

Record of Determinations: Panel Meeting 21 June 2018

Modification	Vote Outcome	Shipper Voting Members						Transporter Voting Members					IGT Voting Member	Consumer Voting Member	Consumer Voting Member	Determination Sought	
		AG	DF	GW	JC	RF (JC)	SM	CW (HC)	DL	HC	JF	RP	NR	JA	EP		
0659 - Improvements to the Composite Weather Variable	Not related to the Significant Code Review - <i>unanimous vote against</i>	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Is Modification related to Significant Code Review?
	Is a Self-Governance Modification - <i>majority vote in favour</i>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Does Modification satisfy Self-Governance criteria?
	Issued to Workgroup 0659S with a report presented by the 15 November 2018 Panel - <i>unanimous vote in favour</i>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Should Modification be issued to Workgroup with a report by the 15 November 2018 Panel?
0660 - Amendment to PARR permissions to allow PAC to update with UNCC approval	Not related to the Significant Code Review - <i>unanimous vote against</i>	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Is Modification related to Significant Code Review?
	Is a Self-Governance Modification - <i>unanimous vote in favour</i>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Does Modification satisfy Self-Governance criteria?
	Issued to Workgroup 0660S with a report presented by the 20 September 2018 Panel - <i>unanimous vote in favour</i>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Should Modification be issued to Workgroup with a report by the 20 September 2018 Panel?
0661R - Reconciliation and Imbalance Cash Out Prices	Deferred to the July Panel - <i>unanimous vote in favour</i>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Should Request be deferred to the July Panel?
0662 - Revenue Recovery at Combined ASEPs	Not related to the Significant Code Review - <i>unanimous vote against</i>	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Is Modification related to Significant Code Review?
	Is not a Self-Governance Modification - <i>majority vote against</i>	X	X	X	X	NV	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Does Modification satisfy Self-Governance criteria?
	Issued to Workgroup 0662 with a report presented by the 19 April 2019 Panel - <i>unanimous vote in favour</i>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Should Modification be issued to Workgroup with a report by the 19 April 2019 Panel?
0628S - Standard Design Connections: PARCA process	Proceed to Consultation, with consultation closing out on 12 July 2018 - <i>unanimous vote in favour</i>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Should Modification 0628S be issued to consultation, ending on 12 July 2018?

Record of Determinations: Panel Meeting 21 June 2018

Modification	Vote Outcome	Shipper Voting Members						Transporter Voting Members					IGT Voting Member	Consumer Voting Member	Consumer Voting Member	Determination Sought
		AG	DF	GW	JC	RF (JC)	SM	CW (HC)	DL	HC	JF	RP	NR	JA	EP	
0629S - Standard Design Connections: A2O connection process modification	Proceed to Consultation, with consultation closing out on 12 July 2018 - <i>unanimous vote in favour</i>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Should Modification 0629S be issued to consultation, ending on 12 July 2018?
0639R - Review of AUGÉ Framework and Arrangements	Workgroup 0639R is closed - <i>unanimous vote in favour</i>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Should Workgroup 0639R be closed?
0644 - Improvements to nomination and reconciliation through the introduction of new EUC bands and improvements for the ALP and DAF	Returned to Workgroup with a Report to be presented to 16 August Panel - <i>unanimous vote in favour</i>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Return Modification to Workgroup 0644 for further assessment??
0646R - Review of the Offtake Arrangements Document	Workgroup 0646R reporting extended to 20 December 2018 Panel meeting - <i>unanimous vote in favour</i>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Extend Workgroup 0646R reporting date to December 2018 Panel Meeting?
0649S - Update to UNC to formalise the Data Enquiry Service Permissions Matrix	Workgroup 0649S reporting extended to 20 September 2018 Panel meeting - <i>unanimous vote in favour</i>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Extend Workgroup 0649S reporting date to September 2018 Panel Meeting?
0651 - Replacement of the Retrospective Data Update provisions	Workgroup 0651 reporting extended to 16 August 2018 Panel meeting - <i>unanimous vote in favour</i>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Extend Workgroup 0651 reporting date to August Panel Meeting?
0652 - Obligation to submit reads and data for winter consumption calculation (meters in EUC bands 3 - 8)	Workgroup 0652 reporting extended to 16 August 2018 Panel meeting - <i>unanimous vote in favour</i>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Extend Workgroup 0652 reporting date to August 2018 Panel Meeting?
0654S - Mandating the provision of NDM sample data	Workgroup 654S reporting extended to 16 August 2018 Panel meeting - <i>unanimous vote in favour</i>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Extend Workgroup 0654S reporting date to August 2018 Panel Meeting??
0636/A/B/C/D - Updating the parameters for the NTS Optional Commodity Charge	No new issues were identified during Consultation - <i>majority vote against</i>	X	X	X	X	NV	✓	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Were new issues identified during Consultation?
0636 - Updating the parameters for the NTS Optional Commodity Charge	Modification 0636 not recommended for implementation - <i>vote not in favour</i>							✓		✓	✓	✓	NP	✓		Should Modification 0636 be recommended for implementation?

