***Change Proposal***

Class 4 Transfer Reads not visible to shippers in DES

**CDSP Reference: XRN4576**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Document Stage | Version | Date | Author | Status |
| ROM Request / Change Proposal | 0.1 | 09/01/2018 | Alison Cross | Submitted to Change Committee |
| ROM Response |  |  |  | Choose an item. |
| Change Management Committee Outcome | 1.0 | 07/03/2018 | Xoserve | Approved by Change Committee |
| EQR |  |  |  | Choose an item. |
| Change Management Committee Outcome |  |  |  | Choose an item. |
| BER | 0.1 | 02/05/18 | Xoserve | Submitted to Change Committee |
| Change Management Committee Outcome | 1.0 | 09/05/2018 | Xoserve | Approved by Change Committee |
| CCR |  |  |  | Choose an item. |
| Change Management Committee Outcome |  |  |  | Choose an item. |

***Document Purpose***

This document is intended to provide a single view of a change as it moves through the change journey. The document is constructed in a way that enables each section to build upon the details entered in the preceding section. The level of detail is built up in an incremental manner as the project progresses.

The template is aligned to the Change Management Procedures, as defined in the CDSP Service Document. The template is designed to remove the need for duplication of information. Where information is required in one section but has been previously captured in a previous section, the previous section will be referenced.

The summary table on the front page shows the history and the current status of the Change Proposal.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ***Section*** | ***Title*** | ***Responsibility*** |
| 1 | Proposed Change | Proposer / Mod Panel |
| 2 | ROM Request / Change Proposal | Proposer / Mod Panel |
| 3 | ROM Request Rejection | CDSP |
| 4 | Rough Order of Magnitude (ROM) Analysis | CDSP |
| 5 | Change Proposal: Committee Outcome | Change Management Committee |
| 6 | EQR: Change Proposal Rejection | CDSP |
| 7 | Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Notification of delivery date | CDSP |
| 8 | Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR) | CDSP |
| 9 | Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Committee Outcome | Change Management Committee |
| 10 | Business Evaluation Report (BER) | CDSP |
| 11 | Business Evaluation Report (BER): Committee Outcome | Change Management Committee |
| 12 | Change Completion Report (CCR) | CDSP |
| 13 | Change Completion Report (CCR): Committee Outcome | Change Management Committee |
| 14 | Document Template Version History | CDSP |
| ***Appendix*** |
| A1 | Glossary of Key Terms | N/A |

# *Section 1: Proposed Change*

Please complete section 1 and 2 and specify within section 2 the output that is required from the CDSP

|  |
| --- |
| **Originator Details** |
| **Submitted By** | Shipper Representatives at Defect and Release Group (via Alison Cross) | **Contact Number** | 0121 623 2198 |
| **Email Address** | Alison.cross@xoserve.com |
| **Customer Representative** | Alison Cross | **Contact Number** | 0121 623 2198 |
| **Email Address** | Alison.cross@xoserve.com |
| **Subject Matter Expert/Network Lead** | Karen Marklew - Xoserve | **Contact Number** | 0121 623 2860  |
| **Email Address** | karen.j.marklew@xoserve.com |
| **Customer Class** | [x]  Shipper[ ]  National Grid Transmission[ ]  Distribution Network Operator[ ]  iGT |
| **Overview of proposed change** |
| **Change Details** | Originally logged as Defect 573 on HPQC – Defect now closed. **Issue Statement**Per the Nexus rules, Xoserve currently accept reads from D-5 to D+5 as the transfer read for the transfer date D. But if the read date is spanning from D-5 to D-1, this read is not visible to the incoming shipper via Data Enquiry Service (DES) as this period is not in its ownership, and vice versa, if it is D+1 to D+5 the outgoing shipper cannot see the read.In SAP IS-U Xoserve store the read against the transfer date in the read screen. There is no issue with billing; reconciliation is using correct dates etc. Supporting information displays the actual read date and the transfer date is reflected in the variance date.  The issue is purely a presentation in DES and supporting information.**Current process**Shipper obligation to provide reading and where accepted the read is issued to both parties on the URN file, where a reading is not provided an estimated read will be generated and issued on the MBR file. **DRG Decision**At DRG in Dec 2017 Xoserve proposed making the workarounds (below) enduring and closing Defect 573. This was not agreed by shipper representatives and the below feedback provided: * Shippers would not support any file format changes.
* Shippers would not support the workarounds being enduring.
* Shippers would still like ability to view the D-5 – D+5 reads in DES

