Schedule 2A.x – Industry Peer Comparison View

Report Title	Sites converted from PC 2/3 to PC4 by the CDSP due to low read
Report Title	submission levels at individual supply points
Report Reference	2A.x (reference to be determined following implementation of UNC
. Report Reference	Modification 0664)
Report Purpose	To compare Shipper performance in managing their valid meter reading
	submission for Class 2 and 3 supply points against the minimum
	submission at supply point level (not against the UNC portfolio level
	targets), by reporting on the number of sites which the CDSP has
	converted to Class 4, following failure to meet the minimum
	requirements at levels over the Performance Period.
Expected Interpretation	The aim is to understand whether required UNC minimum standards are
of the report results	being met. The report should identify performance across all market
or the report results	participants
Report Structure (actual	Monthly non-cumulative report
report headings &	Peer Comparison Identifier
description of each	Product Class
heading)	Count of supply points for which the CDSP has moved to Class 4 during
<i>C,</i>	the month
	Industry Total
Data inputs to the report	SSC
·	Peer Comparison Identifier
	Product Class
	Count of sites converted by the CDSP
	Excludes Class changes initiated by the Shipper
Number rounding	Whole numbers
convention	
History (e.g. report builds	A Rolling 12-month view provided monthly
month on month)	
Rules governing	Sites are counted if they became live as Class 4 on any date in the
treatment of data inputs	calendar month.
(actual	
formula/specification to	Sites are excluded if the Shipper initiated the Class change, or if the Class
prepare the report)	change was due to a change of Shipper
	The report is prepared as soon as possible after the end of the calendar
	month
Frequency of the report	Monthly
Sort criteria (alphabetical	Peer Comparison Identifier alphabetically
ascending etc.)	
History/background	Requirement introduced to support UNC Modification 0664 obligations
Additional comments	
Estimated development	
costs	
Estimated ongoing costs	

Page 1 of 10 Draft v 0.4 12/11/19

UNC Modification 0664

Proposed additions to Performance Assurance Report Register

Supply Points converted from PC2 or PC3 to PC4 by the CDSP due to low read							
submission (in acc	ordance wit	h UNC obliga	ntions x.x.x)				
	Month x		Month x + 1		Month x + 2		Etc for 12
							months
Sub-category	PC2	PC3	PC2	PC3	PC2	PC3	
Identifier A	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Identifier B	0	0	0	0	00	0	
etc							
Total	0	0	0	0	00	0	

Page 2 of 10 Draft v 0.4 12/11/19

Schedule 2B.x – Performance Assurance Committee View

Report Title	Sites converted from PC 2/3 to PC4 by the CDSP due to low read
	submission levels at individual supply points
Report Reference	2B.x (reference to be determined following implementation of UNC Modification 0664)
Report Purpose	To compare Shipper performance in managing their valid meter reading
	submission for Class 2 and 3 supply points against the minimum
	submission at supply point level (not against the UNC portfolio level
	targets), by reporting on the number of sites which the CDSP has
	converted to Class 4, following failure to meet the minimum
	requirements at levels requirement levels over the Performance Period,
	as a count of Supply Points, as a percentage of the Shipper's Supply
	Points in that Class and as an aggregate Rolling AQ.
Expected Interpretation	The aim is to understand whether required UNC minimum standards are
of the report results	being met. The report should identify performance across all market
or the report results	participants
Report Structure (actual	Monthly non-cumulative report
report headings &	Shipper Short Code
description of each	Product Class
heading)	Count of supply points for which the CDSP has moved to Class 4 during
	the month
	Percentage of the Shipper's Supply Points in that Class that have been
	moved each month (as a percentage of their position at the start of the
	performance month)
	Aggregate Rolling AQ of the Shipper's Supply Points in that Class that
	have been moved each month
	Industry Totals
Data inputs to the report	SSC
•	Product Class
	Count of sites converted by the CDSP
	Rolling AQ of the Shipper's Supply Points in that Class that have been
	moved
	Total count of the Shipper's Supply Points in that Class at the start of the
	month
	Excludes Class changes initiated by the Shipper
Number rounding	Whole numbers
convention	Percentage figures to 1 decimal place
History (e.g. report builds	A Rolling 12-month view provided monthly
month on month)	
Rules governing	Sites are counted if they became live as Class 4 on any data in the
treatment of data inputs	calendar month.
(actual	
formula/specification to	Sites are excluded if the Shipper initiated the Class change, or if the Class
prepare the report)	change was due to a change of Shipper
	The report is prepared as soon as possible after the end of the calendar
	month
Frequency of the report	Monthly
Sort criteria (alphabetical	Shipper shortcode alphabetically
ascending etc.)	