Record of Determinations: Panel Meeting 21 June 2018

Modification	Vote Outcome	Shipper Voting Members						Transporter Voting Members					IGT Voting Member	Consumer Voting Member	Consumer Voting Member	Determination Sought	
		AG	DF	GW	JC	RF (JC)	SM	CW (HC)	DL	HC	JF	RP	NR	JA	EP		
0636A - Updating the parameters for the NTS Optional Commodity Charge	Modification 0636A not recommended for implementation - <i>vote not in favour</i>												✓	NP			Should Modification 0636A be recommended for implementation?
0636B - Updating the parameters for the NTS Optional Commodity Charge	Modification 0636B not recommended for implementation - <i>vote not in favour</i>												✓	NP			Should Modification 0636B be recommended for implementation?
0636C - Updating the parameters for the NTS Optional Commodity Charge	Modification 0636C not recommended for implementation - <i>vote not in favour</i>		✓											NP			Should Modification 0636C be recommended for implementation?
0636D - Updating the parameters for the NTS Optional Commodity Charge	Modification 0636D not recommended for implementation - <i>vote not in favour</i>		✓											NP			Should Modification 0636D be recommended for implementation?
	Does 0636 better facilitate the achievement of the Relevant Objectives than 0636A/B/C/D - <i>4 votes in favour</i>							✓		✓			✓	NP	✓		Does 0636 better facilitate the achievement of the Relevant Objectives than 0636A/B/C/D?
	Does 0636A better facilitate the achievement of the Relevant Objectives than 0636/B/C/D - <i>no votes in favour</i>													NP			Does 0636A better facilitate the achievement of the Relevant Objectives than 0636/B/C/D?
	Does 0636B better facilitate the achievement of the Relevant Objectives than 0636/A/C/D - <i>no votes in favour</i>													NP			Does 0636B better facilitate the achievement of the Relevant Objectives than 0636/A/C/D?
	Does 0636C better facilitate the achievement of the Relevant Objectives than 0636/A/B/D - <i>no votes in favour</i>													NP			Does 0636C better facilitate the achievement of the Relevant Objectives than 0636A/B/D?
	Does 0636D better facilitates the achievement of the Relevant Objectives than 0636A/B/C - <i>2 votes in favour</i>		✓				✓							NP			Does 0636D better facilitate the achievement of the Relevant Objectives than 0636A/B/C?
0658 (Urgent) - CDSP to identify and develop improvements to LDZ settlement processes	Modification 0658 is recommended for implementation - <i>majority vote in favour</i>	✓	✓	✓	✓	NP	✓	✓	✓			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Should Modification 0658 be recommended for implementation?

In favour	Not in Favour	No Vote Cast	Not Present
-----------	---------------	--------------	-------------

Record of Determinations: Panel Meeting 21 June 2018

Modification	Vote Outcome	Shipper Voting Members						Transporter Voting Members					IGT Voting Member	Consumer Voting Member	Consumer Voting Member	Determination Sought
		AG	DF	GW	JC	RF (JC)	SM	CW (HC)	DL	HC	JF	RP	NR	JA	EP	
		✓	X	NV	NP											

UNC Modification Panel

Minutes of the 227 Meeting held on Thursday 21 June 2018
at Elexon, 4th Floor, 350 Euston Road, London NW1 3AW

Attendees

Voting Members:

Shipper Representatives	Transporter Representatives	Consumer Representatives
A Green (AG), Total D Fittock (DF), Corona Energy G Wood (GWO), British Gas J Chandler* (JC), SSE and alternate for R Fairholme S Mulinganie* (SM), Gazprom	D Lond (DL), National Grid NTS H Chapman (HC), SGN and alternate for C Warner J Ferguson (JF), NGN R Pomroy (RP), WWU N Rozier* (NR), BUUK Infrastructure	E Proffitt (EP), MEUC J Atherton (JA), Citizen's Advice

Non-Voting Members:

Chairperson	Ofgem Representative	Independent Supplier Representative
M Shurmer (MS), Chair	R Elliott (RE)	N Anderson* (NA) Electralink

Also in Attendance:

C Shanley* (CS), Joint Office; D Hawkin (DH), TPA Solutions; G MacGregor* (GMa), Utilita; K Dudley* (KD), EON UK; N Sisman (NS), Independent Observer; P Garner (PG), Joint Office; R Fletcher (RHI), Secretary; R Hailes (RHa), Joint Office; R Hazel* (RH), Cornwall Insight; R Hinsley (RHi), Xoserve and S Hardman (SH), SGN
* by teleconference

Record of Discussions

Introduction

MS welcomed Jeff Chandler as a new Member of Panel and all attendees to the meeting, he then set out the order of business. MS reiterated the need for Members to consider fully the Relevant Objectives, particularly when considering Final Modification Reports. He noted that there would be a presentation later in the meeting clarifying requirements for Panel Members.

227.1 Note of any alternates attending meeting

D Fittock for E Wells, Corona Energy
G Wood for A Margan, British Gas
H Chapman for C Warner, Cadent
J Atherton for S Horne, Citizens Advice
J Chandler for R Fairholme, Uniper

227.2 Record of Apologies for absence

A Margan
C Warner
E Wells
R Fairholme
S Horne

227.3 Minutes and Actions of the Last Meeting(s)

Members approved the minutes from the previous meetings on 17 and 23 May and 07 June 2018.

227.4 Consider Urgent Modifications

a) None

227.5 Consider New Non-Urgent Modifications

a) Modification 0659 - Improvements to the Composite Weather Variable

KD/SB introduced Modification 0659 and its aims, advising that this topic had originally been included within Modification 0644. However, the Modification was separated to allow Modification 0644 to conclude quickly, as the topic of this Modification would need a significant amount of analysis prior to concluding the Workgroup assessment.

EP wanted to understand why this issue couldn't be delivered sooner for use during 2018, why wait? KD advised that the supporting information needed for the analysis is not available due to timing and that Nexus systems only went live 1 year ago, therefore analysis to support the solution would not be available in time for the commencement of the 2018/19 Gas Year on 01 October.

EP asked if the Modification was delivered within 5 months could it be introduced sooner than October 2019/20 or perhaps in parallel. KD agreed to consider this approach within the Modification development.

Workgroup Questions:

- Can this Modification be implemented in parallel with the existing regime or part way through a Gas Year?

For Modification 0659, Members determined:

- It is not related to the Significant Code Review, by unanimous vote;
- The criteria for Self-Governance are met, as this Modification is not expected to have a material impact on competition between Shippers and Suppliers, by majority vote; and
- That Modification 0659S be issued to Workgroup 0659S for assessment, with a report to be presented no later than the 16 November 2018 Panel, by unanimous vote.

b) Modification 0660 - Amendment to PARR permissions to allow PAC to update with UNCC approval

GWO introduced Modification 0660 and its aims. Explaining that the Performance Assurance Committee (PAC) is finding it very difficult to manage performance risks and understand issues as the current process requires a modification to be raised to update the Performance Assurance Reporting Requirements (PARR) schedule. This Modification proposes to improve the PAC response to and investigation of issues while still allowing a level of industry scrutiny.

NR asked how this would feed into the DSC process. GW advised that a DSC Change Proposal would still be needed to progress the changes agreed by UNCC to the PARR. RHa advised that a Modification would have a higher priority although, RHi felt this wouldn't be an issue as this was amending reporting and should not need to fall into a system release schedule.