As this is not actually a defect (the process is working correctly/ this functionality in DES was not available in Legacy) the defect was closed and this Change proposal has been raised to look at the options to provide the visibility of the reads without incurring file format changes. The CR will follow the DSC Change management process.**For information: Workarounds**There are already 2 workaround options, both of which have been available since the defect was raised in July.First is to call the Contact Centre to request the read detail.Second is to refer to reports already available, which includes the Historic Supply Meter Point Asset and Read information report.  |
| **Reason(s) for proposed service change** | To allow shippers to view transfer reads on DES. |
| **Status of related UNC Mod** | NA |
| **Full title of related UNC Mod** | NA |
| **Benefits of change** | To remove need for workarounds to be used by Shippers when trying to find out transfer reads. |
| **Required Change Implementation Date** | TBC |
| **Please provide an assessment of the priority of this change from the perspective of the industry.** | [ ] High[ ] Medium[ ] LowRationale for assessment: |

# *Section 2: Initial Assessment / ROM Request / Change Proposal*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Service Level of Quote/Estimate Robustness Requested** | **Evaluation Services**[ ] Initial Assessment *(Mod related changes only)*[ ] ROM estimate for Analysis and Delivery**CDSP Change Services**[ ] Firm Quote for Analysis[x] Firm Quote for both Analysis and Delivery  |
| **Has any initial assessment been performed in support of this change?** | [ ] Yes[x] No |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Is this considered to be a Priority Service Change?** | [ ] Yes (Mod Related)[ ] Yes (Legislation Change Related)[x] No |
| **Is this change considered to relate to a ‘restricted class’ of customers?**Consider if the particular change is only likely to impact those who fall under a particular customer classIf it impacts all customer classes (i.e. Transmission, Distribution & Shippers) then choose ‘No’. | [x] Yes (please mark the customer class(es) to whom this is restricted)[ ] No-----------------------------------------------------------------------------[x] Shippers[ ] National Grid Transmission[ ] Distribution Network Operators[ ] iGT’s |
| **Is it anticipated that the change would have an adverse impact on customers of any other customer classes?**Please refer to appendix one for the definition of an ‘adverse impact’ | [ ] Yes (please give details)[x] No |
| ***General Service Changes Only (please ensure that either A or B below is completed)*** |
| 1. Customer view of impacted service area(s)

For a definition of the Service Areas, please see the ‘Charge Base Apportionment Table’ within the [Budget and Charging Methodology](http://www.xoserve.com/wp-content/uploads/BUDGET-AND-CHARGING-METHODOLOGY.pdf). Please indicate the service area(s) that are understood to be impacted by the change. Please enter ‘unknown’ if relevant. Where the change is likely to impact more than one service area please indicate the percentage split of the impact across the impacted service areas. For example if it is split equally across two service areas then enter 50% in the ‘split’ against each service area. |
| unknown |
| 1. If the change is anticipated to require the creation of a new service area and service line please give further details stating proposed name of new service area and title of service line:
 |
| NA |
| ***Specific Service Changes Only:*** |
| Please detail the proposed methodology (or amendment to the existing methodology) for determining Specific Service Change Charges.  |
| Shippers will fund this change |
| Please detail the proposed basis (that is, Charging Measure and Charging Period) for determining Specific Service Change Charges in respect of the Specific Service. |
|  |
| **Impacts to UKLink System or File Formats** |
| Please mention if there are any expected impacts to UK Link Systems/File Formats. Any changes to it will need UK Link Committee approvalIf it has already been through UK Link committee then please mention the date it was taken to the committee and detail the outcome |
| Impacts UKL Manual Appendix 5b |
| Mention the updates to be captured in the Appendix 5B of the UK Link Manual due to this Change |
| Impacts to Gemini System |
|  |
| Please give any other relevant information. |
|  |

