

REVIEW PROPOSAL

Date: 28/2/96

Proposed implementation date: None

Urgency:

Ideally the review should be completed before next years requirements to make alternative provisions. This should be at the latest October 1996.

Justification:

During discussion of the business rules it was agreed that Top Up was seen as an interim arrangement (prior to 1997) and different arrangements may be made for the longer term.

AREA OF NETWORK CODE CONCERNED

(Section, Page Number and Paragraph Number.

All of Section P

NATURE OF PROPOSAL

(Description of proposed changes)

No proposals at present as this is a review. The review will examine alternative service providers, other than TransCo for the provision of Top Up and also alternatives to Top Up as a means of ensuring that a certain system security level can be met.

PURPOSE OF PROPOSAL

(Explanation of the benefits and improvements such a change would make.)

These will be examined as part of the review.

Identity of Proposer's Representative.

PROPOSER : RICHARD ROBINSON
SIGNATURE :
POSITION : MANAGER, SUPPLY DEMAND
COMPANY : BG TRANSCO

MODIFICATION PANEL SECRETARY'S USE ONLY

Reference Number:

Date Received