

UNC 0783R:

Mod Title: Review of AQ Correction Processes



Proposer: Guv Dosanjh

Panel Date: 21st October 2021

Why change?



- As part of the implementation of Project Nexus in June 2017, there were fundamental changes introduced to AQ processes.
- Four years on, it now seems timely to undertake a review of the wider AQ correction arrangements to ensure that they are still fit for purpose and are working as intended by the industry.
- It has been highlighted that the main example of the AQ correction reason codes being utilised not as initially intended or currently stipulated in the UNC is where the Registered User believes the AQ is not reflective of what the site is consuming and the only viable route to update the AQ is via an AQ correction.

Options



- The use of eligible causes has been recently highlighted within Modification 0736S.
- Whilst this Modification addressed one particular area of the AQ corrections process, a full review of the wider AQ corrections process is now recommended.
- The intention of this review is to assess the wider AQ correction process and understand whether the current arrangements meet the objectives for the setting of the AQ, and identify and consider possible amendments that may be required to UNC.

Solution



- The scope of the review should focus on the AQ corrections process outlined within TPD G2.3. This will include a full review of the AQ corrections process covering:
 - Visibility of the current AQ corrections processes
 - Assess the existing eligible causes (reason codes) set out within TPD G2.3.21 and G2.3.22 and whether these are still valid
 - Assess the validation currently set out within TPD G2.3 for each eligible cause
 - Assess whether there are further eligible causes that should be defined within TPD G2.3
 - Assess the backstop date which an AQ correction currently introduces for system AQ calculations (UK Link will not currently calculate a new AQ for 9 months after an AQ correction goes live, although further AQ Corrections can be submitted)
 - Clarify the role of the CDSP in validating AQ corrections
 - Assess the role of the Performance Assurance Committee (PAC) in monitoring and or potentially validating AQ corrections
 - Consider the need for remedies or resolution where there has been incorrect use of AQ corrections process

Recommended Steps



The Proposer recommends that this Request should be:

- Issued to a Workgroup to develop the Request for 6 months