Hi JO, I’ve reviewed the latest version and offer the following comments:

Page 6 – Consumer Impacts

* Delete “No impact / positive impact”
* Delete sentence starting “Occasional increases in CO2 content…” and replace with “In the event of a CO2 excursion by a sub-terminal, fortuitous commingling within the NG terminal can prevent the gas entering the NTS from exceeding 4mol%.  BP’s analysis to support this Modification showed that such commingling could be expected to maintain gas entering the NTS at St Fergus at below 4mol%.”
* Delete or move the next 2 paragraphs as they are not consumer impacts.  State that further impacts are detailed later in the report (currently at p17-18)

Page 17 – National Grid Assessment of Operational Risks

* Make the first 2 sentences past tense as these assessments have now been completed.
* If the Mod solution is amended to also specify an aggregate 7mol% limit for CO2 and N2, it would be helpful to make a link after the final bullet point, something like “As a consequence of this output from National Grid NTS’ assessment, the Modification was amended to include this aggregate limitation for total inerts content.”

Bottom of page 19 – Impact on Producers

* “Approval of the proposed UNC modification ~~would~~ may remove…”
* If it is agreed to time-limit the effect of the mod, I suggest adding to this first paragraph which ends “…given to NSMP flows. In recognition that such flexibility could potentially not be available, National Grid NTS proposed to limit the duration of the proposed CO2 limit based on its need for use.”