















UNC Modification	At what stage is this document in the process?				
<h1>UNC 0641S:</h1> <h2>Amendments to Modification 0431 - Shipper/Transporter - Meter Point Portfolio Reconciliation rules and obligations</h2>	<div> <div>01 Modification</div> <div>02 Workgroup Report</div> <div>03 Draft Modification Report</div> <div>04 Final Modification Report</div> </div>				
<p>Purpose of Modification:</p> <p>This proposal seeks to amend the rules to extend the portfolio reconciliation notice period as and when an industry reconciliation exercise is deemed necessary.</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="105 943 229 1122">  </td><td data-bbox="229 943 1463 1122"> <p>The Proposer recommends that this modification should be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> assessed by a Workgroup </td></tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="105 1122 229 1279">  </td><td data-bbox="229 1122 1463 1279"> <p>Low Impact:</p> <p>The proposer believes there will be a low impact to shippers, Suppliers, GDN Transporters and the Central Data Service Provider (CDSP).</p> </td></tr> </table>			<p>The Proposer recommends that this modification should be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> assessed by a Workgroup 		<p>Low Impact:</p> <p>The proposer believes there will be a low impact to shippers, Suppliers, GDN Transporters and the Central Data Service Provider (CDSP).</p>
	<p>The Proposer recommends that this modification should be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> assessed by a Workgroup 				
	<p>Low Impact:</p> <p>The proposer believes there will be a low impact to shippers, Suppliers, GDN Transporters and the Central Data Service Provider (CDSP).</p>				

Contents		 Any questions?
1	Summary	3
2	Governance	3
3	Why Change?	3
4	Code Specific Matters	3
5	Solution	3
6	Impacts & Other Considerations	4
7	Relevant Objectives	4
8	Implementation	5
9	Legal Text	5
10	Recommendations	5
Timetable		 0121 288 2107
The Proposer recommends the following timetable:		Proposer: Graham Wood
Initial consideration by Panel	21 December 2017	 enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk
Workgroup consider amended Modification	22 March 2018	 graham.wood@centrica.co.uk
Workgroup Report presented to Panel	19 April 2018	 07979 567686
Draft Modification Report issued for consultation	19 April 2018	Transporter: Cadent
Consultation Close-out for representations	11 May 2018	 email address Chris.Warner@cadentgas.com
Final Modification Report available for Panel	14 May 2018	 telephone 07749 983418
Modification Panel decision	17 May 2018 (considered at short notice)	Systems Provider: Xoserve
		 commercial.enquiries@xoserve.com
		Other:

1 Summary

What

Given the volume of work required by shippers/suppliers to respond to a notice to undertake a meter point portfolio reconciliation, the current notice period of 20 Business Days, introduced by Modification Proposal 0431 is too short.

Why

Shippers and their relevant suppliers require and will benefit from a longer notice period, enabling them to make appropriate arrangements to ensure there is adequate budget, resourcing and training to undertake the reconciliation exercise successfully.

How

We suggest that legal text reconciliation exercise notice period is extended from 20 Business Days to a minimum of 60 Business Days.

2 Governance

Justification for Self-Governance

The proposer believes this modification does not have a likely material impact to any party, therefore the change can proceed under the self-governance arrangements.

Requested Next Steps

This modification should:

- be considered a non-material change and subject to self-governance
- be assessed by a Workgroup.

The proposer would welcome the opportunity to take this proposal to Workgroup for development to discuss if the proposed notice period of 90 days is appropriate.

3 Why Change?

In 2014, during the development of Modification 0431, British Gas raised concerns with the 20 Business Days notice period being too short. The proposer believes the success of the reconciliation exercise will be based on individual parties' preparedness. The proposer believes 20 Business Days notice is insufficient for parties to request additional budget, obtain approval, acquire the additional resource and for IS teams to activate and re-test the portfolio query tool.

4 Code Specific Matters

Reference Documents

This proposal amends Scotia Gas Network (SGN) proposal, Modification 0431.

<https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0431>

This proposal references the new FGO arrangements that brought into effect the CDSP and amended many Code obligations.

<https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0565>

5 Solution

This proposal seeks to amend Section G 2.12.4 to give the User a minimum of 60 Business Days notice prior to the Portfolio Date relating to which the User must provide its Supply Portfolio.

6 Impacts & Other Considerations

Does this modification impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

None

Consumer Impacts

None

Cross Code Impacts

The proposer references iGT103 - Inclusion of reference within iGT UNC to UNC TPD Section G paragraph 2.12 – 2.14 inclusive - Meter Point Portfolio Reconciliation. This proposal seeks to introduce the Code requirement for iGT supply points to be included within the portfolio reconciliation exercise.

The proposer of this change will work closely with the IGT 103 proposer to ensure cross Code alignment. Specifically we believe iGT 103 notification period should align with the UNC arrangements.

EU Code Impacts

None

Central Systems Impacts

None

7 Relevant Objectives

Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:

Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	None
b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.	None

c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	None
d) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.	None
e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	None
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.	Positive
g) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	None

This proposal should improve the administration of Code as it ensures all parties have sufficient notice to ensure the success of the portfolio reconciliation exercise.

8 Implementation

As self-governance procedures are proposed, implementation could be sixteen business days after a Modification Panel decision to implement, subject to no appeal being raised.

9 Legal Text

No text has been provided.

10 Recommendations

Proposer's Recommendation to Workgroup

Workgroup is asked to:

- Agree this proposal is sufficiently developed to be issued to consultation.