Please send the document to the following:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Recipient*** | ***Email*** |
| Xoserve Portfolio Office |  |
| Change Management Committee Secretary | dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk |

# *Section 3: ROM Request Acceptance*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Is there sufficient detail within the ROM Request to enable a ROM Analysis to be produced? | [ ] Yes[ ] No |
| If no, please define the additional details that are required. |  |

If the ROM Request is not accepted. Please forward this document to the Portfolio Office for onward transmission to the Change Management Committee

# *Section 4: ROM Analysis*

This ROM is Xoserve’s response to the above Evaluation Service Request. The response is intended to support customer involvement in the development of industry changes.

Should the request obtain approval for continuance then a Change Proposal must be raised for any further analysis / development.

Disclaimer:

This ROM Analysis has been prepared in good faith by Xoserve Limited but by its very nature is only able to contain indicative information and estimates (including without limitation those of time, resource and cost) based on the circumstances known to Xoserve at the time of its preparation. Xoserve accordingly makes no representations of accuracy or completeness and any representations as may be implied are expressly excluded (except always for fraudulent misrepresentation).

Where Xoserve becomes aware of any inaccuracies or omissions in, or updates required to, this Report it shall notify the Network Operators’ Representative as soon as reasonably practicable but Xoserve shall have no liability in respect of any such inaccuracy or omission and any such liability as may be implied by law or otherwise is expressly excluded.

This Report does not, and is not intended to; create any contractual or other legal obligation on Xoserve.

© 2017 Xoserve Ltd

All rights reserved.

|  |
| --- |
| ROM Analysis |
| **Change Assessment**High level indicative assessment of the change on the CDSP service description, on UKLink and any alternative options if applicable |
| **Change Impact:**Initial assessment of whether the service change is / would have:* a restricted class change,
* a priority service change
* an adverse impact on any customer classes
 |
| **Change Costs (implementation):**An approximate estimate of the costs (or range of costs) where options are identified |
| **Change Costs (on-going):**The approximate estimate of the impact of the service change on service charges |
| **Timescales:**Details of timescale for the change i.e. 3months etc.Details of when Xoserve could start this change i.e. the earliest is release X. |
| **Assumptions:**Any key assumptions that have been made by Xoserve when providing the cost and or timescale |
| **Dependencies:**Any material dependencies of the implementation on any other service changes |
| **Constraints:**Any key constraints that are expected to impact the delivery of the service change |

Please send the document to the following:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Recipient*** | ***Email*** |
| Xoserve Portfolio Office | changeorders@xoserve.com |
| Requesting Party | As specified in ROM Request |

# *Section 5: Change Proposal: Committee Outcome*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| The Change Proposal is approved. An EQR is requested | Yes |
| Approved Change Proposal version | 1.0 |
| The change proposal shall not proceed | NA |
| The committee votes to postpone its decision on the Change Proposal until a later meeting | NA | Date of later meeting |  |
| The committee requires the proposer to make updates to the Change Proposal: | NA |
| Updates required: |

# *Section 6: Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Change Proposal Rejection*

|  |
| --- |
| Change Proposal Rejection |
|  | **Yes** |  | **No** | Is there sufficient detail within the Change Proposal to enable an EQR to be produced?If no, please provide further details below. |
| Further details required: |

Please send the document to the following:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Recipient*** | ***Email*** |
| Change Management Committee Secretary | dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk |

# *Section 7: Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Notification of Delivery Date*

|  |
| --- |
| Notification of EQR Delivery Date |
| Original EQR delivery date: |  |
| Revised EQR delivery date: |  |
| Rationale for revision of delivery date: |  |

