














UNC Draft Modification Report	At what stage is this document in the process?
<h1>UNC 0708S:</h1> <h2>Re-ordering of the UNC in advance of Faster Switching</h2>	<div>01 Modification</div> <div>02 Workgroup Report</div> <div>03 Draft Modification Report</div> <div>04 Final Modification Report</div>
<p>Purpose of Modification:</p> <p>This Modification proposes to realign the UNC to match the re-ordering that is in the proposed Legal Text developed for the Switching Programme and discussed at the Workgroup for Request 0630R - <i>Review of the consequential changes required in UNC as a result of the Ofgem Switching Programme</i>.</p> <p>This will ensure that the Uniform Network Code (UNC) structure and ordering matches in both the current UNC and the proposed Legal Text produced for the Faster Switching programme, so that it is easier to i) maintain both versions. ii) identify the specific changes that are in the Central Switching Service (CSS) version that is due to be introduced as part of Faster Switching and iii) Identify potential impacts on the legal text developed for Faster Switching.</p>	
	<p>This Draft Modification Report is issued for consultation responses at the request of the Panel. All parties are invited to consider whether they wish to submit views regarding this self-governance modification.</p> <p>The close-out date for responses is 07 May 2020, which should be sent to enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk. A response template, which you may wish to use, is at www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0708.</p> <p>The Panel will consider the responses and agree whether or not this self-governance modification should be made.</p>
	<p>High Impact:</p>
	<p>Medium Impact:</p> <p>Shippers, DNOs</p>
	<p>Low Impact:</p> <p>NTS, Consequential impact to IGTs & CDSP</p>

Contents		 Any questions?
1	Summary	3
2	Governance	4
3	Why Change?	4
4	Code Specific Matters	5
5	Solution	5
6	Impacts & Other Considerations	7
7	Relevant Objectives	8
8	Implementation	8
9	Legal Text	8
10	Recommendations	9
Timetable		 Any questions?
Modification timetable:		Contact: Joint Office of Gas Transporters
Initial consideration by Workgroup	28 November 2019	 enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk
Workgroup Report presented to Panel	16 April 2020	 0121 288 2107
Draft Modification Report issued for consultation	16 April 2020	Proposer: Tracey Saunders
Consultation Close-out for representations	07 May 2020	 trsaunders@northern-gas.co.uk
Final Modification Report available for Panel	11 May 2020	 Not provided
Modification Panel decision	21 May 2020	Transporter: Northern Gas Networks
		 as above
		 Not provided
		Systems Provider: Xoserve
		 UKLink@xoserve.com

1 Summary

What

The Ofgem Switching Programme (OSP) was formed to introduce a programme of work to develop a faster, more reliable switching service for gas and electricity consumers to switch Supplier. A combined gas and electricity Central Switching Service (CSS) has been established to facilitate the Supplier initiated switching process, known as Faster Switching.

In readiness for this, Ofgem directed Transporters to prepare a version of the UNC in advance of the direction for implementation of Faster Switching. This advance version of the UNC was to include all of the changes that would be needed to facilitate Faster Switching.

As part of the work carried for the Legal text changes Dentons stated the following:

Before making any changes to TPD Section G we re-ordered the current text:

- (i) to put the current rules into a much more logical sequence and*
- (ii) to remove the paragraphs which are being moved out of TPD Section G, in order to create a new 'baseline' version against which we could show the CSS/REC related changes.*

In addition, current TPD Sections G1.19, G5 and G6 have been moved to (respectively) TPD Sections J1.9, TPD Section B, Annex B-3 and TPD Section B8.

This Modification proposes to realign the current UNC to the new order set out above. This exercise would need to take into account:

- a. Any Modifications that have come into effect since the restructure, and
- b. Any Modifications implemented during the development of this Modification.

In addition, the UNC Validation Rules will need to be checked by the CDSP to identify if any of the code references quoted are impacted by the re-ordering. Any amendments arising from this will need to be agreed by the Uniform Network Code Committee (UNCC).

