

UNC Distribution Workgroup Minutes
Wednesday 03 May 2023
via Microsoft Teams

Attendees		
Bob Fletcher (Chair)	(BF)	Joint Office
Helen Bennett (Secretary)	(HB)	Joint Office
Aleksandra Cebo	(ACe)	EDF
Ben Mulcahy	(BM)	Joint Office
Charlotte Gilbert	(CG)	BU-UK
Clare Manning	(CM)	E.ON Next
Ellie Rogers	(ER)	CDSP
Dan Fittock	(DF)	Corona Energy
Dan Stenson	(DS)	Brook Green Trading
Dan Wilkinson	(DW)	EDF Energy
David Mitchell	(DMi)	SGN
Gurvinder Dosanjh	(GD)	Cadent
Fiona Cottam	(FC)	CDSP
Harry Hailwood	(HH)	Brook Green Trading
James Lomax	(JL)	Cornwall Insight
Kevin Clark	(KC)	Utilita
Mark Jones	(MJ)	SSE
Oorlagh Chapman	(OC)	Centrica
Paul O'Toole	(PO)	Northern Gas Networks
Tom Stuart	(TSt)	Wales & West Utilities

Copies of all papers are available at: www.gasgovernance.co.uk/dist/030523

Please note these minutes do not replicate/include detailed content provided within the presentation slides, therefore it is recommended that the published presentation material is reviewed in conjunction with these minutes. Copies of all papers are available at: www.gasgovernance.co.uk/dist/030523

1. Introduction and Status Review

Bob Fletcher (BF) welcomed everyone to the meeting and gave a brief overview of the scheduled items for discussion.

2. Workgroups

2.1. 0812R - Establishing/Amending a Gas Vacant Site Process

(Report to Panel 21 September 2023)

<https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0812>

2.2. 0825 - Removal of the remaining Retrospective Asset, Address and Supply Point (RAASP) elements of the Retrospective Adjustment arrangements put in place under Modification 0434

(Report to Panel 15 June 2023)

<https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0825>

**2.3. 0831 - Allocation of LDZ UIG to Shippers Based on a Straight Throughput Method
0831A - Allocation of LDZ UIG to Shippers (Class 3 and 4) Based on a Straight Throughput Method**

(Report to Panel 21 September 2023)

<https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0831>

3. Any Other Business

None

4. Diary Planning

Further details of planned meetings are available at: www.gasgovernance.co.uk/events-calendar/month

Time / Date	Paper Publication Deadline	Venue	Programme
Thursday 10:00 25 May 2023	5pm 16 May 2023	Microsoft Teams	Standard Agenda including any Modification Workgroups relating to Distribution Workgroup
Thursday 10:00 22 June 2023	5pm 13 June 2023	Microsoft Teams	Standard Agenda including any Modification Workgroups relating to Distribution Workgroup
Thursday 10:00 27 July 2023	5pm 18 July 2023	Microsoft Teams	Standard Agenda including any Modification Workgroups relating to Distribution Workgroup
Thursday 10:00 24 August 2023	5pm 15 August 2023	Microsoft Teams	Standard Agenda including any Modification Workgroups relating to Distribution Workgroup
Thursday 10:00 28 September 2023	5pm 19 September 2023	Microsoft Teams	Standard Agenda including any Modification Workgroups relating to Distribution Workgroup
Thursday 10:00 26 October 2023	5pm 17 October 2023	Microsoft Teams	Standard Agenda including any Modification Workgroups relating to Distribution Workgroup
Thursday 10:00 23 November 2023	5pm 14 November 2023	Microsoft Teams	Standard Agenda including any Modification Workgroups relating to Distribution Workgroup
Monday 10:00 11 December 2023	5pm 30 November 2023	Microsoft Teams	Standard Agenda including any Modification Workgroups relating to Distribution Workgroup

UNC Workgroup 0812R Minutes
Review of Alternatives to “Must Read” Arrangements
Wednesday 03 May 2023
via Microsoft Teams

