# Performance Assurance Framework Document for the (Gas) Energy Settlement Performance Assurance Scheme

# **Document 4**

# Performance Assurance Framework Administrator (PAFA) Scope

This is one of the Documents governed under the Performance Assurance Framework Document for the (Gas) Energy Settlement Performance Assurance Scheme.

Version	Status	Date
1.0	Final version from the PAC report	May 2016
1.1	Draft to support discussions of Mod 0674	February 2020
1.2	Updated version for review at May 2020 PAC Meeting	May 2020
1.3	Updated following May 2020 PAC Meeting and further PAC discussion on PAFA Scope	26 May 2020

Version 1.2 Draft Page 1 of 11 May 2020

# Contents

# Part 1 General

Schedule 1 Definitions and Interpretation

Schedule 2 Services

**Schedule 3 Change Control Procedure** 

Schedule 4 Performance Indicators

#### Part 1 General

#### 1. Introduction

This Performance Assurance Framework Administrator Scope (PAFA Scope) sets out the Services to be provided by the Performance Assurance Framework Administrator to Gas Industry parties. .

### 2. Definitions and Interpretation

This PAFA Scope shall be interpreted in accordance with Schedule 1.

#### 3. Services

The Services to be provided within the PAFA Scope are detailed in Schedule 2.

### 4. Change Control Procedure

To initiate a Change to the services a Change Control Procedure is set out in Schedule 3.

### 5. Agreeing the PAFA Scope, cost estimates and cost reporting

The CDSP shall monitor actual costs against forecast costs and provide a quarterly Budget Tracking Report to the PAC.

Where the CDSP identifies that actual or forecast costs vary, or are expected to vary by 10% of the relevant PAC Cost Estimate the CDSP shall provide a commentary to the PAC explaining the situation, its impacts and any mitigation that may be possible.

# 6. Monitoring of Performance

The CDSP shall be responsible for reporting the PAFA's performance of the services and any other obligations under this PAFA Scope to the PAC in accordance with Schedule 4, on a quarterly basis. If the PAFA fails to provide the services in accordance with the Performance Indicators the CDSP shall:

- identify the cause of any failure to provide the services in accordance with a specific Service Standard or Performance Indicator;
- inform the PAC of such action necessary to correct such failure and prevent it from recurring;
- o keep the PAC advised of the status of remedial efforts and any rectification being undertaken.

**Commented [CF1]:** We should completely streamline the Change Control process, once we have lifted up the level of detail in the document, to avoid the need for constant change.

**Commented [CF2R1]:** This has now been applied to Schedule 3

**Commented [CF3]:** This is not currently reported on to PAC, but should be retained – and enhanced to include reporting on the status of PAC's reporting budget.

Propose quarterly, plus more frequent by exception (if there are significant developments)

Xoserve aims to initiate this reporting from April 2020 onwards.

Version 1.2 Draft Page 3 of 11 May 2020

# Schedule 1 Definitions

# 1. Interpretation and Definitions

### 1.1 Definitions

The following terms shall have the following meanings:

**Budget Tracking Report** means the report described in Section 5 in a form largely as set out in Schedule  $\underline{\mathbf{5}}$ 

Change Order means a request for a service change

PAFA Scope means the scope of services as set out in Schedule 2.

**PARR** means the Performance Assurance Report Register as published by the Joint Office of Gas Transporters

Performance Indicators means the specific standards to which the CDSP will monitor the services and which are set out in Schedule 4

**Services** means the service requirements described or referred to in Schedule 2.

# 1.2 Interpretation

Capitalised terms that are not defined above shall have the meanings given to them in the Uniform Network Code (UNC), Performance Assurance Committee Terms of Reference, or the Performance Assurance Framework Document for the (Gas) Energy Settlement Performance Assurance Scheme.

**Commented [CF4]:** Unclear whose performance indicators these are.

If they are the PAFA's some are very clerical e.g. publishing reports, and others are more intangible, and difficult to measure on a monthly basis (e.g. quality of interactions). We might rely on the Annual Review process to measure these?

**Commented [LS5R4]:** Would these be the outputs and timings of the activities provided below?

**Commented [CF6R4]:** Performance Indicators now drafted in Sch 4

Version 1.2 Draft Page 4 of 11 May 2020

# Schedule 2 Services Schedule

The role of the PAFA is to provide the following services:

- Management of a Register of Risks to Gas Settlement
- Develop/maintenance (including periodic updates) of a Gas Settlement Risk Model
- Collation, <u>validation</u>, publication and interpretation of a suite of reports on Shipper Performance, <u>with appropriate versions for each channel</u>.
- Provision of expert advice on Gas Settlement and associated risks
- Administration of the service
- Management of changes to the service
- Liaison with UNC parties in relation to areas of Settlement performance
- Use of Performance Assurance Techniques (PATs) as requested by PAC
- Co-ordination of the Annual PAF Review

On request from PAC the PAFA will provide the role of sponsor to UNC Modifications that are relevant to Settlement and/or Performance Assurance, where the Modification Proposer is unable to do so.