Why

Amending the current UNC to match the re-ordered version will result in at least 4 benefits:

- a. It will allow parties to be fully prepared by helping to identify:
 - the changes that the faster switching will bring;
 - where their obligations will change
 - where new obligations will be introduced,
- b. It will make amending the prepared faster switching Legal Text version easier so that this can be updated for all implemented Modifications between approval of this Modification and the implementation date for Faster Switching. This will save both cost and time overall.
- c. It will allow, for example, compliance schedules etc. which are maintained by companies to be updated with new UNC code references in advance of the CSS.
- d. It will assist Proposers, the Joint Office and Panel members in identifying where any new Modification is potentially impacting on the SCR (Legal Text prepared for the Switching Programme) and should aid in assessing the materiality of the impact.

How

To introduce the re-ordered version of the UNC (without any Faster Switching related changes applied) as the new current version of the UNC, taking account of any Modifications implemented up to the date of this Modification being implemented.

2 Governance

Justification for Self-Governance

This Modification is merely proposing to re-order specific sections of the UNC to mirror the proposed structure changes set out in the legal text prepared for Faster Switching (prior to the CSS changes being applied). Therefore, this Modification makes no changes to UNC parties rights or obligations, has no material impact on competition or UNC governance and therefore, should be considered as suitable for Self-Governance.

Requested Next Steps

This modification should:

- be considered a non-material change and subject to self-governance
- be assessed by a Workgroup

The Workgroup believes that the change mapping should be comprehensively cross checked, and therefore, recommends 3 workgroup meetings to allow all interested parties to monitor and engage in this process.

It should be noted that the actual changes related to Faster Switching are not part of this Modification, as this has already been included under the Faster Switching Legal Text that has been submitted to the Ofgem Switching Programme. This Modification Proposal's purpose is to introduce the re-ordering element at an earlier stage to aid transition.

3 Why Change?

The current TPD Section G has undergone significant change since first drafted at the time of Network Code implementation in 1996. As a result, the section has grown significantly in length, become less well ordered in terms of the logical sequence and positioning of paragraphs and the section now incorporates rules which would be better positioned in different Sections within the TPD.

As part of the work undertaken to identify the changes to UNC that would be needed to support implementation of Faster Switching it was decided to undertake a Section G re-ordering exercise to rectify some of the issues noted above. It was felt this work would be helpful for a number of reasons as follows:

- a. It will allow UNC Parties to easily identify the changes to Section G that would result from the Faster Switching programme and which might impact on their Section G obligations, and which in turn should aid them in ensuring they fully understand the scope and scale of the changes and are able to plan and prepare accordingly.
- b. It will make amending the 'on the shelf' version of Section G easier so that this can be updated to take into account changes to Section G which take effect from the date of this Modification until the new Section G is implemented when Faster Switching goes live . This will save both cost and time overall.

- c. It will allow for compliance schedules etc. which are maintained by UNC Parties to be updated with new TPD references in advance of the Faster Switching programme being implemented, so facilitating industry planning and preparation.
- d. It will assist Proposers, the Joint Office and Panel members in identifying where any future Modification will impact on the SCR and therefore should aid in assessing the materiality of the impact of any such Modification. This should allow for a more informed assessment to be provided to the Authority should this be required.

4 Code Specific Matters

Reference Documents

None

Knowledge/Skills

Knowledge of the UNC

5 Solution

To implement the re-ordered version of Section G prepared at the time of the work relating to the wider changes needed to UNC to support Faster Switching, and to update the re-ordered Section G to account for Modifications to Section G implemented since the Faster Switching drafting exercise ended in May 2019.

This will involve the following drafting components:

- Preparing a new re-ordered version of Section G, based on the Faster Switching document.
- Repositioning some existing paragraphs in Section G to elsewhere in the TPD, in particular Sections G1.19, G5 and G6 which will be moved to (respectively) to TPD Sections J1.9, TPD Section B, Annex B-3 and TPD Section B8.
- Updating the re-ordered and re-positioned text to take into account any Modifications implemented since the Faster Switching drafting exercise ended in May 2019.
- Ensuring all TPD references are checked and renumbered as required.