Attendees		
Bob Fletcher (Chair)	(BF)	Joint Office
Helen Bennett (Secretary)	(HB)	Joint Office
Alex Nunnington	(AN)	Xoserve
Ben Mulcahy	(BM)	Joint Office
Charlotte Gilbert	(CG)	BU-UK
Clare Manning	(CM)	E.ON Next
Dan Stenson	(DS)	Brook Green Trading
David Mitchell	(DM)	SGN
Gurvinder Dosanjh	(GD)	Cadent
Ellie Rogers	(ER)	Xoserve
Harry Hailwood	(HH)	Brook Green Trading
James Lomax	(JL)	Cornwall Insight
Martin Attwood	(MA)	Xoserve
Paul O’Toole	(PO)	Northern Gas Networks
Pete Ratledge	(PR)	Gemserv (PAFA)
Richard Pomroy	(RP)	Wales & West Utilities
Tom Stuart	(TSt)	Wales & West Utilities
Apologies		
Steve Mulinganie	(SM)	SEFE
Tracey Saunders	(TS)	Northern Gas Networks

The Workgroup Report is due to be presented at the UNC Modification Panel by 21 September 2023.

Please note these minutes do not replicate/include detailed content provided within the material published, therefore it is recommended that the published material is reviewed in conjunction with these minutes.

Copies of all papers are available at: <https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0812/030523>

1.0 Introduction and Status Review

1.1. Approval of Minutes (23 March 2023)

The minutes from the meeting held on 23 March 2023 were approved.

1.2. Approval of Late Papers

There were no late papers.

1.3. Review of Outstanding Actions

Action 0301: Proposer (RP) to provide a possible solution for Option 3.

Update: Richard Pomroy (RP) expressed some doubt over whether the statement that Performance Assurance Committee (PAC) supported Option 3 was the way forward, as having reviewed the PAC minutes and had a discussion with a PAC member present at the time, RP had the understanding that PAC had not really arrived at a firm consensus to support Option 3. He went on to agree that PAC had agreed that Transporters had no dependencies on the Must-Read process, so removing the obligations from them was logical as this is a Shipper settlement issue.

On this understanding, RP had produced a sketch of how a potential Option 3 solution might look for the purpose of initiating workgroup discussion. He described how it identified two potential approaches:

- Option 3(a), in which the CDSP procures and manages a Must Read service in much the same manner as each of the DNOs do currently; or
- Option 3(b) in which the CDSP procures a Must Read service to address specific instances of Shippers failing to meet meter read obligations.

RP commented that the latter option, 3(b) seemed much more complicated, and with an even greater volume uncertainty than the current methodology, which would make procurement difficult as potential providers struggled to understand volume expectations. As such, RP's view was that if Option 3 was to be progressed then 3(a) would be the only workable means of delivery.

Ellie Rogers (ER) agreed with RP's view and expressed the expectation that 3(b) would prove more expensive and likely to be less responsive in terms of parties responding to a tender request.

RP asked if the workgroup shared this view to which Pete Ratledge (PR) responded that he too agreed, noting it's a similar approach to the current service, and how Option 3(b) would be more complicated in nature. He felt that PAC would probably hold a similar view.

RP noted Parties would need to contribute to the cost of the service provision, even if they did not individually trigger the use of the service. ER agreed that a share of the retaining costs would need to be mutualised, with further costs to those parties that trigger the Must Read.

PR suggested that this presented an opportunity to review the charges set for the service, including how current costs vary geographically, depending on which DNO provides the Must Read service. ER acknowledged that this could indeed be part of the procurement considerations, depending on the providers tendering and whether they are able to provide nationwide or geographically defined services such as by network or region.

RP observed that the UNC is silent on any specification of the Must Read service with much currently left to the DNOs to determine. He suggested that the UNC doesn't need to describe the full process itself, instead, some form of UNC-related document would detail the specifics. He expressed the view that this document would need to be quite comprehensive, including, for example, consideration of cancellation functionality for Must Read requests where meter reads have subsequently been collected in the interim. RP asked if the workgroup agreed this would be a sensible way forward.

ER agreed on the basis that some form of description within a UNC-related document would provide the industry with a clear description of what is included in the Must Read process, and what is out of its scope. PR agreed a supporting document describing criteria, processes, and outcomes would be useful.

The Chair asked if the document would include specifications for the tendering process. RP responded that he hadn't envisaged it doing so but had written notes on this already and was happy to include them if it was thought beneficial. ER drew comparisons with the AUGE and PAFA procurement mechanisms, though thought it would most closely align with the Daily Metered (DM) read service. Within that process, she noted there was no framework, with it instead featuring a stakeholder evaluation panel of industry participants to assist with finding the optimal procurement methodology.

PR noted that the volumes of reads being provided in this Must Read service would be far greater than the DM read service, which ER acknowledged and called attention to the divergent nature of the two processes, where one is intended to fulfil a clear obligation in providing Product Class 1 meter reads, whereas the other is about acting where an obligation has not been met.

