# CODE MODIFICATION PROPOSAL No 0141 


#### Abstract

Revision to the 'User Suppressed Reconciliation Values' Financial Incentives arrangements

\section*{Version 2.0}

\section*{Date:}

30/04/2007 Proposed Implementation Date: Immediately upon approval

\section*{Urgency: Non Urgent}

\section*{Proposer's preferred route through modification procedures and if applicable, justification for Urgency}


(see the criteria at http://www.ofgem.gov.uk/temp/ofgem/cache/cmsattach/11700 Urgency Criteria.pdf)
Urgent procedures are not sought for this Proposal.
It is proposed that this modification proposal is referred to the April Distribution Workstream for development for one month and is returned to the May Modification Panel for subsequent process into consultation.

NB: Following discussions at April Distribution Workstream, the proposer has modified this proposal to take account of comments made. In particular, the calculation of the amount to be retained by Transporters has been adjusted. The original figure of $2 \%$ of monthly receipts is retained, but is now subject to a cap of $0.5 \%$ of the maximum monthly total receipts (as adjusted by this proposal).

## Nature and Purpose of Proposal (including consequence of non implementation)

Reconciliation validation 'filter' failures are generated from meter readings which are deemed by Xoserve to be out of tolerance, and are termed User Suppressed Reconciliation Values (USRV's). For all USRV's received from Xoserve, Users are obliged to provide a full response to Xoserve in accordance with the standards contained within the Uniform Network Code Reconciliation Suppression Guidelines.

Modification Proposal 0637, implemented on $21^{\text {st }}$ February 2005, introduced a regime to incentivise Users to process and clear all USRV's in an efficient and timely manner.

Summary of the current regime:-
All USRV's from month $X$ not responded to by month $X+2$ below the $95 \%$ standard would attract an incentive charge of $£ 20$. All subsequent USRV's from month $X$ not responded to by month $X+4$ would attract an incentive charge of $£ 30$. All subsequent USRVs from month $X$ not responded to by month $X>4$ and above will attract an incentive charge of $£ 30$ for each subsequent month until responded to.

Information recently issued by Xoserve identifies that the general level of current performance in this area is consistently below the expected standard, with large volumes of items outstanding. The age analysis within Appendix A, details the number of USRV's outstanding per month as at $20^{\text {th }}$ February 2007.

Although the USRV clearance obligations and incentive regime are documented within the

Uniform Network Code Principal Document and the Uniform Network Code Reconciliation Suppression Guidelines document, it is evident that the current incentive regime does not appear to be working in a sufficiently robust manner, to adequately mitigate the financial risk that unresolved USRV's present to Reconciliation by Difference ( RbD ).

There is currently a significant backlog of outstanding USRV items, which has increased since the implementation of Modification Proposal 0637. It is clear that the current regime, which incentivises Users to clear USRV items that could result in large debit charges to the User and equal and opposite credits to RbD and to implement measures to ensure the timely resolution of 'filter' failures, is ineffective.

The information provided by Xoserve also shows that the incentive liability payment monthly cap of $£ 100 \mathrm{k}$, which is currently in place, is resulting in the significant scaling down of individual liability payments per outstanding USRV for both the existing $£ 20$ and $£ 30$ liability payment standards.

The effect of the scaling down of these liability payments is such that the original intention of the regime, as proposed by Modification Proposal 0637, has not been realised and its effectiveness has been significantly impacted.

To address the issue, this modification proposal seeks to increase the incentive liability payment monthly cap from the existing value of $£ 100 \mathrm{k}$ to $£ 500 \mathrm{k}$. This increase has been based upon analysis undertaken by Xoserve relating to 'Scaled charges for USRV incentives' see Appendix B. This analysis clearly identifies a significant decrease in scaling factors from January 2006 to December 2006 and further identifies that a monthly cap of at least $£ 400 \mathrm{k}$ is required to ensure that the scaling factor is returned to its correct value of 1 .

As the trend over the months June 2006 to December 2006 shows the level of unscaled monthly incentives payments increasing from $£ 196 \mathrm{k}$ to $£ 364 \mathrm{k}$ and evidence that this trend is continuing, we propose that the new proposed monthly cap of $£ 500 \mathrm{k}$ is both appropriate and proportionate to ensure that the original intentions of the regime implemented by Mod 637 are achieved and that the requirement for future scaling down does not occur.

The current arrangements, as defined within the Uniform Network Code under section 8.3.6, allow Transporters to retain $2 \%$ of all amounts received. These arrangements allow Transporters to cover the costs associated with the administration of the incentive regime. With the proposed increase to the monthly cap, an amendment to these arrangements are required in order to ensure that the Transporters' revenue associated with this service continues to be appropriate and cost reflective.

This Modification Proposal therefore proposes that revenue received by Transporters under the current arrangements be capped to a total of $0.5 \%$ of the monthly cap.

## Consequence of not implementing this Proposal

The volume of outstanding USRV's have steadily increased since the introduction of Modification Proposal 637 regime in February 2005.

Should this Proposal not be implemented, the existing regime would continue to operate in a manner not in line with its original intentions and RbD Users would continue to suffer from the current level of financial uncertainty created by unresolved items.

Due to the significant scaling down of monthly incentive payments, the existing regime does not currently provide adequate incentives upon non- RbD users to resolve outstanding USRV's, this lack of incentive would therefore remain.

Further, it is likely that the number of outstanding USRV items would continue to increase in volume and increase the level of risk to RbD .

