

1st Draft AUGS Walkthrough Summary of Questions and Answers (9 February 2018)

	Question DRAFT AUGS	Response
1.	A clarification was requested regarding the mailbox for submissions during the query window	All consultation responses / points of clarity can be directed to the AUGE mailbox AUGE.software@dnvgl.com With Xoserve cc'd General AUGE Process queries can be raised via the Xoserve Mailbox (analytical.services@xoserve.com)
2.	Will the AUGE hold discussions with parties during the consultation period to address individual queries / clarification?	The AUGE confirmed that they were happy to address individual consultation responses prior to the end of the consultation period but would not publish any information without prior consent of the party involved. All other consultation responses would be published at the close of the consultation period.
3.	Why have the factors within the table changed from 3dp to 2dp?	The AUGE confirmed that Xoserve systems could not hold the factors to 3dp, however the actual calculation is completed to more decimal places. A refresh of the multiplication process used by Xoserve last year was also provided. The AUGE agreed to multiply up the factors by 10 in the table, to give as much granularity as last year and also in a format that Xoserve systems would accept.
4.	The terminology of UG and UIG is confusing	An explanation was provided by the AUGE as to the difference between UG calculated by them and UIG which is the balancing factor at D+5 post Nexus. DNV said that they could use the terms Initial UIG and Final UIG in future iterations of the AUGS.
5.	Can the AUGE provide additional information regarding the levels of scaling used within the Total Unidentified Gas calculation process?	The AUGE agreed to look into providing additional information regarding scaling levels.
6.	Are there any patterns identified regarding the sites which do not calculate?	The AUGE agreed to look into providing additional information regarding sites which do not calculate.

1st Draft AUGS Walkthrough Summary of Questions and Answers (9 February 2018)

	Question DRAFT AUGS	Response
7.	Have the DM Errors identified during Nexus Transition affected the Total Unidentified Gas Calculation?	The Total Unidentified Gas Calculation for the 2018/19 AUGS has used data which is solely pre Nexus Implementation and as such the DM Errors post Nexus have no impact.
8.	To support the Population Throughput Calculations highlighted in the AUGS presentation ICoSS offered to provide additional data regarding Smart Meter Populations to help with calculations for the next Draft AUGS	The AUGE confirmed that they would be happy to receive the additional information regarding Smart Meter populations.
9.	Do the AUGE take into account accelerated installation of Smart Meters within their forecasts?	The AUGE confirmed that they can and will include this information in the next Draft AUGS.
10.	Can the AUGE clarify if AMR figures are used in their quarterly installation rates? (slide 16)	The AUGE confirmed that they will look into this and provide an update in the next Draft AUGS.
11.	Are the Number of Sites presented for Class 3 Population accurate and up to date?	The AUGE will complete further investigation into Class 3 Population and request a data refresh from Xoserve.
12.	How much data has been provided by the Distribution Networks to support CSEP Shrinkage?	The AUGE provided an update in their presentation regarding the Network Model information received from Cadent.(Slide 27)
13.	Do the AUGE factor in the pressure of CSEP Mains in their calculation of CSEP Shrinkage?	The AUGE confirmed that they have no data regarding CSEP Mains Pressure and would be using the current leakage rates from NLT.

1st Draft AUGS Walkthrough Summary of Questions and Answers (9 February 2018)

	Question DRAFT AUGS	Response
14.	Can we clarify that the TRAS / SPAA Theft of Gas Report may not be complete as not all organisations provide submissions?	The AUGS to include commentary in the next AUGS to confirm that the TRAS / SPAA Theft of Gas Report only contains the instances reported to TRAS / SPAA and is not deemed to be a complete summary.
15.	What proportion of Total UG is accounted for within the Balancing Factor?	The AUGS confirmed that approximately 95% of UG is accounted for within the Balancing Factor and is mainly attributed to undetected theft.
16.	Can the AUGS provide additional information to support the movement between product classes in the factors table?	The AUGS agreed to provide the additional information in the next Draft AUGS.

	Question UIG Related UNC Modifications (Fiona Cottam)	Response
1.	Pending which if any of the UIG UNC Modifications (642, 642A and 643) are taken forward can we have an impact assessment at the April AUGS walkthrough?	<p>Fiona Cottam agreed to update the slides she presented to include an impact assessment of the UIG UNC Modifications.</p> <p>Post- meeting note: in the meantime, the Xoserve presentation material has been updated and re-published, to confirm that none of the current Mods would have an impact on the 2018/19 process.</p>