Amend any incorrect cross references as per the table below

Code section & paragraph in current UNC	Cross-Reference in current UNC	What should cross reference be in current UNC	Code section & paragraph in proposal 0708	What correct cross reference maps to in proposal 0708
TPD G 1.15.1	Incorrect - 1.16, 1.16.2, 1.16.2(e) Correct - Annex G-2	1.15, 1.15.2, 1.15.2(e), Annex G-2	TPD G 9.2.1	9.2, 9.2.2, 9.2.2(d), Annex G-4
TPD G1.15.2	Incorrect - 1.16.1	1.15.1	TPD G 9.2.2	9.2.1

TPD G1.15.3	Incorrect - 1.16.1	1.15.1	TPD G 9.2.3	9.2.1
TPD G1.15.3(c)	Incorrect - 1.16.1, 1.16.2	1.15.1, 1.15.2	TPD G 9.2.3(c)	9.2.1, 9.2.2
TPD G1.15.4	Incorrect - 1.16.5, 1.16.3(c)	1.15.5, 1.15.3(c)	TPD G 9.2.4	9.2.5, 9.2.3(c)
TPD G1.15.4(b)	Incorrect - 1.7.19 Correct - 3.2.4	3.2.4	TPD G 9.2.4(b)	1.7.19 reference removed, 6.12.4
TPD G1.15.5	Incorrect - 1.16.4, 1.16.3(c), 1.16.2(e)	1.15.4, 1.15.3(c), 1.15.2(e)	TPD G 9.2.5	9.2.4, 9.2.3(c), 9.2.2(e)
TPD G1.16.1 Final Sentence	Incorrect - 1.17.6	1.16.6	TPD G 6.2.1 Final Sentence	6.2.6
TPD G1.16.2	Incorrect - 1.17	1.16	TPD G 6.2.2	6.2
TPD G1.16.4	Incorrect - 1.17.3(b)	1.16.3(b)	TPD G 6.2.4	6.2.3(b)
TPD G1.16.5(c)	Incorrect - 1.17.4	1.16.4	TPD G 6.2.5(c)	6.2.4
TPD G1.16.6	Incorrect - 1.17.5	1.16.5	TPD G 6.2.6	6.2.5
TPD G1.16.7	Incorrect - 1.17.1	1.16.1	TPD G 6.2.7	6.2.1
TPD G1.16.8	Incorrect - 1.17.7	1.16.7	TPD G 6.2.8	6.2.7
TPD G1.17.1	Incorrect - 1.18	1.17	TPD G 3.5.1	3.5
TPD G1.17.2	Incorrect - 1.18.1, 1.18.3	1.17.1, 1.17.3	TPD G 3.5.2	3.5.1, 3.5.3
TPD G1.19.1 Final Sentence	Incorrect - 1.20.3, 1.20.2	1.19.3, 1.19.2	TPD J1.9.1 Final Sentence	TPD J1.9.3, TPD J1.9.2
TPD G1.19.3	Incorrect - 1.20	1.19	TPD J1.9.3	TPD J1.9.1
TPD G1.19.4	Incorrect - 1.20.3	1.19.3	TPD J1.9.4	TPD J1.9.3, TPD J1.9.2
TPD G1.23.2(a)	Incorrect - 1.7.13	1.7.14	TPD G 1.7.2(a)	9.1.13
TPD G1.7.14(b)	Incorrect - 1.7.13	1.7.14	TPD G 9.1.13(b)	9.1.13
TPD G4.2.1	Incorrect - 1.18	1.17	TPD G 1.2.1	3.5

TPD G4.2.1(a)	Incorrect - 1.18	1.17	TPD G 1.2.1(a)	3.5
TPD G4.2.1(b)	Incorrect - 1.18.3	1.17.3	TPD G 1.2.1(b)	3.5.3
TPD E6.6.3(c)	Incorrect - G1.7.10(b)(iv)	G1.7.7(b)(iv)	TPD E6.6.3(c)	G9.1.6(c)

6 Impacts & Other Considerations

Does this modification impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

No impact as it is only re-ordering the existing text.