## Basis upon which the Proposer considers that it will better facilitate the achievement of the Relevant Objectives, specified in Standard Special Condition A11.1 and 2 of the Gas Transporters Licence

We believe that this modification proposal would further the relevant objectives as defined in SSC A11 of the Gas Transporters Licence as follows:-

By ensuring the correct allocation of energy and transportation charges following revision of Annual Quantities -
(d) so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) to (c) the securing of effective competition:
(i) between relevant shippers
(ii) between relevant suppliers

Implementation of this proposal would bring the existing regime in line with the original intentions of Modification Proposal 0637, the revision of the incentive framework would facilitate improvement of User performance in the resolution of suppressed filter failures by:

- Reinforcing the existing contractual obligations placed upon Users and incentive regime, to resolve USRV's.
- Increasing the level of certainty for Users charged through RbD.
- Ensuring that the levels of incentives upon non- RbD Users are adequate to resolve USRV's in a timely manner.


## Any further information (Optional), likely impact on systems, processes or procedures, Proposer's view on implementation timescales and suggested text

The Proposer considers that this will not have any impact upon systems and will require no system development, as the processes required to deliver the existing regime are already in place and operational.

## Code Concerned, sections and paragraphs

Uniform Network Code
Transportation Principal Document
Section(s) TPD Section E

## Proposer's Representative

Chris Wright (British Gas Trading Ltd)

## Proposer

Chris Wright (British Gas Trading Ltd)

## APPENDIX A

Source: USRV Statistics - February 2007 issued by Xoserve via e-mail on $28^{\text {th }}$ March 2007


Joint Office of Gas Transporters
0141: Revision to the 'User Suppressed Reconciliation Values' Financial Incentives arrangements

| $20 / 06 / 2003$ | 9 | 8 | 7 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $20 / 05 / 2003$ | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| $20 / 04 / 2003$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| $20 / 03 / 2003$ | 5 | 3 | 3 |
| $20 / 02 / 2003$ | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| $20 / 01 / 2003$ | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| $20 / 12 / 2002$ | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| $20 / 11 / 2002$ | 17 | 16 | 15 |
| $20 / 10 / 2002$ | 8 | 8 | 7 |
| $20 / 09 / 2002$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $20 / 08 / 2002$ | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| $20 / 07 / 2002$ | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| $20 / 06 / 2002$ | 15 | 13 | 12 |
| $20 / 05 / 2002$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $20 / 12 / 2001$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $20 / 11 / 2001$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |

## APPENDIX B

## Scaled Charges for USRV Incentives

| Month | Unscaled Incentives | Scaling Factor for £100k Cap (ACTUAL) | Scaled Incentives | Scaling Factor for £200k Cap | Scaled <br> Incentives | Scaling Factor for $£ 300 \mathrm{k}$ Cap | Scaled Incentives | Scaling Factor for $£ 400 \mathrm{k}$ Cap | Scaled Incentives |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan-06 | £226,977.00 | 0.44057327 | £100,000.00 | 0.88114655 | £200,000.00 | 1.00000000 | £226,977.00 | 1.00000000 | £226,977.00 |
| Feb-06 | £204,941.08 | 0.48794531 | £100,000.04 | 0.97589024 | £200,000.00 | 1.00000000 | £204,941.08 | 1.00000000 | £204,941.08 |
| Mar-06 | £205,273.81 | 0.48715376 | £99,999.91 | 0.97430841 | £200,000.00 | 1.00000000 | £205,273.81 | 1.00000000 | £205,273.81 |
| Apr-06 | £196,789.47 | 0.50815590 | £99,999.73 | 1.00000000 | £196,789.47 | 1.00000000 | £196,789.47 | 1.00000000 | £196,789.47 |
| May-06 | £226,044.98 | 0.44238979 | £99,999.99 | 0.88477966 | £200,000.00 | 1.00000000 | £226,044.98 | 1.00000000 | £226,044.98 |
| Jun-06 | £196,960.84 | 0.50771473 | £99,999.92 | 1.00000000 | £196,960.84 | 1.00000000 | £196,960.84 | 1.00000000 | £196,960.84 |
| Jul-06 | £230,734.79 | 0.43339762 | £99,999.91 | 0.86679603 | £200,000.00 | 1.00000000 | £230,734.79 | 1.00000000 | £230,734.79 |
| Aug-06 | £265,006.68 | 0.37734852 | £99,999.88 | 0.75469796 | £200,000.00 | 1.00000000 | £265,006.68 | 1.00000000 | £265,006.68 |
| Sep-06 | £287,842.68 | 0.34741161 | £99,999.89 | 0.69482399 | £200,000.00 | 1.00000000 | £287,842.68 | 1.00000000 | £287,842.68 |
| Oct-06 | £319,696.00 | 0.31279716 | £100,000.00 | 0.62559431 | £200,000.00 | 0.93839147 | £300,000.00 | 1.00000000 | £319,696.00 |
| Nov-06 | £338,465.53 | 0.29545065 | £99,999.86 | 0.59090212 | £200,000.00 | 0.88635318 | £300,000.00 | 1.00000000 | £338,465.53 |
| Dec-06 | £364,627.58 | 0.27425287 | £100,000.16 | 0.54850486 | £200,000.00 | 0.82275729 | £300,000.00 | 1.00000000 | £364,627.58 |

Source: Presentation provided by Xoserve to the Distribution Workstream on $22^{\text {nd }}$ March 2007

