

PAC Action Table (as at 09 March 2021)

Action Ref	Meeting Date	Minute Ref	User Story	Action	Owner	Status Update
PAC DDP 0301	03/03/21	2.3	DDP-400: As PAFA I want to see the impact the replacement read is having on AQ and on kWh reconciliation	<p><i>Reference DDP-399 – Xoserve (KK) to look to develop a 'User Story' for the 2nd phase.</i></p> <p><i>Example provided from review at PAC meeting on 13th April – example discussed & User Story for DDP-400 amended to reflect discussions at PAC on 13th April</i></p>	Xoserve (KK)	Pending
PAC DDP 0302	03/03/21	2.3	DDP-198: As PAFA, for all Shippers, I want the AQ corrections dashboard to display the Reason codes for AQ correction so that I have a better understanding of the AQ correction information and the reason behind the corrections	<p><i>Reference DDP-198 - PAFA (SU) to look to provide a list of which reports require additional filters adding for consistency purposes.</i></p> <p><i>Agreed with PAFA on 15/3/21 – Replicate Shipper report which details movement in AQ current to revised, calculation date & effective date, as well as Class & no. of corrections. EUC filter also required</i></p>	PAFA (SU)	Pending

<p>PAC DDP 0303</p>	<p>03/03/21</p>	<p>2.3</p>	<p>DDP-193 Originally: DDP-166: As PAFA, I want to see the Meter Read Validity Monitoring information per Shipper so that I can compare shipper meter reading submission performance and monitor whether they are meeting the required UNC expectations DDP-192: As PAFA I want the Meter Read Validity Monitoring to include additional data so that I can compare shipper meter reading submission performance at granular level and monitor whether they are meeting the required UNC expectations DDP-193: As PAFA I need to view additional information in the Meter Read Validity Monitoring report along with counts so that I can monitor both the level of recurring failures and Aqs involved</p>	<p><i>Reference DDP-193 - Xoserve (KK) to look to expand DDP-193 to include DDPs 166 and 192 elements, especially aspects of the Meter Read Validity Report requirements.</i></p> <p><i>Requirements re-written & reviewed by PAFA 31/3/21:</i></p> <p><i>As PAFA I want visibility of Meter Read Validity Monitoring, at Shipper & MPRN level (Meter Read Rejections delivered as part of DDP-391).</i></p> <p><i>This should provide a history of previous failures and AQ data so that the AQ "at Risk" can be determined.</i></p> <p><i>Additional data such as MRF, EUC, Meter Type, AMR indicator should be included in detail reports so that I can compare Shipper meter reading submission performance at granular level</i></p>	<p>Xoserve (KK)</p>	<p>Pending</p>
-------------------------	-----------------	------------	--	---	-------------------------	-----------------------

<p>PAC DDP 0304</p>	<p>03/03/21</p>	<p>2.3</p>	<p>DDP-177: As PAFA I want the check read information to demonstrate the age of missed check reads e.g. 2/3/4 years+ for each Shipper so that I can monitor whether Shippers are meeting their UNC obligation</p> <p>DDP-382: As PAFA I want check read information for PC1 and PC2 all AMR to show the age of missed check reads. I want this split into 1,2,3,4+ years so that I can monitor performance</p>	<p><i>Reference DDP-177 - Xoserve (KK) to look to expand DDP-382 to include AMR aspects.</i></p> <p><i>DDP-177 removed as agreed & DDP-382 revised: XRN4876 - As PAFA I want check read information for PC1 and PC2 all relevant AMR to show the age of missed check reads. I want this split into 1,2,3,4+ years so that I can monitor performance</i></p> <p><i>Ref: Embedded AMR does not require Check Read as no DL to create "Drift"</i></p> <p><i>Section M 5.12, H1.6.8</i></p> <p>User story changed to reflect above</p>	<p>Xoserve (KK)</p>	<p>Pending</p>
-------------------------	-----------------	------------	--	---	---------------------	-----------------------