Please send the document to the following:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Recipient*** | ***Email*** |
| Change Management Committee Secretary | dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk |

# *Section 8: Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR)*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Project Manager |  | Contact Number |  |
| Email Address |  |
| Project Lead |  | Contact Number |  |
| Email Address |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Please provide an indicative assessment of the impact of the proposed change on:1. CDSP Service Description
2. CDSP Systems
 |  |
| Approximate timescale for delivery of ‘business evaluation report’ (N.b this is from the date on which the EQR is approved.) |  |
| Estimated cost of business evaluation report preparationThis can be expressed as a range of costs i.e. *‘at least £xx,xxx but probably not more than £xx,xxx’*. |  |
| Does the CDSP agree with the ‘Restricted class change’ assessment (where provided)?Please refer to detail provided in the Change Proposal | [ ] Yes[ ] No (please give detail below) |
| Does the CDSP agree with the ‘Adverse Impact’ assessment (where provided)?Please refer to detail provided in the Change Proposal | [ ] Yes[ ] No (please give detail below) |
| Does the CDSP agree with the ‘Priority Service Change’ assessment (where provided)?Please refer to detail provided in the Change Proposal | [ ] Yes[ ] No (please give detail below) |
| **General service changes** |
| Does the CDSP agree with the assessment made in the Change Proposal regarding impacted service areas?This should refer to whether the proposing party considers the service change to relate to an existing service area or whether is constitutes a new service area. | [ ] Yes[ ] No (please give detail below) |
|  |
| **Specific service changes** |
| Does the CDSP agree with the proposal made in the Change Proposal regarding specific change charges?This should refer to the proposed methodology (or amendment to existing methodology) for determining the specific service charges and the proposed basis for determining the specific service change charges. | [ ] Yes[ ] No (please give detail below) |
| Please provide a draft amendment of the Specific Service Change Charge Annex setting out the methodology for determining Specific Service Change Charges proposed in the Change Proposal |  |
| EQR validity period: |  |

Please send the document to the following:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Recipient*** | ***Email*** |
| Change Management Committee Secretary | dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk |

# *Section 9: Evaluation Quotation Report: Committee Outcome*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| The EQR is approved |  |
| Approved EQR version |  |
| The Change Proposal shall not proceed. The Change Proposal and this EQR shall lapse |  |
| The committee votes to postpone its decision on the EQR until a later meeting |  | Date of later meeting |  |
| The committee requires updates to the EQR: |  |
| Updates required: |  |
| **General service changes only**(The detail upon which the response will be based is originally defined in the change proposal and potentially commented upon in the subsequent EQR)  |
| 1. Does the committee agree with the assessment of the service area(s) to which the service line belongs and the weighting of the impact?
 | [ ]  Yes[ ] No |
| 1. If no, please enter the agreed service area(s) and the weighting:
 |  |
| **Specific service changes only**(The detail upon which the response will be based is originally defined in the Change Proposal and potentially commented upon in the subsequent EQR) |
| 1. Please confirm the methodology for the determination of Specific Service Change charges
 |  |
| 1. Please confirm the charging measure and charging period for the determination of Specific Service Change charges
 |  |

# *Section 10: Business Evaluation Report (BER)*

|  |
| --- |
| **Change Implementation Detail** |
| 1.) Detail changes required to the CDSP Service Description |
| N/A – No changes are required to the CDSP Service Description |
| 2.) Detail modifications required to UK Link |
| N/A – No modification is required to UK-Link code |
| 3.) Detail changes required to appendix 5b of the UK Link Manual |
| N/A – This change is not Mod driven |
| 4.) Detail impact on operating procedures and resources of the CDSP |
| No impact to operating procedures or CDSP resources |
| 5.) Implementation Plan |
| Proposed circa September 2018 |
| 6.) Estimated implementation costs |
| £27,236.00 |
| 6a.) How will the charging for the costs be allocated to different customer classes? (General Service Changes only) |
| Please mark % against each customer class:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | National Grid Transmission |
|  | Distribution Network Operators and IGT’s |
|  | DN Operator |
|  | IGT’s |
| 100 | Shippers |
| 100% |  |

