

**Modification 0136 “Reconciliation following AQ Amendment where an SSP becomes an LSP prior to calculation of Provisional Annual Quantity”**

The Proposer, British Gas Trading, requests a variation to this Proposal, pursuant to UNC Modification Rules Section 6.5.1(c) of the UNC.

Reason for Variation

Following the consultation process for this proposal, it appears that at least one party has misinterpreted the application and effect of the "90% rule" referred to in this proposal.

BGT believes that the best way forward is to make a variation to the Proposal, by adding in a paragraph clarifying the intention of the "90% rule".

Nature of Variation

It is proposed that an additional paragraph be added to the Proposal, as indicated below:

“ ..... but instead will only be charged a proportion of the charge, this proportion being 90%.

The intent of this modification proposal is to provide an incentive on Users to undertake appeals in a timely manner. For clarity, the introduction of the 90% proportioned Annual Quantity Revision Difference Transportation Charge, would be applicable only to threshold crosser appeals which are successfully undertaken, prior to the calculation of the Provisional Annual Quantity by the Transporter. Successful threshold crosser appeals undertaken after the calculation of the Provisional Annual Quantity, or where the User has failed to appeal the Annual Quantity, would continue to be subject to the full Annual Quantity Revision Difference Transportation Charge, in accordance with existing Uniform Network Code arrangements.

Appendix 1 provides supplementary information relating to the Modification Proposal 640 Adjustments .....

Proposer  
Chris Wright (British Gas Trading Ltd)

Proposer’s Representative  
Chris Wright (British Gas Trading Ltd)