DSC Change Proposal Document

Customers to fill out all of the information in the sections coloured

Xoserve to fill out all of the information in the sections coloured

# A1: General Details

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Change Reference: | XRN4691 |
| Change Title: | CSEPs: IGT and GT File Formats (CGI Files) |
| Date Raised: | 29/03/2018 |
| Sponsor Representative Details: | Organisation: | Wales & West Utilities  |
| Name: | Richard Pomroy |
| Email: | Richard.Pomroy@wwutilities.co.uk |
| Telephone: | 07812 973337 |
| Xoserve Representative Details: | Name: | Paul Orsler |
| Email: | Paul.Orsler@xoserve.com |
| Telephone: | 0121 623 2060 |
| Change Status: | [ ]  Proposal | [ ]  With DSG | [ ]  Out for Review |
| [x]  Voting | [ ]  Approved | [ ]  Rejected |

# A2: Impacted Parties

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Customer Class(es): | [ ]  Shipper | [x]  Distribution Network Operator |
| [ ]  NG Transmission | [x]  IGT |
| [ ]  Other | <If [Other] please provide details here> |

# A3: Proposer Requirements / Final (redlined) Change

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Change Description: | 1. New Fields added to the CGI file
2. Nested CSEP Indicator

When analysing the data contained within the CGI file, whether the CSEP is a Parent or a Nest is relevant, but the indicator is not currently included in the CGI file.1. Parent CSEP ID

Include the Parent CSEP ID for Nested CSEPs to aid analysis.1. CSEP Hierarchy Level – NEW Data Item

Cadent have submitted Change XRN4354 to reintroduce the Nested CSEP Hierarchy Report, including this in the CGI will aid the analysis of the data. CSEP Hierarchy Level describes all CSEP IDs contained within the CSEP Hierarchy. 1. CSEP Level – NEW Data Item

Number associated to the total count of CSEPs within the CSEP Hierarchy. 1. CSEP Connection Max AQ (provided by GT)

The current CGI format is that it does not include the CSEP Connection Max AQ supplied by the GT, only the figure provided by the iGT. Where CSEP Connection MAX AQ is not provided by the GT this field will be optional to ensure file continues to be issued to relevant parties. 1. Connection Date – as provided by the GT within the DCI file

Currently there is no way to determine if the CSEP is taking gas or not, and the CGI files are often generated when the MPRNs are created and linked to the CSEP ID by the iGT. This means that a CGI may show a CSEPs are breaching when no gas is flowing. Including the Connection Date will help iGTs and GTs identify, which CSEPs are live and which are not. – Where a GT Connection Date doesn’t exist (i.e. DCI file hasn’t been provided) this field will be optional to ensure the file continues to be issued to relevant parties. The possibility of basing the CGI file on actual Meter Readings has been discussed in the iGT/GT Meetings, but it was ruled as too complex. However, using actual meter reads to give a true reflection of the gas being taken would be preferential to using potential figures.1. Increase Breach Figure from 85% to 101%

Having the breach figure at 85% in practice means, that all completed CSEPs that have an AQ between 85%-100% (which they should do), generate a CGI file every time the AQ is recalculated on receipt of meter readings. By raising the breach figure to 101%, attention will be focused on those CSEPs that are actually breaching the contracted CSEP Connection Max AQ. |
| Proposed Release: | ~~June 2019~~ June 2020 |
| Proposed Consultation Period: | [ ]  10 Working Days | [ ]  20 Working Days |
| [ ]  30 Working Days | [ ]  Other – **Not Applicable** |

