## Representation on Performance Assurance sub-committee

WWU's position is as follows:

1) The effective default for UNC sub-committees is equal representation for Transporters and Shippers
2) Changes from the established equal representation should be driven by arguments based on scope or other principles. For example Offtakes sub-committee is comprised of Transporters only (OAD N 8.1.2) as this committee only deals with issues relating to NTS offtakes; the Energy Balancing Credit Committee is comprised of NTS and Shippers but only Shippers have votes (TPD X 1.2.2) as only Shippers are affected by the committee's decisions.
3) The current scope of the PAC includes both DNs and Xoserve but not NTS who are not members of PAC (TPD V16.2.1)
a. While the scope includes DNs then the PAC will require DN expertise and hence representation on the committee
b. While the scope includes Xoserve then the PAC will require DN expertise and hence representation on the committee
4) Arguing for increased representation on the basis that more Shippers Representatives have been nominated than there are places leads to the corollary that if fewer Shipper Representative are nominated than places available then representation should be reduced. This is not a good principle. We note recently expressed concern over attendance at Energy Balancing Credit Committee.

WWU would be willing to accept considerably reduced or nil representation at PAC if its scope is changed to remove DNs and Xoserve from the scope of PAC. Review 0575R has closed with an action to discuss voluntary provision of data at Shrinkage Forum. Until this has been resolved and whether reports relating to large volume meters should be brought into the scope of PAC, it is not clear that the scope of PAC can be amended. We note that changing the scope of PAC by amending the terms of reference would reduce the scope of PAC to less than was enabled by the legal text in TPD V16.1.1 (d) but such a reduction in scope is not prohibited by the legal text.