 |
| 7.) Estimated impact of the service change on service charges |
| N/A – No impact to service charges as a result of this change |
| 8.) Please detail any pre-requisite activities that must be completed by the customer prior to receiving or being able to request the service. |
| None currently identified |
| ***Implementation Options*** |
| Please provide details on any alternative solution/implementation options:This should include:(i) a description of each Implementation Option;(ii) the advantages and disadvantages of each option(iii) the CDSP preferred Implementation Option |
| One solution option has been identified to deliver a new read screen in DES portal where users will have the ability to search by MPRN and view its corresponding read history/details along with missing transfer reads and additional details such as read reason and timestamps. As it would be an additional/new screen, there won’t be any impact to existing setup or webservices.Additionally a read date can be added as a search parameter on the proposed new screen should a user be interested in a specific days read. Otherwise it will display 40 reads going back last three years (depend on availability)The proposed new screen would be enabled for external shipper login only.This additional screen will contain the fields captured below;  **Input**

|  |
| --- |
| Fields |
| MPRN |
| Read Date |

 **Output Result Fields**

|  |
| --- |
| Fields |
| MPRN |
| Meter Read Date |
| Meter Read Type |
| Meter Read |
| Meter Round the Clock |
| Convertor Read |
| Convertor Round the Clock |
| Read Reason |
| Creation Date |
| Creation Time |

 |
| Restricted Class Changes onlyIs there any change in the view of the CDSP on whether there would be an ‘Adverse Impact’ on customers outside the relevant customer class(es)? |
| [ ] Yes (please give detail below)[x] NoNo adverse impacts are expected to customers as a result of this change. |
| Dependencies: |
| Approval of this BER by no later than the June Change Management Committee is required to enable potential delivery circa September 2018 |
| Constraints: |
| None currently identified |
| Benefits: |
| * The proposed new screen introduces new fields (Read Reason, Creation Date & Creation Time) which will provide the ability for Shippers to identify Replacement Reads and sort these reads in a logical order
* Introduces the ability for both outgoing & incoming Shippers to identify and view Shipper Transfer Reads in the range of D-5 to D+5
* The proposed new screen will allow users to select the required read date (new functionality)
* There will be no impacts to the existing DES service as a result of introducing the proposed new screen
 |
| Impacts: |
| None currently identified |
| Risks: |
| There is a risk that by not introducing the proposed new screen this may lead to DES performance issues due to additional validation of transfer reads being introduced to the current DES screen, which currently has an SLA of 3 to 10 second response time to display the existing 147 fields. It is expected that the addition of extra logic would slow down current processing times.  |
| Assumptions: |
| * The propsed new screen will be for use by Xoserve and Shipper users only
* Existing rules will be followed in terms of displaying the first 40 reads going back for a duration of 3 years
 |
| Information Security: |
| No impact/changes to Information Security as a result of this change |
| Out of scope: |
| Any additional requirements not defined within this captured change |
| Please provide any additional information relevant to the proposed service change: |
|  |

Please send the document to the following:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Recipient*** | ***Email*** |
| Change Management Committee Secretary | dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk |

# *Section 11: Business Evaluation Report: Committee Outcome*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| The BER is approved and the change can proceed | Approved |
| ***Modification Changes Only***Please ensure that the Transporters are formally informed of the Target Implementation Date |
| Approved BER version | 1.0 |
| The change proposal shall not proceed and the BER shall lapse | NA |
| The committee votes to postpone its decision on the BER until a later meeting | NA | Date of later meeting |  |
| The committee requires updates to the BER: | NA |
| Updates required: |

