load Factors: These are fundamental as they present a risk to not being able to deliver a Machine Learning solution
- Improvement to models: Including further investigation into previously visited methodology such as Neural Networks
- To understand how to describe the model principles and the metrics for assessing them.

LOS added that although the Workgroup was to be extended, the impacts and costs of implementing this Modification should be considered for inclusion in the Workgroup Report.

SM agreed with this suggestion and noted than against each recommendation being put forward, the costs and impacts on Shipper and CDSP systems needed to be identified and considered. SM also suggested compiling a list of questions around each recommendation to enable implementation. SM noted in-particular the addition of calendar months to the modelling data should be included as a question for consideration.

SM acknowledged that further investigation and analysis was required before these questions could be posed.

SP asked if there were any 'quick wins' for the Workgroup. SM supported this and asked if the CDSP could identify any potential quick wins which the Workgroup could progress. MP noted this could be reviewed at the next Workgroup.

6.0 Any Other Business

None.

7.0 Diary Planning

Further details of planned meetings are available at: www.gasgovernance.co.uk/events-calendar/month

Workgroup meetings will take place as follows:

Joint Office of Gas Transporters

Time / Date	Venue	Programme
10.00 am Tuesday 30 November 2021	Microsoft Teams	Delivering DAF and Load FactorsImprovement to Models
10.00 am Tuesday 25 January 2022	TBC	TBC
10.00 am Thursday 22 March 2022	TBC	TBC

Action Table (as at 05 October 2021)

Action Ref	Meeting Date	Minute Ref	Action	Owner	Target Date	Status Update
0303	23/03/21	3.0	Workgroup to consider the UIG taskforce recommendations during Workgroup Development (https://www.xoserve.com/services/issue-management/unidentified-gas-uig/#task-force-findings-etc).	All	Ongoing Requirement	Ongoing
1001	05/10/21	1.4	Joint Office (LOS) to request a 12 month extension of the Workgroup at the October UNC Modification Panel on behalf of Proposer.		November 2021	Pending
1002	05/10/21	2.0	CDSP (JL/MP) to investigate and update the Workgroup on neural networks to identify costs in obtaining data already produced by independent assessors under the UIG Taskforce.		November 2021	Pending