Page 3 of 10 Draft v 0.4 12/11/19

UNC Modification 0664 Proposed additions to Performance Assurance Report Register

History/background	Requirement introduced to support UNC Modification 0664 obligations
Additional comments	
Estimated development	
costs	
Estimated ongoing costs	

Page 4 of 10 Draft v 0.4 12/11/19

Count of Supply Points converted from PC2 Class 2 or to PC4 Class 4 by the CDSP due to low								
read submission (ir	n accordanc	e with UN	IC obligatio	ns x.x.x)				
	Month x		Month x + 1		Month x + 2		Etc for 12	
							months	
Sub-category	PC2Cou	PC3AQ	<u>Count</u> PC	AQPC3	<u>Count</u> PC	AQPC3	Count	AQ
	<u>nt</u>		2		2			
Shipper A	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
Shipper B	0	0	0	0	00	0		0
Total	0	0	0	0	00	0		0

Percentage of Ship	Percentage of Shipper's Supply Points in Class 2 converted to Class 4 by the CDSP due to low read						
submission (in acco	ordance wit	h UNC obliga	tions x.x.x)				
Class 2	Month x	Month x +	Month x	Month x	Month x	Month x +	Etc for 12
		<u>1</u>	<u>+ 2</u>	+ 3	<u>+ 4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>months</u>
<u>Identifier A</u>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<u>Identifier B</u>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<u>etc</u>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<u>Industry</u>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<u>Performance</u>							

Count of Supply Points converted from Class 3 to Class 4 by the CDSP due to low read submission (in								
accordance with U	NC obligation	ons x.x.x)						
	Month x		Month x + 1		Month x + 2		Etc for 12 months	
<u>Sub-category</u>	Count	AQ	Count	AQ	Count	AQ	Count	AQ
Shipper A	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>		<u>0</u>
<u>Shipper B</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>00</u>	<u>0</u>		<u>0</u>
<u>Total</u>	0	0	<u>0</u>	0	00	0		<u>0</u>

Percentage of Shipper's Supply Points in Class 3 converted to Class 4 by the CDSP due to low read							
submission (in acco	ordance wit	h UNC obliga	itions x.x.x)				
Class 3	Month x	Month x +	Month x	Month x	Month x	Month x +	Etc for 12
		<u>1</u>	<u>+ 2</u>	+ 3	+ 4	<u>5</u>	<u>months</u>
Identifier A	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<u>Identifier B</u>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<u>etc</u>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<u>Industry</u>	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<u>Performance</u>							

Page 5 of 10 Draft v 0.4 12/11/19

Schedule 2A.y – Industry Peer Comparison View

Report Title	Class 2 and 3 Individual Read Performance against the Minimum
Report Title	Percentage Requirement
Report Reference	2A.y (reference to be determined following implementation of UNC
	Modification 0664)
Report Purpose	To compare Shipper performance in managing their valid meter reading
	submission for Class 2 and 3 supply points against the Minimum
	Percentage Requirement at supply point level (not against the UNC
	portfolio level targets), by reporting on the proportion of the portfolio
	achieving the applicable Minimum Percentage Requirement, plus the
	count (Note that the Minimum Percentage Requirement will be
	reviewed by PAC each year and therefore may change from time to
	time).
Expected Interpretation	The aim is to understand whether required UNC minimum standards are
of the report results	being met. The report should identify performance across all market
	participants.
Report Structure (actual	Monthly non-cumulative report
report headings &	Peer Comparison Identifier
description of each	Product Class
heading)	Percentage of the Shipper's portfolio (by count) which met the
	Minimum Percentage Requirement each month of the report period
Data to a to to the constant	Industry Performance Percentage
Data inputs to the report	SSC Been Communicate Identifier
	Peer Comparison Identifier Product Class
	Individual meter point read performance (percentage of days for which
	reads were accepted for the month)
	Minimum Percentage Requirement
Number rounding	To one decimal place
convention	To one decimal place
History (e.g. report builds	A Rolling 12-month view provided monthly
month on month)	g == menon nen premeen nen n
Rules governing	Sites are excluded if there was a Shipper transfer or Class change
treatment of data inputs	(whether initiated by the Shipper or the CDSP) in the month.
(actual	
formula/specification to	The report is prepared at least 10 days after the end of the calendar
prepare the report)	month, and is therefore reported 2 months in arrears.
Frequency of the report	Monthly
Sort criteria (alphabetical	Peer Comparison Identifier alphabetically
ascending etc.)	
History/background	Requirement introduced to support UNC Modification 0664 obligations
Additional comments	
Estimated development	
costs	
Estimated ongoing costs	

Page 6 of 10 Draft v 0.4 12/11/19

UNC Modification 0664 Proposed additions to Performance Assurance Report Register

Percentage of individual Supply Points where the Minimum Percentage Requirement of [x%] has							
been achieved by i	month <u>(by c</u>	ount)					
Class 2	Month x	Month x +	Month x	Month x	Month x	Month x +	Etc for 12
		1	+ 2	+ 3	+ 4	5	months
Identifier A	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Identifier B	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
etc	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Industry	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Performance							

Percentage of individual Supply Points where the Minimum Percentage Requirement of [x%] has been achieved by month (by count)							
Class 3	Month x	Month x +	Month x	Month x	Month x	Month x +	Etc for 12
		1	+ 2	+ 3	+ 4	5	months
Identifier A	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Identifier B	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
etc	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Industry	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Performance							

