# **XX)**Serve

### NDM Algorithm Performance 2007/08 – Strand 2

# Reconciliation Variance Analysis NDM Sample Consumption Analysis

Supporting Document: Evaluation of Algorithm Performance 200708.pdf

DESC 20th January 2009



### Algorithm Performance 2007/08: Strand 2 Analysis

- Strand 1 (SF and WCF analysis) presented at Nov DESC
  - SF generally above 1 (closer than 06/07)
  - Negative WCF bias tending to inflate SF's closer to 1
  - Indicated aggregate NDM SND and AQs potentially too high
- Strand 2: Reconciliation Variance Analysis
  - Compare allocated demand (derived from algorithms) with
  - Actual demand obtained from available reconciliation data
- Strand 2: Analysis of NDM Sample Consumption
  - Compare the actual demand from the NDM sample data with
  - Allocated demand for the sample
- Supporting document: detailed explanation with full examples



### Reconciliation Variance (RV) 07/08: Actual to Allocated

- Compare actual demand (rec.) to allocated demand (algorithms)
- Use *available* Meter Point rec. data for band 'B' EUCs
  - Data available at time of analysis (non-monthly, smaller EUC may not have been received)
  - No analysis for EUC Band 1 (no rec.)
  - Uses Standard & Suppressed rec.
- Rejection criteria applied prior to analysis to remove inappropriate or erroneous rec. data
  - Negative and zero consumptions, actual to allocated ratio
- Profile comparisons are then compared and categorised as:
  - 'Peaky' 'Flat' 'Ok'



### Assessment of Standard and Suppressed Reconciliation

(based on reconciliations during April to September 2008)





### **RV Analysis: Levels of Validation Fall Out**

• Criteria: AQ <=3 kWh ; AQ <=0 ; Actual >0 and Allocated > 2\*Actual ; Actual >0 and Allocated <0.5\*Actual



- Rejection rates higher in summer due to smaller consumptions thereby resulting in greater % differences
- Profiles consistent with previous years and post-validation numbers good



**RV Analysis: Unreconciled Energy Profile** 



**X**(v)serve

# **RV Analysis Methodology**

- Following removal of rejected reconciliations, for each meter point:
  - Reconciled energy is identified
  - Allocated Energy calculated
  - Values are then applied evenly to each day of the reconciliation period
  - Average for each of the meter points in the specific EUC is calculated
- Profile is 'scaled'
  - Level of allocated demand (based on AQ) = actual demand (actual)
- Scaling allows profile comparisons and analysis of algorithm performance
  - Without scaling analysis would primarily highlight differences in demand levels (affected by other factors)



### WS: Consumption Band 03 (Pre-Scaling) RV Analysis – Allocated to Actual



- Chart examples available for all EUC Bands (B) and a cross section of LDZs
- 1<sup>st</sup> chart highlights where scaling has not occurred and profile of demand through the year
- Following scaling.....



### WS: Consumption Band 03 (After Scaling) RV Analysis – Allocated to Actual



- Analysis allows comparison of the profiles rather than demand levels
- Indicates an over allocation in the Winter & under allocation in the summer
- **'Peaky' allocated profile:** Winter over, Summer under (predominant profile)



### EM: Consumption Band 05 (After Scaling) RV Analysis – Allocated to Actual



'Ok' allocated profile: allocated is similar to actual

**X** serve

### NO: Consumption Band 8 (After Scaling) RV Analysis – Allocated to Actual



- Indicates an under allocation in the Winter & over allocation in the summer
- 'Flat' allocated profile: Winter under, Summer over
- Better representation of all LDZs for all EUCs is shown in Table 2.1...



#### RV Categorisation : LDZ / EUC Profile & Error Levels Gas Year 2007/08

EUC B	and	SC	NO	NW	NE	EM	WM	WN	WS	EA	NT	SE	SO	SW
02	В	1	<b>↑</b>	1	<b>↑</b>	-	<b>↑</b>	Î	1	1	Ŷ	<b>↑</b>	<b>↑</b>	<b>↑</b>
03	В	1	1	1	1	<b>↑</b>	<b>↑</b>	Î	1	1	1	1	1	<b>↑</b>
04	В	1	1	-		↑		Î		-	-	1	-	-
05	В	-	-	1	1	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-
06	В	-	-	-	Ļ	Ļ		-	1	-	-	$\downarrow$	-	-
07	В	1	Ų	<b>↑</b>	Ļ	<b>↑</b>	-		-	Î	-	Ų	$\downarrow$	-
08	В		$\downarrow$	-		Ų	<b>↑</b>				Ų	$\downarrow$	1	Ų
09	В	$\uparrow \uparrow$					<b>↑</b>							
Ok / Good No Data (<2)		-		5% Level		↑ Too Peaky ↓ Too Flat			10 % Level			<ul><li>↑ Too Peaky</li><li>↓ Too Flat</li></ul>		

- '% level' = average difference of allocated to actual over the winter and summer differences (measures 'peakiness')
- 2007/08: 'Peaky' profile 42%, 'Ok' Profile 30%, 'Flat' 12%, No data for analysis 16%
- 2006/07: 'Peaky' profile 49%, 'Ok' profile 33%, 'Flat' 5%, No data for analysis 13%
- Profiles more 'Peaky'