# *Section 12: Change Completion Report (CCR)*

|  |
| --- |
| Change Overview |
| Please include detail on the following for the chosen implementation option: modifications to UKLink, impact on operating procedures and resources of the CDSP. Actions required of the customer prior to the commencement date |
| Please detail any differences between the solution that was implemented and what was defined in the BER. |
|  |
| Detail the revised text of the CDSP Service Description reflecting the change that has been made |
|  |
| Were there any revisions to the text of the UK Link Manual? |
| [ ] Yes (please insert the revised text of the UK Link manual below)[ ] No |
| Proposed Commencement Date |  | Actual Commencement Date |  |
| Please provide an explanation of any variance |
| Please detail the main lessons learned from the project |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| Service change costs |
|

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Approved Costs (£) |  | Actual Costs (£) |  |

Reasons for variance between approved and actual costs: |

Please send the document to the following:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Recipient*** | ***Email*** |
| Change Management Committee Secretary | enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk |

# *Section 13: Change Completion Report: Committee Outcome*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| The implementation is complete and the CCR is approved |  |
| Approved CCR version |  |
| The committee votes to postpone its decision on the CCR until a later meeting |  | Date of later meeting: |  |
| The committee requires further information |  |
| Further information required: |
| The committee considers that the implementation is not complete |  |
| Further action(s) required: |
| The proposed changes to the CDSP Service Description or UK Link Manual are not correct |  |
| Amendments to CDSP service description / UKLink manual required: |

# *Section 14: Document Template Version History*

The purpose of this section is to keep a record of the changes to the overall version template and the individual sections within. It will be updated by the CDSP following approval of the template update by the Change Management Committee.

**Version History:**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Version** | **Status** | **Date** | **Author(s)** | **Summary of Changes** |
| 1.0 | Approved |  | CDSP | Version Approved by Change Committee |
|  |  |  |  |  |

**--- END OF DOCUMENT ---**

# *Appendix One: Glossary*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Term** | **Definition** |
| Adverse Impact | A Service Change has or would have an Adverse Impact on Customers of a particular Customer Class if:(a) Implementing the Service Change would involve a modification of UK Link which would conflict with the provision of existing Services for which such Customer Class is a Relevant Customer Class;(b) the Service Change would involve the CDSP disclosing Confidential Information relating to such Customers to Customers of another Customer Class or to Third Parties;(c) Implementing the Service Change would conflict to a material extent with the Implementation of another Service Change (for which such Customer Class is a Relevant Customer Class) with an earlier Proposal Date and which remains Current, unless the Service Change is a Priority Service Change which (under the Priority Principles) takes priority over the other Proposed Service Change; or(d) Implementing the Service Change would have an Adverse Interface Impact for such Customers. |
| General Service | A service provided under the DSC to Customers or Customers of a Customer Class on a uniform basis. |
| Non-Priority Service Change | A Service Change which is not a Priority Service Change |
| Priority Service Change | A Modification Service Change; orA Service Change in respect of a Service which allows or facilitates compliance by a Customer or Customers with Law or with any document designated for the purposes of Section 173 of the Energy Act 2004 (including any such Law or document or change thereto which has been announced but not yet made). |
| Relevant Customer class | A Customer Class is a **Relevant Customer Class** in relation to a Service or a Service Change where Service Charges made or to be made in respect of such Service, or the Service subject to such Service Change, are or will be payable by Customers of that Customer Class |
| Restricted Class Change | Where, in relation to a Service Change, not all Customer Classes are Relevant Customer Classes, the Service Change is a **Restricted Class Change**; |
| Service Change | A change to a Service provided under the DSC (not being an Additional Service), including:(i) the addition of a new Service or removal of an existing Service; and(ii) in the case of an existing Service, a change in any feature of the Service specified in the CDSP Service Description,and any related change to the CDSP Service Description |
| Specific Service | A service (other than Additional Services) available under the DSC to all Customer or Customers of a Customer Class but provided to a particular Customer only upon the order of the Customer. |