

respect > commitment > teamwork

TWG Action TW0402

23rd May 2012

 <u>TWG Action</u>: "Xoserve to calculate average values for summer consumption for the Small NDM Sample for the 2011/12 data compared to the 2010/11 data to determine whether the change to the read spike tolerance was appropriate and report back to the Group."



TWG Action TW0402 – 2 of 4

- Action raised following discussions at April TWG on validation criteria used for 'Summer Spike ratio' for Small NDM dataloggers
- 'Summer' period for Spring 2012 analysis was over a shorter period i.e. 1st April to 30th September as opposed to 1st March to 30th September used in previous years analysis
- Summer Spike ratio has been 10:1 in previous years i.e. any site which has daily consumptions that is greater than 10 * the average consumption over the summer period is excluded
- During the first validation run it was noticed that there was significantly fewer sites passing validation than in previous years and Summer Spike ratio failures had risen significantly



TWG Action TW0402 – 3 of 4

- Due to change in 'Summer' period this year Xoserve felt that criteria should be amended to reflect the 'dropping off' of March in the calculations
- For Small NDM AMR sites (mainly Domestic) a criteria of 15:1 is used but it was felt this was too high for Small NDM dataloggers (mainly I&C) as the weather sensitivities are different
- Xoserve therefore selected a criteria of 13:1 as this represented an approximate 'mid point' between the two values
- Analysis has since been done on the average summer consumptions to check if this was a reasonable number to select



TWG Action TW0402 – 4 of 4

	Spring Analysis – Small NDM Loggers	
	2012	2011
Summer Period	1 st Apr '11 – 30 th Sep '11	1 st Mar'10 – 30 th Sep'10
Average daily cons per Site	1,831 KWh ^(B)	2,483 KWh ^(A)
Threshold based on 10:1	18,310 KWh	24,830 KWh
Possible Ratio for 2012	13.56	

- Above table represents the averages pre the Summer Spike ratio test
- The possible ratio for 2012 based on the averages appear to support the value chosen by Xoserve (13 or 14 could have been used)
- This has been calculated as follows: 10*A/B
- Xoserve accept that in future such decisions should be reached by the TWG (as long as quick responses are received)
- <u>Note:</u> The figures for 2012 and 2011 above represent around 50% of the sample data this is because the validation is done in 2 batches because of the size of the data to be processed and only the latest batch is available to interrogate

