Schedule 2A.x – Industry Peer Comparison View

Report Title	Sites converted from PC 2/3 to PC4 by the CDSP due to low read submission levels at individual supply points
Report Reference	2A.x (reference to be determined following implementation of UNC Modification 0664)
Report Purpose	To compare Shipper performance in managing their valid meter reading submission for Class 2 and 3 supply points against the minimum submission at supply point level (not against the UNC portfolio level targets), by reporting on the number of sites which the CDSP has converted to Class 4, following failure to meet the minimum requirements at levels over the Performance Period.
Expected Interpretation of the report results	The aim is to understand whether required UNC minimum standards are being met. The report should identify performance across all market participants
Report Structure (actual report headings & description of each heading)	Monthly non-cumulative report Peer Comparison Identifier Product Class Count of supply points for which the CDSP has moved to Class 4 during the month Industry Total
Data inputs to the report	SSC Peer Comparison Identifier Product Class Count of sites converted by the CDSP Excludes Class changes initiated by the Shipper
Number rounding convention	Whole numbers
History (e.g. report builds month on month)	A Rolling 12-month view provided monthly
Rules governing treatment of data inputs (actual	Sites are counted if they became live as Class 4 on any date in the calendar month.
formula/specification to prepare the report)	Sites are excluded if the Shipper initiated the Class change, or if the Class change was due to a change of Shipper
	The report is prepared as soon as possible after the end of the calendar month
Frequency of the report	Monthly
Sort criteria (alphabetical ascending etc.)	Peer Comparison Identifier alphabetically
History/background	Requirement introduced to support UNC Modification 0664 obligations
Additional comments	
Estimated development	
costs	
Estimated ongoing costs	

Page 1 of 8 Draft v 0.1 29/08/19

UNC Modification 0664

Proposed additions to Performance Assurance Report Register

Supply Points converted from PC2 or PC3 to PC4 by the CDSP due to low read									
submission (in acc	submission (in accordance with UNC obligations x.x.x)								
	Month x Month x + 1 Month x + 2								
							months		
Sub-category	PC2	PC3	PC2	PC3	PC2	PC3			
Identifier A	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Identifier B	0	0	0	0	00	0			
etc									
Total	0	0	0	0	00	0			

Page 2 of 8 Draft v 0.1 29/08/19

Schedule 2B.x – Performance Assurance Committee View

Report Title	Sites converted from PC 2/3 to PC4 by the CDSP due to low read
Report Hile	submission levels at individual supply points
Report Reference	2B.x (reference to be determined following implementation of UNC
	Modification 0664)
Report Purpose	To compare Shipper performance in managing their valid meter reading
and the first section of the f	submission for Class 2 and 3 supply points against the minimum
	submission at supply point level (not against the UNC portfolio level
	targets), by reporting on the number of sites which the CDSP has
	converted to Class 4, following failure to meet the minimum
	requirements at levels over the Performance Period.
Expected Interpretation	The aim is to understand whether required UNC minimum standards are
of the report results	being met. The report should identify performance across all market
·	participants
Report Structure (actual	Monthly non-cumulative report
report headings &	Shipper Short Code
description of each	Product Class
heading)	Count of supply points for which the CDSP has moved to Class 4 during
	the month
	Industry Total
Data inputs to the report	SSC
	Product Class
	Count of sites converted by the CDSP
	Excludes Class changes initiated by the Shipper
Number rounding	Whole numbers
convention	
History (e.g. report builds	A Rolling 12-month view provided monthly
month on month)	
Rules governing	Sites are counted if they became live as Class 4 on any data in the
treatment of data inputs	calendar month.
(actual formula/specification to	Situation are excluded if the Chipper initiated the Class change, or if the Class
• •	Sites are excluded if the Shipper initiated the Class change, or if the Class
prepare the report)	change was due to a change of Shipper
	The report is prepared as soon as possible after the end of the calendar
	month
Frequency of the report	Monthly
Sort criteria (alphabetical	Shipper shortcode alphabetically
ascending etc.)	
History/background	Requirement introduced to support UNC Modification 0664 obligations
Additional comments	
Estimated development	
costs	
Estimated ongoing costs	

Page 3 of 8 Draft v 0.1 29/08/19

UNC Modification 0664

Proposed additions to Performance Assurance Report Register

Supply Points converted from PC2 or PC3 to PC4 by the CDSP due to low read								
submission (in a								
	Etc for 12							
							months	
Sub-category	PC2	PC3	PC2	PC3	PC2	PC3		
Shipper A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Shipper B	0	0	0	0	00	0	0	
Total	0	0	0	0	00	0	0	