HC felt that this should be considered a Self-Governance Modification and a number of Panel Members agreed with this view. SM asked if the proposer was changing their view as was it a PAC view? GW said that PAC wondered that as this was a departure from the Performance Assurance Framework that Self-Governance might not be appropriate but that they would be happy to accept Panel's view in terms of Self-Governance and that. JF noted that this status could be amended later or Ofgem could call the Modification in for their direction.

Workgroup Questions:

- None.

For Modification 0660 Members determined:

- It is not related to the Significant Code Review, by unanimous vote;
- The criteria for Self-Governance are met, as PAC Members sign confidentiality letters which should reduce risks and therefore this Modification should not have a material impact on competition between Shippers and Suppliers, by unanimous vote; and
- That Modification 0660S be issued to Workgroup 0660S for assessment, with a report to be presented no later than the 20 September 2018 Panel, by unanimous vote.

c) Request 0661R - Reconciliation and Imbalance Cash Out Prices

GMa introduced the Request 0661R explaining the reasons for seeking a review and the timescales involved. He provided a number of examples where the current process is causing problems between forecasting, allocation and settlement. In addition, they have suggested a number of solutions which could resolve the issue and that these could be discussed and developed at Workgroup.

DL suggested that this could be a significant impact on the Energy Balancing Regime and would take a significant amount of time to review and understand the analysis to support the proposed options.

SM asked if the Request should be a modification as it appeared to be recommending options for implementation. GMa advised that the Request contained multiple options plus they were open to other options and suggestions, to ensure a robust solution was implemented, so it felt as though a review would be more appropriate. RHi noted that it was not a Modification as there was no clear solution being proposed.

JF noted that Utilita are not a Shipper and that the registered Shipper should be Winchester Gas. GMa advised this was correct and that the two organisations were linked but operate under different licences.

PG noted a learning point for Joint Office to catch such issues in future prior to Panel.

JF also noted that there were interchangeable references to Supplier and Shippers contained in the Request and that these should be corrected as UNC is a Transporter/Shipper agreement.

GMa agreed to update the Request with the correct proposing entity and amend text where Supplier was referred to rather than Shipper for resubmission to the July Panel.

RP asked if this review is being raised to address issues caused by inaccuracies in NDM profiles. GMa felt that the issues being considered are much wider than NDM profiles.

Workgroup:

Is this a real issue, what evidence is available and what costs are being incurred.

For Request 0661R, Members determined:

- To defer consideration, by unanimous vote.

d) Modification 0662 - Revenue Recovery at Combined ASEPs

DL introduced Modification 0662, explaining that it aimed to review the issues around revenue recovery at combined ASEPs. DL felt this should be initially set as Authority Direction but could be reviewed by Workgroup as the Modification is developed.

Workgroup Questions:

- Wider implications of ignoring total system impacts;

- Review the materiality of the redistribution of revenue from Storage sites.

For Modification 0662, Members determined:

- It is not related to the Significant Code Review by unanimous vote;
- The criteria for Self-Governance are not met as this Modification is expected to have a material impact on the contractual arrangements for the transportation of gas, by majority vote; and
- That Modification 0662 be issued to Workgroup 0662 for assessment, with a report to be presented no later than the 19 April 2019 Panel, by unanimous vote.

227.6 Existing Modifications for Reconsideration

None.

227.7 Consider Workgroup Issues

None

227.8 Workgroup Reports for Consideration

a) Modifications 0628S - Standard Design Connections: PARCA process

RP asked if the PARCA application fees were being reduced as part of this Modification. It was confirmed that this was the case. PG provided an overview of the proposed changes to the charges. However, these would be subject to a separate consultation on Charges as this is a licence requirement.

Members noted the Workgroup Report and the recommendations it contained.

- Members determined Modification 0628S should be issued to consultation, with a consultation close date of 12 July 2018, by unanimous vote.

b) Modification 0629S - Standard Design Connections: A2O connection process modification

Members noted the Workgroup Report and the recommendations it contained.

- Members determined Modification 0629S should be issued to consultation, with a consultation close date of 12 July 2018, by unanimous vote.

c) Request 0639R - Review of AUGE Framework and Arrangements

Members noted the recommendations in the Workgroup Report and that an amended AUG Framework document was to be presented to UNCC for approval.

RP asked about the comments made in Ofgem's "minded to" letter on Urgent UIG Modifications, which had suggested that the AUGE should be setting factors, should the Workgroup be extended to consider this issue. SM challenged that this would be outside the scope of the original Request which was to review the contractual framework.

