

DSC Change Proposal

Change Reference Number: XRN4770

Customers to fill out all of the information in this colour Xoserve to fill out all of the information in this colour

Change Title	NDM Sample Data – Mod 0654 Delivery			
Date Raised	26/09/2018			
Sponsor Organisation	E.ON			
Sponsor Name	Kirsty Dudley			
Sponsor Contact Details	Kirsty.Dudley@eonenergy.com			
Xoserve Contact Name	Fiona Cottam			
Xoserve Contact Details	Fiona.Cottam@Xoserve.com			
Change Status	Proposal / With DSG / Out for Consultation / Voting / Approved			
	or Rejected			
Section A1: Impacted Parties				
Customer Class(es)	⊠ Shipper			
	☐ National Grid Transmission			
	☐ Distribution Network Operator			
	□ iGT			
Section A2: Proposer Requirements / Final (redlined) Change				

Section A2: Proposer Requirements / Final (reglined) Change

Following the approval of Modification 0654S / IGT110V - Mandating the provision of NDM sample data; this XRN has been created to deliver the system and reporting requirements.

In summary the modification requirements are (refer to mod for full details):

- Data cuts are to be provided to the CDSP in line with one of the following submission frequencies:
 - Monthly By the 5th BD of each month (CDSPs preferred option to support continuous review of algorithm performance)
 - Quarterly By the 5th BD of January, April, July and October each year
 - Twice Yearly By the 5th BD of April and October each year
- The format used is that outlined in Mod 0654 and in line with the Third Party Supplied NDM Sample Data Guidance and File Format Document and with the specified file naming to the specified mailbox
- The sample is a cross section of all EUCs or the single EUC held by that Shipper where they are >25k supply points and cover GT and IGT where applicable
- A PAC report is to be provided to monitor Shipper participation and ensure the data is provided in a timely manner
 - The report will be run a minimum of twice a year with the opportunity for PAC to request adhoc reports
 - Reports will be run no later than 1st May and 1st November



- Where a Shipper as >25,000 and hasn't submitted either a monthly, quarterly or twice yearly sample they will be included in the report and will have a 0% shown for their submission
- Where the Shipper provides a monthly or quarterly sample the report will show the latest submissions information
- The high-level report will contain any further information required by PAC will be developed by the PAC – the example report is below

Shipper	Submission Date YYYYMMD D	<25,000 Y/N	% of portfolio Supplied	Contains IGTs Y/N	Monthly, Quarterly or Twice Yearly submission	Received within 5WD window Y/N
Shipper A	NA	Υ	NA	NA	NA	NA
Shipper B	20190403	N	3%	Υ	Monthly	Υ
Shipper C	NA	N	0%	NA	NA	N

The report is being submitted to the PAC only – which is the Mod 0654 approval.

Proposed Release	ASAP, no late	r than the Feb 2019 release	
Proposed Consultation	10WD		
Period			
Section A3: Benefits and Justif	ication		
Benefit Description What, if any, are the tangible benefits of introd		The PAC can use this report to monitor submissions and choose to take any necessary	
What, if any, are the intangible benefits of intro- change?	oducing this	next steps – this report is to act as information	
Change:		and no incentives are being introduced via mod	
		0654 or this change. The modification has been	
	approved so the solution is to be implemented.		
Benefit Realisation		Without this report there will be no mechanism	
When are the benefits of the change likely to be realised?		to understand who has or hasn't submitted	
		sample data to the CDSP, although no financial	
		benefit the benefits for this change are linked to	
		information rather than cost/benefit. It also	
		doesn't implement the approved modification.	
Benefit Dependencies		None identified through drafting but through	
Please detail any dependencies that would be of the change, this could be reliance on anoth		development this may change.	
on some other event that the projects has not of.			

Section A4: Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations

DSG Recommendation	Approve / Reject / Defer		
DSG Recommended Release	Release X: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY		
Section A5: DSC Consultation			
Issued	Yes / No		
Date(s) Issued			



Comms Ref(s)				
Number of Responses				
Section A6: Funding				
Funding Classes	Shipper 50%			
	National Grid Transmission 0%			
	Distribution Network Operator 50%			
	iGT 0%			
Service Line(s)	DSC Service Area 15: Demand Estimation			
ROM or funding details	N/A			
Funding Comments	N/A			
Section A7: ChMC Recommendati	on			
Change Status	☐ Approve – Issue to DSG			
	☑ Defer –			
	☐ Reject			
	Demand Estimation Sub-Committee (DESC) will be asked to validate			
	the implementation date of this change. Following their response, it			
	will be included within a Change Pack with the final solution for			
	implementation. Meeting date: 10 th October 2018			
Industry Consultation	☐ 10 Working Days			
	☐ 20 Working Days			
	☐ 30 Working days			
	Other:			
Expected date of receipt for				
responses (to Xoserve)				
DSC Consultation				
	⊠ Yes			
Issued	□ No			
	Following ChMC on 10 th October. Initial Review (Section B)			
Date Issued	12/10/2018			
Comms Ref(s)	2102.4 – RJ – ES			
Number of Responses				
Section A8: DSC Voting Outcom				
Solution Voting	Shipper TBC			
	National Grid Transmission NA			
	Distribution Network Operator NA			
	iGT NA			
Meeting Date	XX/XX/XXXX			
Release Date	Release X: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY or NA			
Overall Outcome	Approved for Release X / Rejected			