#### 1. Overview of the activities

Activity	Timing/	Inputs	Outputs	Recipients
	Trigger			
Management of a Register of Risks to Gas Settlement	Monthly	Risk templates from any UNC Party, scores, action updates from owners	Risk reports to PAC, including visual representations	PAC, other UNC Parties, Government and Regulatory Bodies
_Development/	Quarterly	Risk Register,	Model (and	PAC, other UNC
<u>maintenance</u>		data from the	overview of	Parties,
(including periodic		CDSP and other	<u>subsequent</u>	Government
updates) of <u>a</u> Gas		UNC parties	changes), possibly	and Regulatory
Settlement Risk Model			a Dashboard	Bodies
Collation, validation, publication and interpretation of a suite of reports on Shipper Performance	Monthly	PARR requirements, Data from The CDSP (and others) Shipper Code Names (for anonymous view) Further report requirements as identified by PAC	Report publication via appropriate channels for each audience, balancing ease of access, efficiency and confidentiality, including fully anonymised dashboards for	PAC, other UNC Parties, Government and Regulatory Bodies

**Commented [CF7]:** Updated following scope workshop on 20/05

**Deleted:** Maintenance

Deleted: an existing

Deleted:

**Commented [CF8]:** This is the scope as per the tender for the current (incumbent PAFA role)

Commented [CF9]: Pending approval of Mod 0674

**Commented [CF10]:** Suggest that we would need to add these to align with Mod 0674 requirements

**Commented [CF12R10]:** Yes, dependent on feedback from PAC on the proposal

Commented [CF13R10]: Now added as an on-request service

**Commented [CF14]:** This is the list of activities from the actual procurement event

Deleted: Maintenance

**Deleted:** Existing risk model

**Deleted:** Updated

**Deleted:** updates on input parameters

Deleted: The

Deleted: an existing

Version 1.2 Draft Page 5 of 11 May 2020

Activity	Timing/	Inputs	Outputs	Recipients
Provision of expert advice on Gas Settlement and associated risks	As requested/as identified	Requests for advice on Settlement and/or Risks to Settlement Own identification	Impartial advice and guidance, Impact Assessment	PAC, other UNC Parties, Government and Regulatory Bodies, CDSP
		of opportunities to provide expertise	for additional risks/reports	
Administration of the service	Monthly	Internal and external cost information Feedback from stakeholders	Timely and accurate periodic budgetary reports Reports on Scheme effectiveness and recommendations for improvement	PAC, other UNC Parties, Government and Regulatory Bodies, CDSP
Management of changes to the service	Adhoc	Requests from PAC or CDSP for assessment of possible changes	Impact assessment to current service, including financial implications	PAC, CDSP
Liaison with UNC parties in relation to areas of Settlement performance	As requested by PAC	Request by PAC, based on PAFA's analysis of individual party's performance	Contact with parties, e.g. Shippers, Transporters, to highlight current performance levels, UNC obligations and areas of concern raised by PAC (including but not limited to email, letter, telephone, face-to-face meeting)	PAC, other UNC Parties

**Commented [CF14]:** This is the list of activities from the actual procurement event

Version 1.2 Draft Page 6 of 11 May 2020

# **Schedule 3 Change Control Procedure**

# 1. Principles

A change to the PAFA Scope should be triggered by a change to the Performance Assurance Framework Document and should be requested by the Performance Assurance Committee via the PAFA Scope Change Request Form. Any additions to the scope of the PAFA should be relevant to the overarching objectives of the Performance Assurance Framework.

### 2. Process Overview

- 2.1 PAC should approve each change request to the PAFA Scope in accordance with its voting arrangements prior to submission to the CDSP.
- 2.2 The CDSP will liaise with the PAFA as required and update the Request Form with a response. Wherever possible the CDSP should respond within 15 working days.
- 2.3 PAC will consider the CDSP's response and decide whether or not to progress with the change in accordance with its voting arrangements. If PAC cannot reach a decision, the change will not be progressed.

Requests should be submitted in the following format, wherever possible.

