

# FGO POB feedback

7 November 2014





# Summary feedback

Question/ response	Npower	British Gas	Gazprom	Eon	AGT	SP/ Datserve
Continue on current timetable to Apr 2016?	Yes with contingency plan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Do the issues that initiated FGO remain?	Yes	NA	Yes	Yes	NA	Yes
Resource availability?	Yes, but difficulties may arise	Yes, but difficulties may arise	Difficulty with Friday attendance	Could meeting dates be scheduled	Yes	Some difficulties with resource. Rescheduling dates might help
Prioritisation?	Medium/low	NA	NA	NA	NA	Medium
Should we defer?	No	No	No	No	No	No
Alternatives to full FGO?	No to alternatives	NA	Evolutionary approach	No to alternatives	NA	No to alternatives



# Analysis

- 6 responses received
- All in favour of delivery by April 2016 – no response wants to defer
- 4 consider the issues that initiated FGO remain
- Resourcing commitment remain problematic
- 2 consider FGO to be medium (low) priority
- 3 do not support alternative approaches/1 evolutionary



## What does this mean?

- Majority want FGO delivered as originally envisaged by the planned date of April 16
- .....but we have a resource/prioritisation problem
- .....and we know workstream development will exacerbate this
- .....we have 16 months delivery timeframe.
- .....continuing as we are **will not** deliver FGO in Apr 16.



# Delivery Options

- Retain existing industry arrangements – status quo
- Defer FGO to a later date
- De-scope FGO/Alternative low cost changes
- Defer other UNC/industry change programmes
- Shipper licence conditions to deliver FGO
- Turnkey consultancy
- Abandon collaboration
- Retain current collaborative approach
- Reschedule meetings