For Request 0639R, Members determined:

- That Workgroup 0639R should be closed.

d) Modification 0644 - Improvements to nomination and reconciliation through the introduction of new EUC bands and improvements for the ALP and DAF

Members noted the recommendations in the Workgroup Report and understood that should the Demand Estimation Methodology be approved by UNCC, it would be likely that this Modification would be withdrawn.

For Modification 0644, Members determined:

- It should be referred back to Workgroup 0644 for further assessment, with a report by the 16 August 2018 Panel.

227.9 Consideration of Workgroup Reporting Dates and Legal Text Requests

Members determined unanimously to extend the following Workgroup reporting date(s):

Workgroup	New Reporting Date
0646R - Review of the Offtake Arrangements Document	20 December 2018
0649S - Update to UNC to formalise the Data Enquiry Service Permissions Matrix	20 September 2018
0651 - Replacement of the Retrospective Data Update provisions	16 August 2018
0652 - Obligation to submit reads and data for winter consumption calculation (meters in EUC bands 3 - 8)	16 August 2018
0654S - Mandating the provision of NDM sample data	16 August 2018

Members determined unanimously to request Legal text for the following modification(s):

Modification

None

227.10 Consider Variation Requests

None.

227.11 Final Modification Reports

a) Modification 0636 inc A to D - Updating the parameters for the NTS Optional Commodity Charge

SM asked what would constitute a new issue, would commentary about an impact assessment or the lack of in depth analysis be considered new issues that would require the Final Modification Report to be sent to Workgroup.

PG advised that the rules are ambiguous concerning what constitutes a new issue and that it is for Members to demonstrate or evidence the reasons in their discussions. RE confirmed that Ofgem would not be in a position at this time to say if an Impact Assessment (IA) would be considered or established, this is part of their assessment process once they have received the Final Modification Report.

DL noted the views represented, however the analysis provided was at a very high level and does not consider the lower level or secondary impacts of each of the Modifications, due to time constraints around Workgroup Reporting. However, this would usually be included in an IA should there be one.

JC felt that the Modifications warrant an IA to consider the wider industry impacts. He was concerned about the overall impacts of these Modifications, particularly their interactions with the Modification 0621 suite and was disappointed that Ofgem couldn't confirm if there was going to be an IA at this time. MS noted that this was standard practice in such cases.

There were concerns around the value of further Workgroup assessment due to the availability and nature of the information available and if confidentiality would prevent the information being provided. CS agreed as the Workgroup had recommended an IA is undertaken, this was in part due to the Workgroup at that time feeling that the information required would not be provided as it was commercially sensitive.

It was challenged if the preference vote on best furthering the relevant objectives should be restricted to one vote per Member across the group of Modifications or one vote per Member against each of the Modifications. A wide ranging discussion was held in terms of the construction of the vote and a consistent approach to the preference option.

It was noted that the preference vote for Modification 0501 which included a number of alternative proposals did not conclude with a preference or demonstration of which Modification best furthers the relevant objectives. However, this issue should not prevent the process from concluding.

For Panel discussion: see the Final Modification Report published at: <https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0636>

- i) For Modification 0636 including Alternative A to D - Updating the parameters for the NTS Optional Commodity Charge

Members voted by majority vote that there were no new issues identified during consultation.

It was noted that 1 Member chose not to participate in the vote and was considered to be absent for the remainder of the voting on Modifications 0636 including A to D.

- ii) For Modification 0636 - Updating the parameters for the NTS Optional Commodity Charge

Members voted by majority vote not to recommend implementation of Modification 0636.

- iii) Modification 0636A - Updating the parameters for the NTS Optional Commodity Charge

Members voted by majority vote not to recommend implementation of Modification 0636A.

- iv) Modification 0636B - Updating the parameters for the NTS Optional Commodity Charge

Members voted by majority vote not to recommend implementation of Modification 0636B

- v) Modification 0636C - Updating the parameters for the NTS Optional Commodity Charge

Members voted by majority vote not to implement Modification 0636C.

- vi) Modification 0636D - Updating the parameters for the NTS Optional Commodity Charge

Members voted by majority vote not to implement Modification 0636D.