Please send the completed forms to: <u>mailto:box.xoserve.portfoliooffice@xoserve.com</u>

Document Version History

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Summary of Changes
1.0	Proposal	26/09/18	Xoserve	Section A6 updated
2.0	Proposal	12/10/18	Xoserve	ChMC comments from 10 th October
				added to section 6.
3.0	Proposal	19/10/18	Xoserve	Notes from DSG meeting on 15 th
				October added to section C



Template Version History

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Summary of Changes
2.0	Approved	01/05/18	Emma Smith	Layout and cosmetic changes made
				following internal review



Section C: DSC Change Proposal: DSG Discussion

(To be removed if no DSG Discussion is required; Xoserve to collate where DSG discussions occur)

Section C1. Polivary Sub Crown (DSC) Recommendations					
Section C1: Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations					
DSG Date	15/10/2018				
DSG Summary					
The description of the change, and the change prioritisation score of 34%, was presented to DSG (slide 61). The purpose of the change is to deliver the system and reporting requirements following the approval of Modification 0654S/IGT110V (Mandating the provision of NDM Sample Data). SC asked for the purpose of the reporting. ES explained that the reporting would be used by the Xoserve Demand Estimation Team to create new profiles and sample data.					
Capture Document / Requirements	N1/N				
DSG Recommendation	N/A				
DSG Recommended Release	N/A				



Change Prioritisation Variables

Xoserve uses the following variables set for each and every change within the Xoserve Change Register, to derive the indicative benefit prioritisation score, which will be used in conjunction with the perceived delivery effort to aid conversations at the DSC ChMC and DSC Delivery Sub Groups to prioritise changes into all future minor and major releases.

Change Driver Type	☐ CMA Order	⊠ MOD / Ofgem	
	☐ EU Legislation	☐ License Condition	
	☐ BEIS	☐ ChMC endorsed Change Proposal	
	☐ SPAA Change Proposal	☐ Additional or 3 rd Party Service Request	
	☐ Other(please provide details below)		



Please select the customer	⊠Shipper Impact □iGT Impact □Network Impact				
group(s) who would be impacted	□Xoserve Impact □National Grid Transmission Impact				
if the change is not delivered					
Associated Change reference	N/A				
Number(s) Associated MOD Number(s)	N/A				
Perceived delivery effort	⊠ 0 − 30				
l ordervou denvery errert	□ 60 – 100 □ 100+ days				
Doos the project involve the					
Does the project involve the processing of personal data?	Yes (If yes please answer the next question)				
'Any information relating to an identifiable	⊠ No				
person who can be directly or indirectly					
identified in particular by reference to an identifier' – includes MPRNS.					
A Data Protection Impact	☐ New technology ☐ Vulnerable customer data ☐ Theft of Gas				
Assessment (DPIA) will be	☐ Mass data ☐ Xoserve employee data				
required if the delivery of the	☐ Fundamental changes to Xoserve business				
change involves the processing of	☐ Other(please provide details below)				
personal data in any of the following scenarios:					
Tollowing Scenarios.	(If any of the above boxes have been selected then please contact The Data Protection Officer (Sally Hall) to complete the DPIA.				
Change Beneficiary	☐ Multiple Market Participants ☐ Multiple Market Group				
How many market participant or segments	☐ All industry UK Gas Market participants ☐ Xoserve Only				
stand to benefit from the introduction of the change?	 ☑ One Market Group ☑ One Market Participant 				
Primary Impacted DSC Service	Service Area 18: Provision of User Reports and Information				
Area	dervice rica to. I revision of eser reports and information				
Number of Service Areas	☐ All ☐ Five to Twenty ☐ Two to Five				
Impacted	□ One				
Change Improvement Scale? How much work would be reduced for the	☐ High				
customer if the change is implemented?					
	following at risk if the change is not delivered?				
	□ Customer(s) incurring financial loss □ Customer Switching at risk				
-	e following required if the change is delivered?				
☐ Customer System Changes Required ☐ Customer Testing Likely Required ☐ Customer Training Required					
Primary Application impacted	Known Impact to Systems / Processes				
Filliary Application impacted	□BW □ ISU □ CMS				
	□ AMT □ EFT □ IX				
	☐ Gemini ☐ Birst ☐ Other (please provide details below)				
Business Process Impact	□AQ □SPA □RGMA				
Business i rocess impact	□ Reads □ Portal □ Invoicing				
	j –				
Are there any known impacts to	Other (please provide details below)				
external services and/or systems	☐ Yes (please provide details below)				
as a result of delivery of this					
change?	⊠ No				
Please select customer group(s)					
who would be impacted if the	☐ Xoserve impact ☐ National Grid Transmission Impact				
change is not delivered.					
Is there a Workaround in	Vorkaround currently in operation? ☐ Yes				
operation?					
•	⊠ No				
If yes who is accountable for the	☐ Xoserve				



workaround?	☐ External Customer		
	☐ Both Xoserve and External Customer		
What is the Frequency of the workaround?			
What is the lifespan for the workaround?			
What is the number of resource effort hours required to service workaround?			
What is the Complexity of the workaround?	□ Low (easy, repetitive, quick task, very little risk of human error) □ Medium (moderate difficult, requires some form of offline calculation, possible risk of human error in determining outcome) □ High (complicate task, time consuming, requires specialist resources, high risk of human error in determining outcome)		
Change Prioritisation Score	34%		

Document Control

Version History

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Summary of Changes
1	Draft	27/04/18	Anesu Chivenga	

