
xserve



respect > commitment > teamwork

UNC FGO Workgroup (Charging) 23.09.16

CDSP Investment Funding

Overview

- Investment funding principles were reflected in CAT submission:
 - Map project costs against service lines where possible; and
 - Where this is possible, allocate costs in line with the cost allocation methodology; or
 - Where this is not possible, allocate costs according to the overall split of run the business costs between different constituencies
- CAT submission data is set out in Table 1 in supporting Excel file
- Charging Workgroup has asked Xoserve to provide further information on investment funding and indicate extent of each constituency's funding commitment.
 - Information is set out in Table 2 in supporting Excel file

Notes

- 1) UKLP and UKLP post Day 1 have both been amended to 100% GT funding – consistent with proposition in Financial Transition paper
- 2) Change Budget Pots are illustrative – the Modification Process and Change Management Procedure define the funding model for each Change
- 3) Some line items have been re-badged to aid understanding:
 - a) ‘Nexus evolution’ >>> ‘Settlement evolution’
 - b) ‘CMS Strategy’ >>> ‘Contact management’
 - c) ‘Smart Metering Evolution – DCC User’ >>> ‘Smart Metering – DCC User’
 - d) ‘Smart Metering Evolution – DCC Registration’ and ‘Smart Metering Evolution – DCC Next Day switching’ >>> ‘Switching Programme – CRS/NDS’
 - e) ‘Smart Metering Evolution – Evolution infrastructure’ >>> ‘Smart Metering System’
- 4) Each constituency’s share of Infrastructure Charges is dependent upon calculation of the ‘Annual Customer Class Infrastructure Charge Base’ – percentages shown are indicative, based on CAT submission
- 5) Shipper Charges would be apportioned based on MPRN Count (Section 3.3 of Charging Methodology refers)