RP shared his view that such a Panel would be made up of Shippers, with Xoserve, as CDSP, procuring the service under the DSC Contract Management Committee oversight. Charges could be set based upon several factors, including reads obtained, but he felt that such considerations would probably be best left for the DSC Contract Management Committee

determination to avoid tying the CDSP's hands early in the procurement process. This would enable the retention of the flexibility required to adjust to tendering party feedback to enable the realisation of the best value to the industry.

The Chair noted that this could all be detailed in a subsequent Modification, which RP agreed was clearly a viable route forward and asked for feedback and commentary from Shippers to help shape the development of such a Modification. RP repeated his concern that he was not convinced that PAC had indeed firmly reached the opinion that Option 3 (a) or (b) was the best way forward.

ER raised a concern regarding the rights to access, noting that DNOs had this right, and whilst the DM service was being provided by the CDSP without it, she observed that Product Class 1 sites had a very formal process already established, complete with site owner expectations regarding meter reading collection which by a large is remotely collected. Whilst, in comparison, site access under the Must-Read process would be unscheduled, likely unexpected by site occupants and triggered on an exception basis. She expressed the view that this would need to be addressed within any solution with the objective of ensuring the process is as successful as possible. She stated that the CDSP would deliver the service if the industry voted for them to do so but would appreciate industry involvement in ascertaining the best way to deliver it.

PR agreed that this would not be a silver bullet solution in addressing all the current challenges for Must Reads, including access to sites, but at least with CDSP being the central entity in industry processes they would be better placed to have oversight of these.

RP advised that as a DNO representative, his organisation had never used their rights of access, or applied for a warrant, to obtain a Must Read, citing that to do so could not be justified as a safety issue. Clare Manning (CM) agreed that, in her view, this approach was entirely correct. ER acknowledged this commentary as an example of the type of insight required before considering any measure of future CDSP performance with Must Read provision should the CDSP take the service on. She felt it was important to recognise those aspects outside of accepted control before judgments by parties such as PAC were made of service delivery. PR acknowledged this was particularly true of issues that were likely to need Shipper action to resolve, such as where asset details require updating on the UK Link.

ER felt that whilst a 'lift and shift' of the current obligations would be easy, it may better to seize the opportunity to get a good understanding of the challenges to either address them or at least ensure all parties are aware of them. RP expressed his desire to do the right thing in any subsequent Modification, not just the easiest option, and voiced his suspicion that Option 3 may prove to be the latter. He again asked for Shipper feedback on the discussion points visited in the Workgroup.

While noting such was outside the UNC's scope, the Chair asked why this was not more of a supplier issue. RP agreed that this was a fair question and that it was his assumption that the relationship between Shippers and Suppliers was a central facet of the process but noted that the UNC is unable to place obligations on suppliers, he acknowledged that this meant Shippers were in the middle somewhat.

RP summarised that 3(a) appeared the best solution in his eyes, especially in comparison to the expectation that 3(b) would prove too expensive, it was the only feasible one but emphasised that he is not a Shipper. As such he was keen to receive Shipper views on their preferences between Options 1, 2 and 3 as originally presented. ER agreed with the statement that 3(a) was the only option of the choices available under 3 and reiterated the need for Shipper views on Options 1, 2 and 3.

Action Closed

Action 0302: Joint Office (RH) to update the Workgroup Report where the PAC response was considered, and Workgroup briefly discussed that Option 3 is the only viable option to take forward.

The response was discussed under the previous action, with the proposer sharing his perception that the feedback given was not a true reflection of the discussions held within PAC in that a consensus had not been reached.

2.0 Update on PAC considerations

It was noted that following the discussions for action 0301 above, further views were required from Shippers to identify a preferred solution to take the Modification forward. This could then be provided to PAC for their views.

3.0 Development of Workgroup Report

This will commence at a future Workgroup meeting.

4.0 Next Steps

Shippers to consider providing their views on the options discussed to date.

Proposer (RP) to further develop the solution on feedback provided by the industry in Workgroup.

5.0 Any Other Business

None.

