










UNC Modification	At what stage is this document in the process?
<h1>UNC 0707:</h1> <h2>Introducing 'Performance Assurance Framework Administrator' as a new User type to the Data Permissions Matrix</h2>	<div> <div>01 Modification</div> <div>02 Workgroup Report</div> <div>03 Draft Modification Report</div> <div>04 Final Modification Report</div> </div>
<p>Purpose of Modification:</p> <p>Currently the Performance Assurance Framework Administrator (PAFA) is provided with the reports defined in the Performance Assurance Report Register (PARR) in order to fulfil their obligations. This modification seeks to amend the Data Permissions Matrix to add the PAFA as a new User type.</p>	
	<p>The Proposer recommends that this modification should be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> subject to self-governance assessed by a joint UNC / IGT UNC Workgroup <p>This modification will be presented by the Proposer to the Panel on 21 November 2019. The Panel will consider the Proposer's recommendation and determine the appropriate route.</p>
	<p>High Impact:</p> <p>None identified</p>
	<p>Medium Impact:</p> <p>None identified</p>
	<p>Low Impact:</p> <p>Transporters, Shipper Users, CDSP, IGT UNC</p>

Contents		 Any questions?
1	Summary	3
2	Governance	3
3	Why Change?	3
4	Code Specific Matters	4
5	Solution	4
6	Impacts & Other Considerations	4
7	Relevant Objectives	5
8	Implementation	5
9	Legal Text	6
10	Recommendations	6
Timetable		 0121 288 2107
The Proposer recommends the following timetable:		Proposer: Carl Whitehouse
Initial consideration by Workgroup	28 November 2019	 enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk
Workgroup Report presented to Panel	16 January 2020	 07545 209826
Draft Modification Report issued for consultation	17 January 2020	Transporter: Not Applicable
Consultation Close-out for representations	07 February 2020	Systems Provider: Xoserve
Final Modification Report available for Panel	12 February 2020	 UKLink@xoserve.com
Modification Panel decision	20 February 2020	
<p>An equivalent IGT UNC Modification will need to be raised and it would be beneficial for these Modifications to be developed at one Workgroup</p>		

1 Summary

What

The Performance Assurance Framework Administrator (PAFA) is already entitled to data under UNC for the purposes of conducting the functions ascribed to the PAFA by the Performance Assurance Framework (PAF) document but are not currently specified as a User Type within the Data Permissions Matrix (DPM).

Modification 0649S – “Update to UNC to formalise the Data Permission Matrix” formally created the Data Permission Matrix as part of the UK Link Manual. Modification 0649S requires that the addition of a new User type (a new party to be recognised on the Data Permission Matrix) is undertaken by a UNC Modification. Once the new User type is created, the approval for the release of data to the new User type is held by the Data Services Contract, Contract Management Committee (DSC CoMC).

Why

In line with UNC Legal Text implemented for 0649S a new Modification is needed to add a new User type to the DPM, regardless of if they are already specified under UNC Section V.

PAFA are currently entitled to access Protected Information as reasonably required for the purpose of performing functions under the PAF Contract. Adding PAFA to the DPM will, subject to DSC CoMC approval, facilitate release of data over and above what is currently defined in the Performance Administrator Report Register.

How

This enabling Modification is proposing to add the PAFA as a new User type to the DPM.

2 Governance

Justification for Self-Governance

It is proposed that this Modification is classified as Self-Governance as it does not have a material impact on gas consumers, competition, pipeline operations, security of supply, governance procedures and does not discriminate between code parties. The Modification is to enable data sharing permissions only and therefore an administrative enabler only.

As there will be an IGT UNC Modification (reference TBC) it is recommended they both follow the same governance classification.

Requested Next Steps

This Modification should:

- be considered a non-material change and subject to self-governance
- be assessed by a Joint UNC/IGT Workgroup

3 Why Change?

This change is proposed to add PAFA as a new User type to the DPM in line with the requirements set out in Modification 0649S. This will then enable a request for the disclosure of specific data to be submitted to the

DSC CoMC for approval, where needed, to enable the PAFA to access additional data than is currently specifically available via the reports defined in the PARR. Provision of this additional data will enable the PAFA to better understand context of the output reports described in the Performance Assurance Reports Register, and therefore refine actions needed and ensure that they can engage fully with the relevant User to understand the impacts and reasons for failures and assess the efficacy of the reports.

The Performance Assurance Committee has specifically requested that the inclusion of the PAFA in the DPM is expediated so that additional information is available to the PAFA prior to implementation of UNC Modification 0697 - Alignment of the UNC TPD Section V5 and the Data Permissions Matrix.

It is anticipated that, following approval of this Modification, the data made available to the PAFA will be **initially** limited to the individual data items that are used to create the summary reports that form the existing PARR. This is for information only as this will be subject to approval by the DSC Contract Management Committee.

4 Code Specific Matters

Reference Documents

The Performance Assurance Report Register is available here: [PARR](#)

Knowledge/Skills

None identified.

5 Solution

This enabling Modification is proposing to add the PAFA as a new User type to the DPM.

6 Impacts & Other Considerations

Does this modification impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

None.

Consumer Impacts

None directly identified, this is a permissions Modification to permit the release of data that may lead to benefits to the consumer.

Cross Code Impacts

An equivalent IGT UNC Modification will be required.

EU Code Impacts

None.

Central Systems Impacts

As this is a permissions Modification there are no direct impacts, however, impacts will be identified and developed through the DSC Contract Management Committee and the DSC Change Management Committee, where required, to deliver the data requested.

7 Relevant Objectives

Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:

Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	None
b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.	None
c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	None
d) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.	None
e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	None
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.	Positive
g) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	None

This change is to add Performance Assurance Framework Administrator to the Data Permissions Matrix. This Modification is less onerous than creating bespoke permissions for the PAFA within the main body of UNC to enable additional data to be released on a case by case basis to support the objectives of the Performance Assurance Framework. This Modification also aligns with the principles approved in UNC Modification 0649S - Update to UNC to formalise the Data Permission Matrix.

8 Implementation

As self-governance procedures are proposed, implementation could be sixteen business days after a Modification Panel decision to implement, subject to no Appeal being raised.

9 Legal Text

Changes are not anticipated to the Uniform Network Code. An additional role of 'PAFA' will be required to be added to the Data Permissions Matrix.

10 Recommendations

Proposer's Recommendation to Panel

Panel is asked to:

- Agree that Self-Governance procedures should apply; and
- Refer this proposal to a Joint UNC/IGT UNC Workgroup for assessment.