#### RV Categorisation : Annual Scaling Gas Year 2007/08

EUC	Band	SC	NO	NW	NE	EM	WM	WN	WS	EA	NT	SE	SO	SW
02	В	1.02	1.03	1.04	1.01	1.03	1.03	0.98	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.02	1.05
03	В	1.04	1.04	1.05	1.03	1.04	1.04	1.00	1.06	1.06	1.04	1.06	1.04	1.05
04	В	1.03	1.06	1.06	1.04	1.05	1.05	1.03	1.09	1.05	1.04	1.07	1.05	1.07
05	В	1.02	1.06	1.06	1.01	1.04	1.06	1.01	1.07	1.06	1.05	1.06	1.04	1.05
06	В	1.02	1.06	1.03	1.02	1.07	1.00	1.00	1.02	1.06	1.04	1.06	1.05	1.11
07	В	1.05	1.05	1.04	0.94	1.06	1.05		0.89	1.07	0.97	1.06	1.03	1.09
08	В		0.92	0.99		0.94	1.01				0.74	0.99	0.95	0.98
09	В	1.07	Kongeningstood constitution and the local set		•	Experimente por la selection de la constante de	0.94					opportunition of the second seco	nementoorcopermetted oorloot and oor	Extrementer and an list collection

- Scaling values used to normalise calculated AQ to actual consumptions
  - (Pink) indicates uplift of allocated to actual consumptions: AQs to low 07/08
  - SF & WCF analysis: Indicated NDM AQs were too high (and AQ reduction post AQ Review)
- However RV analysis:
  - Not reflective of whole population (excludes Band 01B)
  - Proportion of data discarded to allow profile analysis
  - All reconciliation data for gas year not yet available (more so this year)
- Therefore useful for profile comparison rather than determination of AQ trends



# **RV Analysis Conclusions**

- RV analysis highlights a 'peaky' trend of:
  - Over Allocation Winter
  - Under Allocation Summer
- 2007/08 saw 42% of profiles defined as 'peaky' (more in 06/07):
  - Levels of rec. rejected similar to previous years
  - Available rec. for analysis incomplete, particularly Bands 2/3 (nonmonthly read meters)
    - Analysis is revised in Spring 2009 more data will be available
  - AQs continue to reduce each year
- BUT analysis not necessarily representative of population
  - Consider with SF and WCF analysis and
  - Consider NDM Sample data...



# NDM Sample Consumption Analysis

- Using the actual NDM Sample consumption for 07/08
  - Compare the % error of sample consumption against :
    - Allocated using 07/08 ALPs & DAFs, real system WCF and SF
    - Allocated using 07/08 ALPs & DAFs, EWCF and SF = 1
    - Allocated using 08/09 ALPs & DAFs, 07/08 EWCF and SF = 1
  - This is completed by EUC for all LDZs and also by month by LDZ
- Supporting document: detailed explanation with full examples



### Allocated Error As % of Actual Demand

#### Weighted average across LDZs. 'Best Estimate 07'

EWCF and SF =1 – ALPs and DAFs 07/08 Algorithms - NDM Sample derived AQs (not system AQs)



- Remove SF impact and remove NDM SND error bias (use EWCF which eliminates SND bias)
- Positive errors = Under allocation ; Negative errors = Over allocation
- Winter: Ranges from -1.2% to +3.1% & Summer: Ranges from +0.7% to -4.9%
- Winter/Summer analysis indicates bands 01,05,06,07,08 little too flat and 02,03,04 little too peaky
- Year: Little overall error in each band



### Allocated Error As % of Actual Demand

#### Weighted average across LDZs. 'Best Estimate 08'

EWCF and SF =1 – ALPs and DAFs 08/09 Algorithms - NDM Sample derived AQs (not system AQs)



- ALPs and DAFs for 2008/09 applied to 2007/08 consumption data
- Should provide less error as ALPs and DAFs were derived from this consumption data
- Winter / Summer errors same outcome as Best Estimate 07 / Overall year errors are all negative
- Generally extent of error is reduced using 08/09 algorithms in most EUCs
- Monthly analysis also completed...



#### Monthly Actual & Deemed Demand 01B (All LDZs)

As previous but by EUC Band and By Month



- Two examples of previous analysis but by EUC Band and Month
- General trend: Small winter under allocation, modest summer over allocation
- Band 01B profile Too flat despite warmer than average winter period (except March)
- May: over allocation warmest May on record



#### Monthly Actual & Deemed Demand 03B (All LDZs)

As previous but by EUC Band and By Month



- General trend: Small winter over allocation, summer under allocation
- Band 03B profile Too peaky
- February notably over allocated with April significantly under allocated



### **RV Analysis & NDM Sample Analysis Summary**

	NDM Sample Analysis	RV Analysis
01B	Flat	-
02B	Peaky	Peaky
03B	Peaky	Peaky
04B	Peaky	Peaky
05B	Flat	Peaky
06B	Flat	Flat
07B	Flat	Mixed
08B	Flat	Flat

- **<u>Peaky</u>**: Over Allocation in Winter and Under Allocation in Summer
- Flat: Under Allocation in Winter and Over Allocation in Summer



### **RV Analysis & NDM Sample Analysis Conclusions**

#### Overall Outcomes

- Consistent for bands 02, 03, 04, 06 and 08
- Different for bands 05 and 07
- No RV analysis available for band 01
- Limitations different, restricted data sets
  - RV analysis excludes band 01B & based on a sub-set of rec data
  - NDM sample analysis is based on validated NDM SAMPLE data
  - Both analyses suffer from small numbers of contributing meter/supply points at the higher consumption bands
- Important Point: Both suggest only small inaccuracies
- Spring 2009 RV analysis is updated to provide better representation

