DSC Change Proposal Document

Customers to fill out all of the information in the sections coloured

Xoserve to fill out all of the information in the sections coloured

# A1: General Details

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Change Reference: | XRN 4859 |
| Change Title: | Increasing MAM Access to CDSP Data to Mitigate Reduced MAM Appointment Timescales |
| Date Raised: | 31/01/2019 |
| Sponsor Representative Details: | Organisation: | Xoserve |
| Name: | David Addison |
| Email: | David.Addison@Xoserve.com |
| Telephone: | 0121 623 2752 |
| Xoserve Representative Details: | Name: | Richard Johnson |
| Email: | Richard.Johnson@Xoserve.com |
| Telephone: | 0121 623 2275 |
| Change Status: | [x]  Proposal | [ ]  With DSG | [ ]  Out for Review |
| [ ]  Voting | [ ]  Approved | [ ]  Rejected |

# A2: Impacted Parties

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Customer Class(es): | [ ]  Shipper | [ ]  Distribution Network Operator |
| [ ]  NG Transmission | [ ]  IGT |
| [ ]  Other | Meter Asset Managers |

# A3: Proposer Requirements / Final (redlined) Change

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Change Description: | **Background:**A SPAA Request for Information about Meter Asset Manager Asset Appointment Timescales closed out on 29th January.The purpose of the RFI was to garner industry views on a set of solution options to mitigate de-appointment and appointment of MAM issues, which will be accentuated as a result of Faster Switching.Faster Switching will accentuate existing issues where there may be a gap between the Supplier registering the supply point and the new MAM taking responsibility for the meter. Should an issue with the meter arise during this period, it is not clear who would have responsibility for resolution. Faster switching will decrease the notice period during which MAMs can send an ORDET file (a RGMA flow) to request the necessary metering details, to register the site, from the incumbent MAM (who would send an ONDET file in response); therefore, it may not be possible for a new MAM to be appointed in sufficient time in correlation with the new Supplier. **Requirements** The problem statement associated with this change is therefore MAMs require metering data to be more accessible in order to conduct their switching process; this is mitigated by Solution Option 3 within the SPAA RFI (Centralised Meter Data). Link: <https://www.spaa.co.uk/Lists/General%20Documents/MAM%20Appointment%20Process%20RFI.pdf#search=RFI>  The CDSP would need to increase the range of data items MAM can access (perhaps to reflect the ONDET file which is passed from the old MAM to the new MAM), and address the following considerations:* Access to data covered under the Data Permissions Matrix would need to amended to allow a MAM access to information related to a given Supply Meter Point where that party is not recorded as the MAM for that Supply Meter Point on the UK Link system.
* The Contract Management Committee would be asked to approve a Disclosure Request Report, which will define the data items the MAMs require, and any conditions which will need to be met in order to fulfil such requests for data.
* MAMs would need to be able to ‘pull’ the necessary meter details; a mechanism, such as an API, would need to be implemented for this.
 |
| Proposed Release: | Minor Release |
| Proposed Consultation Period: | [x]  10 Working Days | [ ]  20 Working Days |
| [ ]  30 Working Days | [ ]  Other [Specify Here] |

# A4: Benefits and Justification

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Benefit Description: | Meter details will be more accessible to MAMs; therefore, they can acquire the data they require in order to process MAM switching, thus mitigating the issues identified in the above background section. |
| *What, if any, are the tangible benefits of introducing this change? What, if any, are the intangible benefits of introducing this change?* |
| Benefit Realisation: | As soon as MAMs start to use the data that will be made available to them. |
| *When are the benefits of the change likely to be realised?* |
| Benefit Dependencies: | N/A |
| *Please detail any dependencies that would be outside the scope of the change, this could be reliance on another delivery, reliance on some other event that the projects has not got direct control of.* |

# A5: Final Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Final DSG Recommendation: | *Until a final decision is achieved, please refer to section C of the form.* |
| [ ]  Approve | [ ]  Reject | [ ]  Defer |
| DSG Recommended Release: | Release X: Feb/Jun/Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY |

# A6: Funding

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Funding Classes: | [x]  Shipper | 34 % |
| [x]  National Grid Transmission | 7 % |
| [ ]  Distribution Network Operator | XX % |
| [ ]  IGT | XX % |
| [x]  Distribution Network Operator and IGT | 59 % |
| Service Line(s) | DSC Service Area 18: Provision of User Reports and Information |
| ROM or funding details: |  |
| Funding Comments: |  |

# A7: ChMC Recommendation

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Change Status: | [ ]  Approve | [ ]  Reject | [ ]  Defer |
| Industry Consultation: | [ ]  10 Working Days | [ ]  20 Working Days |
| [ ]  30 Working Days | [ ]  Other [Specify Here] |
| Expected date of receipt for responses (to Xoserve) | XX/XX/XXXX |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| DSC Consultation Issue: | [ ]  Yes | [ ]  No |
| Date Issued: | Click here to enter a date. |
| Comms Ref(s): |  |
| Number of Responses: |  |

# A8: DSC Voting Outcome

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Solution Voting: | [ ]  Shipper | Please select. |
| [ ]  National Grid Transmission | Please select. |
| [ ]  Distribution Network Operator | Please select. |
| [ ]  IGT | Please select. |
| Meeting Date: | Click here to enter a date. |
| Release Date: | Release X: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY or NA |
| Overall Outcome: | [ ]  No | [ ]  Yes | If [Yes] please specify <Release> |

Please send the completed forms to: box.xoserve.portfoliooffice@xoserve.com

Version Control

# Document

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Version | Status | Date | Author(s) | Remarks |
| 0.1 | Proposal | 31/01/2019 | Richard Johnson | Proposal drafted |

# Template

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Version | Status | Date | Author(s) | Remarks |
| 3.0 | Superseded | 17/07/2018 | Emma Smith | Template approved at ChMC on 11th July 2018. |
| 4.0 | Superseded | 07/09/2018 | Emma Smith | Minor wording amendments and additional customer group impact within Appendix 1. |
| 5.0 | Superseded | 10/12/2018 | Heather Spensley | Template moved to new Word template as part of Corporate Identity changes. |
| 6.0 | Approved | 12/12/2018 | Simon Harris | Cosmetic changes made. Approved at ChMC on the 12th December 2018. |