Page 4 of 8 Draft v 0.1 29/08/19

Schedule 2A.y – Industry Peer Comparison View

Report Title	Class 2 and 3 Individual Read Performance against the Minimum
	Percentage Requirement
Report Reference	2A.y (reference to be determined following implementation of UNC Modification 0664)
Report Purpose	To compare Shipper performance in managing their valid meter reading submission for Class 2 and 3 supply points against the Minimum Percentage Requirement at supply point level (not against the UNC portfolio level targets), by reporting on the proportion of the portfolio achieving the applicable Minimum Percentage Requirement. (Note that the Minimum Percentage Requirement will be reviewed by PAC each year and therefore may change from time to time).
Expected Interpretation of the report results	The aim is to understand whether required UNC minimum standards are being met. The report should identify performance across all market participants.
Report Structure (actual report headings & description of each heading)	Monthly non-cumulative report Peer Comparison Identifier Product Class Percentage of the Shipper's portfolio which met the Minimum Percentage Requirement each month of the report period Industry Performance Percentage
Data inputs to the report	SSC Peer Comparison Identifier Product Class Individual meter point read performance (percentage of days for which reads were accepted for the month) Minimum Percentage Requirement
Number rounding convention	To one decimal place
History (e.g. report builds month on month)	A Rolling 12-month view provided monthly
Rules governing treatment of data inputs (actual	Sites are excluded if there was a Shipper transfer or Class change (whether initiated by the Shipper or the CDSP) in the month.
formula/specification to prepare the report)	The report is prepared at least 10 days after the end of the calendar month, and is therefore reported 2 months in arrears.
Frequency of the report	Monthly
Sort criteria (alphabetical ascending etc.)	Peer Comparison Identifier alphabetically
History/background	Requirement introduced to support UNC Modification 0664 obligations
Additional comments	
Estimated development costs	
Estimated ongoing costs	

Page 5 of 8 Draft v 0.1 29/08/19

UNC Modification 0664 Proposed additions to Performance Assurance Report Register

Percentage of individual Supply Points where the Minimum Percentage Requirement of [x%] has									
been achieved by month									
Class 2	Month x	Month x +	Month x	Month x	Month x	Month x +	Etc for 12		
		1	+ 2	+ 3	+ 4	5	months		
Identifier A	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Identifier B	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
etc	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Industry	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Performance									

Percentage of individual Supply Points where the Minimum Percentage Requirement of [x%] has been achieved by month							
Class 3	Month x	Month x +	Month x + 2	Month x + 3	Month x + 4	Month x + 5	Etc for 12 months
Identifier A	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Identifier B	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
etc	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Industry Performance	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Page 6 of 8 Draft v 0.1 29/08/19

Schedule 2B.y – Performance Assurance Committee View

Report Title	Class 2 and 3 Individual Read Performance against the Minimum
·	Percentage Requirement
Report Reference	2B.y (reference to be determined following implementation of UNC
	Modification 0664)
Report Purpose	To compare Shipper performance in managing their valid meter reading
	submission for Class 2 and 3 supply points against the Minimum
	Percentage Requirement at supply point level (not against the UNC
	portfolio level targets), by reporting on the proportion of the portfolio
	achieving the applicable Minimum Percentage Requirement. (Note that
	the Minimum Percentage Requirement will be reviewed by PAC each
	year and therefore may change from time to time).
Expected Interpretation	The aim is to understand whether required UNC minimum standards are
of the report results	being met. The report should identify performance across all market
	participants.
Report Structure (actual	Monthly non-cumulative report
report headings &	Shipper Shortcode
description of each	Product Class
heading)	Percentage of the Shipper's portfolio which met the Minimum
	Percentage Requirement each month of the report period
	Industry Performance Percentage
Data inputs to the report	SSC
	Product Class
	Individual meter point read performance (percentage of days for which
	reads were accepted for the month)
Number rounding	To one decimal place
convention	
History (e.g. report builds	A Rolling 12-month view provided monthly
month on month)	
Rules governing	Sites are excluded if there was a Shipper transfer or Class change
treatment of data inputs	(whether initiated by the Shipper or the CDSP) in the month.
(actual	
formula/specification to	The report is prepared at least 10 days after the end of the calendar
prepare the report)	month, and is therefore reported 2 months in arrears.
Frequency of the report	Monthly Chian an Chartagada alababatisalla.
Sort criteria (alphabetical	Shipper Shortcode alphabetically
ascending etc.)	Description and introduced to accompany UNIONAL PRODUCT A COSTA A PRODUCT A PRODUC
History/background	Requirement introduced to support UNC Modification 0664 obligations
Additional comments	
Estimated development	
costs	
Estimated ongoing costs	

Page 7 of 8 Draft v 0.1 29/08/19

Percentage of individual Supply Points where the Minimum Percentage Requirement of [x%] has									
been achieved by r	been achieved by month								
Class 2	Month x	Month x +	Month x	Month x	Month x	Month x +	Etc for 12		
		1	+ 2	+ 3	+ 4	5	months		
Shipper A	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Shipper B	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
etc	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Industry	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Performance									

Percentage of individual Supply Points where the Minimum Percentage Requirement has been achieved by month								
Class 3	Month x	Month x +	Month x	Month x	Month x	Month x +	Etc for 12	
		1	+ 2	+ 3	+ 4	5	months	
Shipper A	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Shipper B	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
etc	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Industry	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Performance								

Page 8 of 8 Draft v 0.1 29/08/19