6.0 Diary Planning

Workgroup meetings will take place as follows:

Time / Date	Paper Publication Deadline	Venue	Programme
Thursday 09:30 25 May 2023	5 pm 17 May 2023	Microsoft Teams	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider Shipper feedback on Options presented Review solution development

Action Table (as of 03 May 2023)

Action Ref	Meeting Date	Minute Ref	Action	Owner	Status Update
0301	23/03/23	1.3	Proposer (RP) to provide a possible solution for Option 3.	Proposer (RP)	Closed
0302	23/03/23	1.3	Joint Office (RH) to update the Workgroup Report where the PAC response was considered, and Workgroup briefly discussed that Option 3 is the only viable option to take forward.	Joint Office (RH)	Pending

UNC Workgroup 0825 Minutes

Removal of the remaining Retrospective Asset, Address and Supply Point (RAASP) elements of the Retrospective Adjustment arrangements put in place under Modification 0434

Wednesday 03 May 2023

via Microsoft Teams

Attendees		
Bob Fletcher (Chair)	(RH)	Joint Office
Helen Bennett (Secretary)	(HB)	Joint Office
Aleksandra Cebo	(ACe)	EDF
Ben Mulcahy	(BM)	Joint Office
Charlotte Gilbert	(CG)	BU-UK
Clare Manning	(CM)	E.ON Next
Dan Stenson	(DS)	Brook Green Trading
David Mitchell	(DMi)	SGN
Gurvinder Dosanjh	(GD)	Cadent
Ellie Rogers	(ER)	CDSP
Fiona Cottam	(FC)	CDSP
Harry Hailwood	(HH)	Brook Green Trading
James Lomax	(JL)	Cornwall Insight
Mark Jones	(MJ)	SSE
Paul O'Toole	(PO)	Northern Gas Networks
Tom Stuart	(TSt)	Wales & West Utilities

Copies of all papers are available at: <https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0825>

The Workgroup Report is due to be presented at the UNC Modification Panel by 15 June 2023

Please note these minutes do not replicate/include detailed content provided within the presentation slides, therefore it is recommended that the published presentation material is reviewed in conjunction with these minutes. Copies of all papers are available at: <https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0825/030523>

1.0 Introduction and Status Review

Bob Fletcher (BF) welcomed all to the Workgroup.

1.1 Approval of Minutes (23 March 2023)

Ellie Rogers (ER) noted a small change in the minutes is required: Paul Orsler confirmed a Rough Order of Magnitude will not be required as there are no associated central systems changes required.

The minutes were approved.

Post Meeting Note:

The minutes have been updated and a change-marked and clean version have been published on the meeting page here:

1.2 Approval of Late Papers

BF advised the Legal Text was provided late and asked Workgroup to consider reviewing it. Workgroup agreed to accept the late papers.

1.3 Review of Outstanding Actions

Action 0301: Proposer (ACe) to update the Modification to confirm impact or no impact to IGT UNC.

Update: ACe advised Workgroup that it was initially believed there would be no impact on IGT UNC, however, it is now thought there may be some impact. ACe noted that Modification 0651 acknowledged IGT UNC impacts were considered as the IGT UNC references this section of UNC, which is currently being investigated. ACe asked for the action to be carried forward to the next meeting. ACe advised she will contact the IGT UNC Workstream to present this Modification and explain the rationale. **Carried Forward**

2.0 Amended Modification

BF noted a draft amended Modification has been submitted and invited the Proposer, Aleksandra Cebo (ACe) to provide an update of the amendments made to the Modification:

Solution Section 5

ACe noted, following the last Workgroup held on 23 March, further clarification has been added to establish what is in the scope of this Modification and what is out of scope.

Guv Dosanjh (GD) advised this clarification helped to drive the production of the Legal Text.

Implementation

A comment has been added which clarifies if the Modification is approved for implementation, it is recommended that a non-implementation notification is issued for Modification 0651 - *Changes to the Retrospective Data Update provisions*, which is currently pending implementation. (Modification 0825 removes the retrospective update activities that Modification 0651 aims to implement).

The Workgroup discussed how best to approach the situation where Modification 0651 will not need to be implemented. GD suggested that Ofgem add a statement to their Modification 0825 decision letter if Ofgem directs its implementation, which will say that Modification 0651 will not go ahead as this Modification removes its requirements.

Workgroup concluded that the following sentence should be placed in the Implementation section:

If Ofgem approves the implementation of Modification 0825, within the decision letter Workgroup requests the Authority confirm this decision results in the non-implementation of 0651 as it will be superseded by Modification 0825.