Performance Assurance Framework				
PAFA Scope Change Request Form				
Request Date				
Request Prepared By				
Service Change details (specify whether addition, removal or amendment to existing):				
When is the changed service required (from/to)				
Beneficiaries of the change, and overview of the expected benefits				
Any dependencies, e.g. Legislation/Licence changes, UNC Modifications, updates to Framework Document				
Date Request approved at PAC				
CDSP Re	sponse			
Date response prepared (Target within 3 working weeks of receipt of Request)				
Any implementation options (if appropriate)				
Estimated cost of the change, including timeframe (e.g. one-off/annual) [increase/(decrease)]				

**Deleted:** by a simple majority vote at PAC (or of PAC members)...

**Deleted:** by simple majority vote

Deleted: majority

Version 1.2 Draft Page 7 of 11 May 2020

Estimated lead time – how soon/when could the change be implemented				
Other consequences, e.g. impacts on other PAFA/CDSP deliverables				
Any likely system impacts, including PAFA, CDSP, Shippers (if known)				
Period for which this Response is valid				
Confidence level in the accuracy of the response, e.g. costs, lead times, other impacts				
PAC Decision				
Date Response considered at PAC				
Outcome of PAC consideration: Accept/Decline/Pause/Re-Submit Request with Amendment/Other				
Selected implementation option (if appropriate)				

# 2.4 Development and Implementation

If the PAC agrees and approves the Change, the CDSP will commence work to develop and implement the chosen implementation Option.

If the PAC agrees and approves the Change, but, changes are required to the Data Services Contract then the DSC Service Changes process will be followed.

Once (if required) the Data Services Contract has been amended, the CDSP will proceed to implement the chosen implementation Option (if applicable) and the changes to the service as set out in the Change shall be made.

The CDSP will provide ongoing progress reports to the PAC as the development and implementation of the chosen implementation Option progresses. This will include performance against planned timescales and budgets.

Deleted: there

Version 1.2 Draft Page 8 of 11 May 2020

# **Schedule 4 Performance Indicators**

### 1. Performance Indicators

- 1.1 The Performance Indicators and the Services to which they apply are set out in the following table.
- 1.2 The CDSP shall produce an exception report on a quarterly basis, which provides relevant information relating to the non-achievement of the Performance Indicators in accordance with Part 1 paragraph 7.
- 1.3 The introduction, change or removal of Performance Indicators can only occur as a result of a Change Order. Any such introductions, changes or removals will come into force in the month immediately following their implementation unless otherwise agreed with the Performance Assurance Committee.
- 1.4 In the case of introduction or substitution of a Performance Indicator, where no historic performance and management information is available, a period of at least six months must elapse (or such other period as may be agreed between the CDSP and the Performance Assurance Committee) before a new performance standard can be set for the Performance Indicator.

**Commented [CF15]:** As highlighted above, not clear whose performance this should be (PAFA/CDSP/Shippers/PAC?), and concerned that not all the activities of the PAFA and/or CDSP lend themselves to a monthly indicator?

Commented [CF16R15]: Performance measures now added

## **Performance Indicators**

Based upon Schedule 2 [Services] and to be updated where required, in line with Schedule 3 [Change Control]

Service Line	Timing/ Trigger	Outputs	Performance Measure
Management of a Register of Risks to Gas Settlement	Monthly	Risk reports to PAC, including visual representations	Provision of Updated Risk Register to PAC in line with Joint Office publication deadlines
_Development/	Quarterly	Model (and	Provision of Risk Model to
maintenance		overview of	PAC in line with Joint
(including periodic		subsequent	Office publication
updates) of a Gas		changes), possibly	deadlines
Settlement Risk Model		a Dashboard	
Collation, validation, publication and interpretation of a suite of reports on Shipper Performance, including fully anonymised dashboards for wider industry use	Monthly	Report publication via appropriate channels for each audience, balancing ease of access, efficiency and confidentiality	Publication of Reports and Dashboards in line with Joint Office publication deadlines

#### **Formatted Table**

Deleted: Maintenance

Deleted: Updated

Deleted: an existing

Deleted: Updated Model and overview of changes

Version 1.2 Draft Page 9 of 11 May 2020

Provision of expert advice on Gas Settlement and associated risks	As requested/as identified	Impartial advice and guidance, Impact Assessment Recommendations for additional risks/reports	Provision of advice in a timely manner, customer satisfaction with the advice provided
Administration of the service	Monthly	Timely and accurate periodic budgetary reports Reports on Scheme effectiveness and recommendations for improvement	Provision of reports in a timely manner
Management of changes to the service	Adhoc	Impact assessment to current service, including financial implications	Responding to requests from the CDSP within 10 working days
<u>Liaison with UNC</u> parties in relation to			

Version 1.2 Draft Page 10 of 11 May 2020

# Schedule 5 Budget Tracking Report

# 1. Principles

- 1.1 The CDSP will provide a **quarterly confidential report** to PAC detailing the expected and actual costs to date of the PAFA service in the Financial Year in question.
- 1.2 The CDSP will provide a quarterly report to PAC on the usage of the PAC's budget for additional reporting.
- 1.3 If the PAC requests any other third party costs in connection with the PAFA service, the CDSP will monitor those in the same format.

### 2. Format

PAC Quarterly Budget Tracking Report					
Quarter: XX/YYYY £000s	Original Cost Estimate	Latest Cost Estimate	Actual Costs for Quarter	Commentary on Variances	
PAFA Costs					
CDSP Report Development					
Any other (Third Party) costs					

Version 1.2 Draft Page 11 of 11 May 2020