When asked to consider which Modification better facilitate the achievement of the Relevant Objectives, Panel Members emphasised that this should not be interpreted as implying that all the Proposals would better facilitate the Relevant Objectives if implemented, in effect the vote reflected views on the least worse option. Panel then determined:

- i) Panel Members voted and with 4 votes in favour proposed Modification 0636 better facilitates the Relevant Objectives than proposed Modifications 0636A 0636B 0636C and 0636D
- ii) Panel Members voted and with 0 votes in favour proposed Modification 0636A better facilitates the Relevant Objectives than proposed Modifications 0636 0636B 0636C and 0636D
- iii) Panel Members voted and with 0 votes in favour proposed Modification 0636B better facilitates the Relevant Objectives than proposed Modifications 0636 0636A 0636C and 0636D

- iv) Panel Members voted and with 0 votes in favour proposed Modification 0636C better facilitates the Relevant Objectives than proposed Modifications 0636 0636A 0636B and 0636D
- v) Panel Members voted and with 2 votes in favour proposed Modification 0636D better facilitates the Relevant Objectives than proposed Modifications 0636 0636A 0636B and 0636C.

b) Modification 0658 (Urgent) - CDSP to identify and develop improvements to LDZ settlement processes

It was noted that a number of Members were concerned that this Modification had been raised when it could and should have been progressed through the DSC Arrangements. However, they recognised the issues proposed to be considered by the task force and therefore supported the intent if not the governance process.

It was noted that 1 Member who was acting as an alternate left the meeting and was unable to pass the alternates vote on to another party for the voting on Modification 0658.

Panel discussion: see the Final Modification Report published at:
<https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0658>

Members voted by majority vote to recommend implementation of Modification 0658.

227.12 AOB

a) **Panel Feedback**

MS advised that feedback would be requested at the July meeting.

b) **CACoP Annual Review**

BF advised that the CACoP Annual review survey was likely to take place later in the Summer and the nominated Code Administrator would be seeking comments from all industry participants.

c) **UNC Shipper Representative Appointment Process Timeline and SPOC Registration**

PG advised that the Joint Office was in the process of seeking SPoC confirmations/nominations ready for the UNC Shipper nominations process to commence. This would also include nominations for the DSC Committees.

d) Governance Review Group

MS noted that he had intended to raise this as an item of AOB given the importance of the Governance Review and the need to maintain momentum. He noted, however, that a number of Panel Members had left the room he would reluctantly defer the issue to the next Panel. He reminded Panel Members that meetings were scheduled to run from 1030 to 1700 and that every effort should be made to attend for the whole session.

e) Voting process for FMRs

PG provided a presentation on the Panel requirements for Final Modification Reports including where various alternates had been tabled, and the associated consideration of Relevant Objectives. Discussion continued on the voting requirements for a single Modification in terms of implementation and for when there are Alternative Modifications. SM asked if the process is to have one vote per Modification and Alternative, PG confirmed this was the situation.

JF noted that there have been different approaches in the past and it would be difficult to consider which option is preferable when the rules lack detail. PG suggested that it might be prudent to seek a legal view on how the rules should be interpreted, although it is likely that a response would not be available until the following meeting.

HC was concerned that this was escalating the issue when Panel needed to establish a position at this time. JF agreed commenting that although it might be possible to bespoke voting for each scenarios, applying the process consistently would be the fairest approach.

MS agreed that the approach could lead to multiple Modifications having similar scores, Members are required to provide reasons why they have or have not voted in a specific way so the narrative would provide supporting evidence for each Modification.

RE noted that recent changes to Panel voting have given Ofgem concerns that the requirements in the Modification Rules and Licence underpinning the process, is not being fully followed. It is essential that Panel provide reasons for and against the Relevant Objectives and that these are included in the Final Modification Report. In addition, Panel should provide a view on which of the Modifications best facilitates the Relevant Objectives or again provide reasons why not.

227.13 Date of Next Meeting

- 10:30, Wednesday 18 July 2018, at TBC, to consider New Modifications, Workgroup Reports, AOB and UNCC;
- 10:30, Thursday 19 July 2018, at Elexon, to consider Final Modification Reports.

Action Table (21 June 2018)

Action Ref	Meeting Date	Minute Ref	Action	Owner	Status Update