It was also suggested that a 'for the avoidance of doubt' statement could be added to the Solution section to say: If Ofgem approves the implementation of Modification 0825 Workgroup would require there is confirmation in the Decision Letter that this Modification is superseding Modification 0651.

Legal Text

GD provided a brief walkthrough of the Legal Text and confirmed this is the final submission should a formal Legal Text request be approved by Panel.

The change marked Legal Text shows the removal of everything that was inserted for Modification 0434 - *Project Nexus – Retrospective Adjustment*.

GD confirmed an Explanatory Table will be provided for the next Workgroup meeting and Ellie Rogers (ER) noted that some defined terms will need to be updated.

3.0 Development of Workgroup Report

The Workgroup Report will be completed at the next meeting to be held on 25 May 2023.

4.0 Next Steps

The Chair, BF, confirmed the next steps to be:

- Proposer to submit an amended Modification
- Completion of Workgroup Report
- Confirm that the Panel questions have been addressed

5.0 Any Other Business

None

6.0 Diary Planning

Further details of planned meetings are available at: www.gasgovernance.co.uk/events-calendar/month

Workgroup meetings will take place as follows:

Time / Date	Paper Publication Deadline	Venue	Programme
Wednesday 10:00 25 May 2023	5 pm 17 May 2023	Microsoft Teams	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review amended Modification • Completion of Workgroup Report

Action Table as at Action Table as of 03 May 2023

Action Ref	Meeting Date	Min Ref	Action	Owner	Reporting Month	Status Update
0301	23/03/23	2.0	Proposer (ACe) to update the Modification to confirm impact or no impact to IGT UNC.	Proposer (ACe)	April 2023 May 2023	Carried Forward

UNC Workgroup 0831/0831A Minutes

Allocation of LDZ UIG to Shippers Based on a Straight Throughput Method

Allocation of LDZ UIG to Shippers (Class 3 and 4) Based on a Straight Throughput Method

Wednesday 04 May 2023

via Microsoft Teams

Attendees		
Bob Fletcher (Chair)	(BF)	Joint Office
Helen Bennett (Secretary)	(HB)	Joint Office
Aleksandra Cebo	(ACe)	EDF
Ben Mulcahy	(BM)	Joint Office
Charlotte Gilbert	(CG)	BU-UK
Clare Manning	(CM)	E.ON Next
Ellie Rogers	(ER)	CDSP
Dan Fittock	(DF)	Corona Energy
Dan Stenson	(DS)	Brook Green Trading
Dan Wilkinson	(DW)	EDF Energy
David Mitchell	(DMi)	SGN
Gurvinder Dosanjh	(GD)	Cadent
Fiona Cottam	(FC)	CDSP
Harry Hailwood	(HH)	Brook Green Trading
James Lomax	(JL)	Cornwall Insight
Kevin Clark	(KC)	Utilita
Mark Jones	(MJ)	SSE
Oorlagh Chapman	(OC)	Centrica
Paul O'Toole	(PO)	Northern Gas Networks
Tom Stuart	(TSt)	Wales & West Utilities

Copies of all papers are available at: <https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0831>

The Workgroup Report is due to be presented at the UNC Modification Panel by 21 September 2023.

Please note these minutes do not replicate/include detailed content provided within the presentation slides, therefore it is recommended that the published presentation material is reviewed in conjunction with these minutes. Copies of all papers are available at: <https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0831/030523>

1. Introduction and Status Review

Bob Fletcher (BF) welcomed everyone to the meeting.

1.1. Approval of Minutes (23 March 2023)

The minutes from the previous Workgroup were approved.

1.2. Approval of late papers

No late papers were recorded.

1.3. Review Outstanding Actions

No actions are outstanding.

2. Amended Modification 0831A

The Proposer for Modification 0831, Dan Stenson (DS), was invited to update Workgroup on some suggested amendments he is considering making to his Modification.

The main change will be the removal of Product Class 2 temporarily until the issue with Class 2 is resolved. DS noted there are process issues with Profile Class 2 Meter Readings that sit outside of this Modification.

Product Class 2 discussion:

DS noted that he wants to ensure that the Class 2 issue is handled correctly and asked Workgroup for comments on how best to proceed.

ER advised there is a System limit of 50,000 for Class 2 Meter Reading submissions, due to system processing restrictions, it is not anticipating volumes exceeding 50,000 and may require System changes to increase the volume based on a phased approach.