Page 7 of 10 Draft v 0.4 12/11/19

Schedule 2B.y – Performance Assurance Committee View

Report Title	Class 2 and 3 Individual Read Performance against the Minimum
	Percentage Requirement
Report Reference	2B.y (reference to be determined following implementation of UNC Modification 0664)
Report Purpose	To compare Shipper performance in managing their valid meter reading
·	submission for Class 2 and 3 supply points against the Minimum
	Percentage Requirement at supply point level (not against the UNC
	portfolio level targets), by reporting on the proportion of the portfolio
	achieving the applicable Minimum Percentage Requirement, plus the
	count and aggregate Rolling AQ of the Supply Points that have not
	achieved the Minimum Percentage Requirement. (Note that the
	Minimum Percentage Requirement will be reviewed by PAC each year
	and therefore may change from time to time).
Expected Interpretation	The aim is to understand whether required UNC minimum standards are
of the report results	being met, and quantify the likely risk to Settlement of Supply Points
or the report results	which are falling below the standard. The report should identify
	performance across all market participants.
Report Structure (actual	Monthly non-cumulative report
report headings &	Shipper Shortcode
description of each	Product Class
heading)	Percentage of the Shipper's portfolio which met the Minimum
nedding)	Percentage Requirement each month of the report period
	Industry Performance Percentage
	Count and aggregate Rolling AQ of Supply Points which did not meet the
	Minimum Percentage Requirement each month of the report period
Data inputs to the report	SSC
Data inputs to the report	Product Class
	Individual meter point read performance (percentage of days for which
	reads were accepted for the month)
	Rolling AQ
Number rounding	To-Percentages to one decimal place
convention	Whole numbers of Supply Points
Convention	Aggregate Rolling AQ (kWh)
History (e.g. report builds	A Rolling 12-month view provided monthly
month on month)	
Rules governing	Sites are excluded if there was a Shipper transfer or Class change
treatment of data inputs	(whether initiated by the Shipper or the CDSP) in the month.
(actual	
formula/specification to	The report is prepared at least 10 days after the end of the calendar
prepare the report)	month, and is therefore reported 2 months in arrears.
Frequency of the report	Monthly
Sort criteria (alphabetical	Shipper Shortcode alphabetically
ascending etc.)	
History/background	Requirement introduced to support UNC Modification 0664 obligations
Additional comments	
Estimated development	
costs	
Estimated ongoing costs	

Page 8 of 10 Draft v 0.4 12/11/19

UNC Modification 0664 Proposed additions to Performance Assurance Report Register

Page 9 of 10 Draft v 0.4 12/11/19

Proposed additions to Performance Assurance Report Register

Percentage of individual Supply Points where the Minimum Percentage Requirement of [x%] has								
been achieved by month								
Class 2	Month x Month x + Month x Month x Month x + Etc fo							
		1	+ 2	+ 3	+ 4	5	months	
Shipper A	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Shipper B	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
etc	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Industry	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Performance								

Percentage of individual Supply Points where the Minimum Percentage Requirement has been achieved by month										
Class 3	lass 3 Month x Month x + Month x Month x Month x Month x + Etc for 1									
		1	+ 2	+ 3	+ 4	5	months			
Shipper A	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
Shipper B	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
etc	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
Industry	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
Performance										

Count and aggregate Rolling AQ of Supply Points where the Minimum Percentage Requirement of									
[x%] has not been achieved by month									
Class 2	Month >	<u>(</u>	Month x + 1		Month x + 2		Etc for 12 months		
	Count	AQ	Count	AQ	Q Count AQ Count AQ				
Shipper A	<u>0</u>	00,000	0	00,000	0	00,000	<u>0</u>	00,000	
Shipper B	<u>0</u>	00,000	<u>0</u>	00,000	<u>0</u>	00,000	<u>0</u>	00,000	
<u>etc</u>	<u>0</u>	00,000	<u>0</u>	00,000	<u>0</u>	00,000	<u>0</u>	00,000	
<u>Industry Totals</u>	<u>0</u>	00,000	0	00,000	<u>0</u>	00,000	0	00,000	

Count and aggregate Rolling AQ of Supply Points where the Minimum Percentage Requirement of									
[x%] has not been achieved by month									
Class 3							months		
	Count	AQ	Count	AQ	Count	AQ	Count	AQ	
Shipper A	<u>0</u>	00,000	<u>0</u>	00,000	<u>0</u>	00,000	<u>0</u>	00,000	
Shipper B	<u>0</u>	00,000	<u>0</u>	00,000	<u>0</u>	00,000	<u>0</u>	00,000	
<u>etc</u>	0	00,000	0	00,000	0	00,000	<u>0</u>	00,000	
Industry Totals	<u>0</u>	00,000	<u>0</u>	00,000	<u>0</u>	00,000	<u>0</u>	00,000	

Page 10 of 10 Draft v 0.4 12/11/19