However, we would like to highlight that careful assessment by the Joint Office and industry will be required for any future new modifications or inflight modifications in relation to the relevant UNC TPD Sections of Code that will change if this Modification were implemented.

It is recommended that the Proposers of any new / inflight modifications review current Code Legal Text ensuring that if Modification is implemented that the Legal Text considers these impacts.

Consumer Impacts

None. This is a re-ordering of the existing codes, and there are no new, amended, or removed obligations.

Cross Code Impacts

The Workgroup believes there will be a consequential impact on the IGT UNC and will work with impacted parties.

The Workgroup also believes that there may be an impact on the UNC Validation Rules and will work with the CDSP.

EU Code Impacts

None.

Central Systems Impacts

No system changes are envisaged as this is a re-ordering of the existing text only and no obligations are added, changed or removed.

Workgroup Impact Assessment

There remains further work to do assessing the Modification to include a walk-through of the legal text.

Rough Order of Magnitude (ROM) Assessment

N/A

7 Relevant Objectives

Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:	
Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	None
b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.	None
c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	None
d) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.	None
e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	None
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.	Positive
g) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	None

The Workgroup believes this Modification proposal furthers Relevant Objective f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code as it re-orders the UNC to match the baselined version that has been submitted to support the Faster Switching changes. It will also ensure that the Faster Switching changes are easier to identify as these will be the only differences to the live UNC following the implementation of this Modification Proposal.

8 Implementation

As self-governance procedures are proposed, implementation could be sixteen business days after a Modification Panel decision to implement, subject to no Appeal being raised. This date should take into account and be in-line with the relevant impacted changes to the IGT UNC and UNC Validation Rules.

9 Legal Text

Legal Text has been provided by Northern Gas Networks and is published alongside this report.

The Workgroup has not been able (due to meeting quoracy issues) to fully review the Legal Text.

10 Recommendations

Workgroup's Recommendation to Panel

Despite the combined efforts of the Proposer, the Joint Office and the UNC Modification Panel, two meetings of the 0708S Workgroup had to be cancelled (02 & 09 March 2020). An additional Workgroup meeting scheduled for the 30th March 2020 was opened and then closed as there was only one Shipper representative (Steve Mulliganie) in attendance despite numerous communications seeking attendees.

At the 19 March 2020, UNC Modification Panel Meeting, Panel Members discussed the matter of low industry engagement and participation at 0708S Workgroup meetings.

An extract from the 19 March 2020 UNC Modification Panel minutes is provided below:

"TS highlighted the issue of quoracy of Workgroup 0708S meetings which have led to the cancellation of two meetings and as a result industry parties have not had the opportunity to review the Legal Text. She highlighted that the next meeting of the Governance Workgroup on 22 April 2020 would severely delay the Modification and hinder realisation of the intended benefits.

PG offered to facilitate a meeting before the next Panel meeting in April.

Panel Members view was that the NGN have made every effort to make the Legal Text available and there has been opportunity for industry to review it. Members suggested that the agenda for the next meeting makes it clear that the focus will be to review a summary of the Legal Text and not the full text so that every opportunity can be provided to achieve a quorate meeting.

TS added that an excel spreadsheet providing a full mapping of the Legal Text changes is also available.

TS thanked Joint Office for their input and support.

Panel Members noted the Workgroup Report recommendations.

For Modification 0708S, Members determined:

It should be referred back to Workgroup 0708S for further assessment, with a report by the 16 April 2020 Panel, by unanimous vote."

The Workgroup asks Panel to agree that this Self-Governance Modification should now proceed to Consultation.

Panel's Recommendation to Interested Parties

The Panel have recommended that this report is issued to consultation and all parties should consider whether they wish to submit views regarding this self-governance modification.