<p>PAC DDP 0305</p>	<p>03/03/21</p>	<p>2.3</p>	<p>Originally: DDP-190: As PAFA I want additional information per Shipper on my Read Performance dashboard for further insight. DDP-191: As PAFA I want the additional information per Shipper on the dashboard showing Class 4 Read Performance so that I can manage PC4 read performance in a better manner</p>	<p><i>Reference DDP-191 - Xoserve (KK) and PAFA (SU/JW) to undertake offline discussions around the possible combining of DDPs 190 and 191.</i></p> <p><i>Revision to DDP-190: As PAFA I want additional information, for each Shipper, on the Read Performance dashboard, to provide further insight. I want to align the relevant AQ detail to Read Performance (at MPRN detail level), across all Product Classes, in order that I have insight into how my Read Performance relates to the corresponding AQ Performance. As part of this delivery AQ Threshold/SMART/AMR data needs to be provided.</i></p> <p><i>This revision will enable the removal DDP-191 (subject to PAC approval)</i></p> <p><i>DDP390 will deliver the majority of these requirements & so DDP-190 has been amended to reflect this</i></p>	<p>Xoserve (KK) & PAFA (SU/JW)</p>	<p>Pending</p>
-------------------------	-----------------	------------	--	--	--	-----------------------

<p>PAC DDP 0307</p>	<p>09/03/21</p>	<p>2.</p>	<p><i>Requirements have been delivered as part of DDP-390 & proposal to remove this User Story</i></p> <p>DDP-163: As PAFA I want to view the 12 months rolling trend of percentage of meter points with No Reads Read Performance "not Met" per Shipper so that I can compare shipper meter reading submission failure performance to the requirements as set out in the UNC</p> <p>DDP-390: XRN4876 - As PAFA I want a report that shows read performance split at class, MRF (meter read freq), associated AQ (% of AQ that has been read) and number of MPRNs so that I better understand the materiality associated with performance</p> <p>To include EUC + Meter Mechanism</p>	<p>Reference DDP-163 – Correla (KK) to clarify what information is already available within the PARR suite and then provide a recommendation as to whether this issue can be removed.</p>	<p>Correla (KK)</p>	<p>Pending</p>
-------------------------	-----------------	-----------	---	---	---------------------	-----------------------

<p>PAC DDP 0308</p>	<p>09/03/21</p>	<p>2.</p>	<p>DDP-168: User Story: As PAFA I want 12 months rolling trend of count of MPRNs per Shipper with AQ> 732,000 where SCF is 1.02264 split by EUC bands (04-09) to monitor potentially incorrect correction factors for large consuming sites</p>	<p><i>Reference DDP-168 – Correla (KK) to look to double check how many MPRNs might require adjustment and how the implementation of UNC Modification 0681S might impact prioritisation and delivery (i.e. an initial scale assessment).</i></p> <p><i>Amendment to User story required to cover following: Requirement to understand level of auto change of SCF (MOD0681S) in addition to reporting on remaining MPRN without correct CF (XRN4932)</i></p> <p>Further analysis ongoing to understand how this requirement will be delivered, & whether these updates will be completed on a specific date, or if there is any other indicator available that will allow auto vs manual updates to be measured – c/f action</p>	<p>Correla (KK)</p>	<p>Pending</p>
-------------------------	-----------------	-----------	--	--	---------------------	-----------------------

PAC DDP 0309	09/03/21	2.	<p><i>Requirements have been delivered as part of DDP-387 & proposal to remove this User Story</i></p> <p>DDP-185: As PAFA I want a per-Shipper view that will tell me for how long and how many sites are there in each portfolio which have no meter and are receiving data flows so that I can identify if the Shippers are taking action on such data</p> <p>DDP-387: XRN4876 - As PAFA I want the report to show the time lapsed between confirmation date and the current date (where a meter has never been attached) or the time lapsed between meter removal date and the current date (where meter has been removed)</p>	<p>Reference DDP-185 – Correla (KK) and (AH) to consider how best to tweak the wording for this issue to better reflect requirements.</p>	Correla (KK)	Pending
PAC DDP 0310	09/03/21	2.1		<p>Reference Change of Supplier / Replacement Reads - Correla (KK) & Centrica (OC) to consider the actual requirements and how these might impact upon the</p>	Correla (KK) & Centrica (OC)	Pending

				budgetary requirements that fall outside of XRN4876.		
--	--	--	--	--	--	--