# A4: Benefits and Justification

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Benefit Description: | The purpose of introducing all of these Validations is to improve data quality. By rejecting invalid data before the file is processed, the relevant party must make a correction in order to proceed. For example, if an IGT tried to create a CSEP using “Default” as the GT Reference Number, the CIC file would reject and they would have to confirm that there is a valid GT project and get the relevant reference number before they could proceed and get a CSEP ID. |
| *What, if any, are the tangible benefits of introducing this change? What, if any, are the intangible benefits of introducing this change?* |
| Benefit Realisation: | Benefits of these changes are expected to be realised incrementally as CSEP data quality improves. |
| *When are the benefits of the change likely to be realised?* |
| Benefit Dependencies: | N/A |
| *Please detail any dependencies that would be outside the scope of the change, this could be reliance on another delivery, reliance on some other event that the projects has not got direct control of.* |

# A5: Final Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Final DSG Recommendation: |  |
| [ ]  Approve | [ ]  Reject | [ ]  Defer |
| DSG Recommended Release: |  |

# A6: Funding

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Funding Classes: | [ ]  Shipper | XX % |
| [ ]  National Grid Transmission | XX % |
| [x]  Distribution Network Operator | XX % |
| [x]  IGT | XX % |
| [ ]  Other <please specify> | XX % |
| Service Line(s) | DSC Service Area 10; Connected System Exit PointsASGT-CS SA10-01 |
| ROM or funding details: |  |
| Funding Comments: | Funding breakdown to be determined between IGT and GT Customer Classes. |

# A7: ChMC Recommendation

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Change Status: | [ ]  Approve | [ ]  Reject | [ ]  Defer |
| Industry Consultation: | [ ]  10 Working Days | [ ]  20 Working Days |
| [ ]  30 Working Days | [ ]  Other [Specify Here] |
| Expected date of receipt for responses (to Xoserve) | XX/XX/XXXX |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| DSC Consultation Issue: | [x]  Yes | [ ]  No |
| Date Issued: | 26/09/2018 |
| Comms Ref(s): | 1972.2 – RH – ES / 2082 – RJ – PO |
| Number of Responses: | 2 received – Both in support (1972.2)2 received – one recommended a deferral, the other was an approval |

# A8: DSC Voting Outcome

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Solution Voting: | [ ]  Shipper | Please select. |
| [ ]  National Grid Transmission | Please select. |
| [x]  Distribution Network Operator | Please select. |
| [x]  IGT | Please select. |
| Meeting Date: | 09/01/2019 |
| Release Date: | TBC |
| Overall Outcome: | [x]  No | [ ]  Yes | **Deferred -** Update from ChMC meeting on 9th January 2019: Richard Pomroy said that a data cleanse may be required for this change, and will have some news for ChMC in April 2019 |

Please send the completed forms to: box.xoserve.portfoliooffice@xoserve.com

Section D: High Level Solution Options

# D1: Solution Options

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Solution Option Summary: | The High Level Solution Option Impact Assessments (HLSOIA) is detailed within the attached presentation for the industry to review.  |
| Implementation Date for this Solution Option: | TBC |
| Xoserve preferred option:(including rationale) | Xoserve are comfortable with the identified solution option and will look to work with those impacted parties to define the detailed design. |
| DSG preferred solution option:(including rationale) | GTs and IGTs have bilaterally indicated their preference of the changes being proposed. This has since been ratified by DSG.  |
| Consultation closeout: | 03/10/2018 |

Version Control

# Document

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Version | Status | Date | Author(s) | Remarks |
| 1 | Voting | 01/04/2019 | Xoserve | Placed onto a new template using merged version of 4691 to 4694 (v9) |

# Template

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Version | Status | Date | Author(s) | Remarks |
| 3.0 | Superseded | 17/07/2018 | Emma Smith | Template approved at ChMC on 11th July 2018. |
| 4.0 | Superseded | 07/09/2018 | Emma Smith | Minor wording amendments and additional customer group impact within Appendix 1. |
| 5.0 | Superseded | 10/12/2018 | Heather Spensley | Template moved to new Word template as part of Corporate Identity changes. |
| 6.0 | Approved | 12/12/2018 | Simon Harris | Cosmetic changes made. Approved at ChMC on the 12th December 2018. |