Workgroup offered the following thoughts:

- Conclude Modifications 0831/A completely and then raise a separate Modification to deal with Product Class 2 system restrictions.
- ER asked if this could be a Review Group or if DS would raise a Modification straightaway. DS noted it feels more like a Review Group would be raised to get an idea of what the Industry want.

BF suggested .to raise an Issue for Distribution Workgroup to consider system restrictions for Product Class 2 meter read submissions. It was recommended that DS creates a Strawman of what he thinks the Product Class 2 process should be amended too and if there is industry support, prior to raising a Modification.

3. Amended Modification 0831

The Proposer for Modification 0831, Mark Jones (MJ), was invited to explain the suggested amendments to his Modification.

Amendment to Business Rules

MJ explained the removal of Business Rule 3 which established a rule for the UNC Committee decision making on the Allocation of Unidentified Gas (AUG) process for the current AUG year;

An amendment to simplify Business Rule 2 is suggested to identify all EUC bands have a factor of 1.

The change-marked draft Modification is published here: www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0831/030523.

Ellie Rogers (ER) noted that the obligation in UNC TPD E – Daily Quantities, Imbalances and Reconciliation, which refers to the CDSP appointing the AUG Expert (AUGE) would need to be removed. Feedback from the Workgroup is that this does not need to be in Code as this is contractual.

ER also confirmed that the CDSP have got logical break points in the contract with the AUGÉ, the first of which is the end of March each year, at this point, the CDSP can give instruction to the AUGÉ to cease work on the Statement for the following year.

ER noted that the contract allows break points due to the implementation of Modifications that impact the AUG process as the year progresses. However, this will impact first year benefits as the AUGÉ will be able to charge for costs incurred such e.g. establishing a team or analysis undertaken.

MJ confirmed he will update the Modification and submit it for formal amendment.

Rough Order of Magnitude (ROM)

ER provided a detailed walkthrough of the ROM and noted it includes the cost-saving element of removal of the AUG process. The CDSP is not expecting any additional costs for making the amendments to the AUG Table in the central systems.

ER confirmed that a separate ROM will be required for Modification 0831A as testing would need to be undertaken to ensure a standard set of factors does not impact the central systems.. The cost-saving element will be the same as in the ROM for Modification 0831.

It was suggested a combined ROM is provided but making it clear the differential between the two Modifications.

4. Issues and Questions from Panel

The following Panel Questions will be considered at the April 2023 Workgroup:

- Consider AUGÉ contractual interactions
- Consider effects of market flux (parties exiting etc.) and any need for reconciliation
- Consider the effect on IGT UNC of the proposed Legal Text drafting
- Consider any impact/interaction on Licences
- Consider whether this Modification would reduce the amount of information available from AUGÉ which currently provides the industry with an opportunity to drive actions to reduce UIG

Panel Questions 0831A

- Consider the inclusion/treatment of Product Class 3 daily read sites.
- Consider the impact of excluding daily metered sites from UIG.

BF noted that the Proposer of Modification 0831A has submitted his response to the Panel Questions and invited DS to update Workgroup. The response to the questions can be found published here: www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0831/030523.

There were no Workgroup comments.

5. Legal Text consideration

It was confirmed that once the amendments to Modification 0831 have been processed, the UNC Modification Panel can request Legal Text.

There is still some work to do on Modification 0831A and the Modification is not quite stable enough for a request for Legal Text.

6. Development of Workgroup Report

Development of the Workgroup Report was deferred pending the submission of amendments to Modifications 0831/A.

7. Next Steps

BF confirmed the following:

- Modification 0831 Proposer to submit an amended Modification (which will be v3.0)
- Modification 0831A Proposer to submit an amended Modification (which will be v2.0)
- Consider an update on the combined Rough Order of Magnitude
- Consider update on Legal Text
- Develop the Workgroup Report 0831/A (combined report).

8. Any Other Business

None.

9. Diary Planning

Further details of planned meetings are available at: www.gasgovernance.co.uk/events-calendar/month.

Workgroup meetings will take place as follows:

Time / Date	Paper Publication Deadline	Venue	Workgroup Programme
10:00 Thursday 25 May 2023	5 pm 17 May 2023	Microsoft Teams	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consider Amended Modification 0831 • Consider Amended Modification 0831A • Consider an Update on the combined Rough Order of Magnitude • Consider Update on Legal Text • Develop the Workgroup Report 0831/A (combined report)

Action Table (as of 03 May 2023)

Action Ref	Meeting Date	Minute Ref	Action	Owner	Status Update
No outstanding actions					