# NULL METER ERROR REPORT

# FINAL

Reconcile?	N
Safety Issue?	N
Thesis Report No.	

### **1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

SITE NAME		Bracknell							
LDZ		NT							
START DATE (actual)		22 <sup>nd</sup> Jan 202	21						
LAST GOOD DATE									
END DATE		1 <sup>st</sup> June 202	1						
SIZE OF ERROR (No reconcilia required if under 0.1%)	ation	< 0.0342% (	on original measured volume						
ESTIMATE – Y/N?		Ν							
ROOT CAUSE		Incorrect CO fixed factors computer	O <sub>2</sub> and Isentropic Exponent s were entered into flow						
ANALYSIS		Calculation of flowrates using incorrect and correct $CO_2$ and Isentropic Exponent fixed factors to determine the error.							
METER TYPE		Orifice							
AUTHOR		Damian Ray							
CHECKED BY		Simon How	rard						
ACCEPTED BY CADENT NETWORK									
RECONCILIATION	Distribut	ion Transportation							

C:\Users\HelenCuin\gasgov\Joint Office Gas of Transporters - Industry Information\Publications\Measurement Error Reports\2. Measurement Error Notifications and Reports\NT012 - Bracknell MTA Measurement Error Report (Null) (11 January 2022).doc 11/01/2022

### 2. BACKGROUND

Gas is supplied to part of the Cadent network at Bracknell FWACV inter LDZ site. The site metering system comprises of a single stream orifice plate.

On the 22<sup>nd</sup> January 2021, incorrectly calculated CO<sub>2</sub> and Isentropic Exponent fixed factors were entered into the site flow computer configuration. On the 1<sup>st</sup> June the correct values for the CO<sub>2</sub> and Isentropic Exponent were entered into the flow computer.

### **3. ERROR QUANTIFICATION AND IMPACT**

Table 1 details the correct and incorrect CO<sub>2</sub> and Isentropic Exponent fixed factors entered into the flow computer. Image 1 shows the incorrect CO<sub>2</sub> and Isentropic Exponent fixed factors and image 2 shows the correct CO<sub>2</sub> and Isentropic Exponent fixed factors.

	Incorrect	Correct
CO <sub>2</sub>	0.787099	0.8327
Isentropic Exponent	1.297847	1.297931

Table 1 Correct and Incorrect CO2 and Isentropic Exponent values

Data Analysis Period 1 January 2020 05:00 hrs to 1 January 2021 05:00 hrs inclusive											Atmos P	1.01325	1.01325			Isentropic Exponent	Viscosity					
Average composition, flow pres	verage composition, flow pressure and flow temperature (mol %)									llc (index)	(mol.%) Omni 6 d.p. TR GO.DAT	(Index) Omni 6 d.p. Fluid Data & Analysis	(pa.s) Omni 7 d.p. Fluid Data & Analysis									
site	h_ref	Count	meter	Stream	c1	c2	c3	nc4	ic4	nc5	ic5	neoc5	c6	co2	n2	P	T	Visc	isc Isent			,
Bracknell	H9423	109485	M01	3	91.09904	4.477093	0.958162	0.149866	0.175526	0.029947	0.043784	0	0.044804	0.787099	1.362943	21.40729	11.43690414	108.0721789	1.297847243	0.787099	1.297847	0.0000108

#### Image 1, Incorrect CO<sub>2</sub> and Isentropic Exponent

Data Analysis Pe	riod 1 January 2	020 05:00 hrs	to 1 Januar	y 2021 05:	00 hrs inclu	sive											Atmos P	1.01325			Average CO <sub>2</sub>	Isentropic Exponent	Viscosit	ity
Average compos	Average composition, flow pressure and flow temperature (mol.%) (mol.%) (bar.g) (degC) (uPoise) (index)								(mol.%) ( Calc Omni 6 d.p. ( iPoise) (index) TR GO.DAT I		(Index) Omni 6 d.p. Fluid Data & Analysis	) (pa.s) 6 d.p. Omni 7 d.p. Data & Analysis Fluid Data &												
si	te	h_ref	Count	meter	Stream	c1	c2	c3	nc4	ic4	nc5	ic5	neoc5	c6	co2	n2	P	Т	Visc	lsent				
Bracknell <sup>*1</sup>		H9423	102467	M01	3	91.995158	4.462908	0.919806	0.151546	0.186753	0.031865	0.047568	0.001536	0.063331	0.832700	1.306829	21.377362	10.677473	107.821051	1.297931	0.832700	1.297931		0.0000108

Image 2 Correct CO<sub>2</sub> and Isentropic Exponent

C:\Users\HelenCuin\gasgov\Joint Office Gas of Transporters - Industry Information\Publications\Measurement Error Reports\2. Measurement Error Notifications and Reports\NT012 - Bracknell MTA Measurement Error Report (Null) (11 January 2022).doc 11/01/2022

The percentage error in flowrate, summarized in Table 2 was calculated using the incorrect and correct  $CO_2$  and isentropic exponent values. The error was analysed over a range of flow pressures, differential pressures and temperatures and was found to be minimally affected. The percentage error was calculated using the worst case values for both differential pressure and temperature.

Percentage Error in Flowrate %	< 0.0342
--------------------------------------	----------

### 4. CAUSES

The error was caused by the fixed factors being incorrectly calculated due to missing data in the extracted data.

### 5. RECOMMENDATIONS AND LEARNING

An appropriate data validation process should be employed to ensure a complete data set is available for the fixed factor calculations.

## REFERENCES

Bracknell fixed factor Error 2021.xls

## **VERSION HISTORY**

Version	Changes	Author	Date
1	First Issue	Damian Ray	25/10/2021