

Representation - Draft Modification Report UNC 0649S

Update to UNC to formalise the Data Permissions Matrix

Responses invited by: 5pm on 11 October 2017

To: enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk

Representative:	John Cooper
Organisation:	BUUK
Date of Representation:	08/10/18
Support or oppose implementation?	Qualified Support
Relevant Objective:	f) Positive

Reason for support/opposition: Please summarise (in one paragraph) the key reason(s)

BUUK provided qualified support for this modification, on the condition that IGT 115 is also approved and implemented with a joint release date. This support is based on the principle that it will increase efficiency in the process of accessing data within the Data Enquiry Service (DES), in line with relevant objective F. This modification ensures and facilitates the alignment of processes between the IGT UNC and UNCC, which will provide commonality when changes to the data permission matrix is made. The intention going forward is that the data permissions matrix will be managed under the DSC Contract Management Committee. BUUK wish to see evidence of how this will work in practice, including processes and procedures that are to be followed to ensure sufficient representation is made but also to protect data where deemed appropriate.

Self-Governance Statement: Please provide your views on the self-governance statement.

It is agreed with the workgroup that Self-Governance is the best approach for the modification, on the condition that thoughts remain the change should only be implemented if its IGT UNC counterpart (115) is also implemented.

Implementation: What lead-time do you wish to see prior to implementation and why?

BUUK believe that the implementation date In the UNC should coincide with the IGT UNC equivalent, 115, and as such if the November release is required to be pushed back to November 9th/10th, this would be the preferred approach to release.

Impacts and Costs: *What analysis, development and ongoing costs would you face?*

At this stage BUUK doesn't foresee any costs in terms of development and ongoing costs. However, consideration may need to be made for costs incurred by Xoserve under DSC Contract Management Committee. This however is not a matter for the UNC and BUUK do not see this from inhibiting the progress of modification 0649s.

Legal Text: *Are you satisfied that the legal text will deliver the intent of the Solution?*

Yes.

Are there any errors or omissions in this Modification Report that you think should be taken into account? *Include details of any impacts/costs to your organisation that are directly related to this.*

As previously discussed, the cost of the new service line(s) and any associated change proposals required under the DSC have yet to be bottomed out, including who the relevant funding parties will be.

Please provide below any additional analysis or information to support your representation

N/A.