



WALES&WEST
UTILITIES



Xoserve Funding Governance and Operation Project Overview Board 5th September 2014

Procurement Options

- We need to strike a balance between achieving the target implementation date and maximising stakeholder involvement
- The stages of a procurement event are well defined
 - Expression of interest
 - Request for information
 - Request for price
- What takes the time is the development of the specification and the assessment process

- We require a programme manager and provider of advisory services which would probably be by means of a framework agreement with agreed rates and Users would use this to form bilateral contracts for the services they require
- In terms of process we could
 1. Procure a programme manager and give them the obligation to define the requirements and procure a provider of advisory services
 2. Procure a programme manager and then after defining the requirements through the Project Overview Board procure a provider of advisory services
 3. Wait until the Project Overview Board has defined the requirements for advisory services and procure the programme manager and provider of advisory services in one event with two lots

- We can either run a new procurement event or events for this project
- If one or more of the GTs had a framework agreement that provided one of programme management or advisory services one option would be to appoint directly from this or by means of a request for price process if there was more than one option
 - Advantage
 - Expedites appointment of service providers
 - Disadvantage
 - Less stakeholder involvement
 - We would need to clarify which party would let the contract

- Which of the procurement options do you prefer
- How much involvement do non GT stakeholders want and are able to resource in the process ?
- Is a direct appointment from an existing GT framework acceptable?