

UNC Distribution Workgroup Minutes
Thursday 23 June 2022
via Microsoft Teams

Attendees		
Rebecca Hailes (Chair)	(RHa)	Joint Office
Helen Cuin (Secretary)	(HCu)	Joint Office
Adrian Symons	(AS)	CNG Services Ltd
Andy Clasper	(AC)	Cadent
Ben Hanley	(BH)	Northern Gas Networks
Ben Mulcahy	(BM)	Northern Gas Networks
Claire Louise Roberts	(CLR)	ScottishPower
Clare Manning	(CM)	E.ON Energy
David Mitchell	(DM)	SGN
Gethyn Howard	(GH)	Last Mile UK (0809S)
Hursley Moss	(HM)	Cornwall Insight
James Barlow	(JB)	Xoserve (AOB only)
Joel Martin	(JM)	SGN
John Baldwin	(JB)	CNG Services Ltd (0808 only)
Kate Lancaster	(KL)	Xoserve
Louise Hellyer	(LH)	Totalenergies Gas & Power
Mark Jones	(MJ)	SSE
Martin Attwood	(MA)	Correla (0763R only)
Oorlagh Chapman	(OC)	Centrica
Richard Pomroy	(RP)	WWU
Rhys Kealley	(RK)	British Gas
Simon Harris	(SH)	Xoserve (0809S only)
Steve Mulinganie	(SM)	Gazprom
Tracey Saunders	(TS)	Northern Gas Networks

Copies of all papers are available at: <https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/dist/230622>

1. Introduction and Status Review

Rebecca Hailes (RHa) welcomed everyone to the meeting and gave a brief overview of the scheduled items for discussion.

1.1. Approval of Minutes (26 May 2022)

The minutes from the previous Distribution Workgroup were approved.

1.2. Approval of late papers

RHa advised there were 2 late papers, 1 Pre-modification and a paper to support AOB item 5.2. a Priority Consumer Proforma. Workgroup agreed to consider these at today's meeting.

1.3. Review Outstanding Actions

0302: Registration Timeline with REC - Xoserve (ER/DA) to advise Workgroup what the transition arrangement will be.

Update: Deferred to July. **Carried Forward.**

0104: Pre-Modification for Reverse Compression: Ofgem (HBr) to confirm where Net Zero fits into the Relevant Objectives within a Modification.

Update: An update for this Action was given at the May meeting as follows:

The relevant objectives that code modifications need to be assessed against don't change because of net zero – they come from the Gas Act and, in turn, the licence. There is a clear hierarchy here. Net zero is a policy aim and we assume that the Gas Act is consistent with this; it may change in time to prioritise or discriminate in favour of specific net zero approaches (e.g. removing the right to be connected to a gas main, for example) and that may in turn change the objectives but until primary legislation changes this we should not re-interpret the objectives. Otherwise we risk making decisions on the basis of a random interpretation of these objectives i.e. we should approve project x even though it increases costs, is not cost reflective and may discriminate against some categories of users because it is "good for net zero".

Overall net zero should fit into all relevant objectives as it is an overarching goal.

Closed.

0204: Pre-Modification for Reverse Compression: CNG Services (JB) to send a briefing on these issues [upstream metering consequences] to the Joint Office for Joint Office to share with Distribution Networks.

Update: Completed **Closed.**

0105: Joint Office, in conjunction with Xoserve, to review the Transmission Workgroup Change Horizon to assess if there is a suitable version for Distribution Workgroup.

Update: Deferred to July. **Carried Forward.**

1.4. User Representative process

RHa reminded delegates of the key stages and dates associated with the process, noting the nominations window closes on 24 June:

Key Stages	Commencing:	Respond by:
Single Point of Contact (SPoC) to submit nominations for Membership	by 06 June 2022	by 24 June 2022
Membership Election (<i>if nominations received exceed the required representative's positions</i>)	by 11 July 2022	by 29 July 2022
Notification of Membership	01 September 2022	

1.5. Industry Update from Ofgem

RHa confirmed publication of the "Code Modification / Modification Proposals with Ofgem for decision - Expected publication dates timetable", published at:

<https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/publications/code-modificationmodification-proposals-ofgem-decision-expected-publication-dates-timetable>, and that this will be updated to include Modification 0674V - Performance Assurance Techniques and Controls.

1.6. Pre-Modification discussions

1.6.1. Request: Remedy should a Shipper breach its meter reading obligations in TPD M5.9.7 or M5.9.9

Richard Pomroy (RP) provided an overview of the Request to review the options should a Shipper breach its meter reading obligations and alternatives to the current must read service provided by Transporters. RP explained that the current Must-Read process is no longer tenable, noting a number of industry changes, including DNs no longer having a meter reading service, and should Modification 0674V be implemented, PAC will have increased powers to require improvements from Parties.

Steve Mulinganie (SM) enquired about the scope of the Request and if this should be considered through the Retail Energy Code (REC) rather than the UNC, suggesting the Modification title should include a reference to the Must-Read Process.

RP acknowledged the Supplier and Shipper interactions for the provision of meter readings and that there was related REC and UNC issues. He confirmed that this request was being raised to discuss options for reforming the arrangements and obligations for Shippers and Transporters.

The Workgroup considered inviting REC to the Workgroup Meetings.

1.6.2. Shipper Agreed Read (SAR) exceptions process

Steve Mulinganie (SM) provided an overview of the Modification to provide a remedy for Shipper Agreed Reads (SARs), that have failed to be progressed within a reasonable period, to be proactively managed by the Central Data Services Provider (CDSP).

The Modification identified a solution to progress SARs by having an exception process when the required actions in the current arrangements are not undertaken and enables a resolution to be triggered which involves the ability for the CDSP to enter the Shipper Agreed Read.

RHa suggested the Modification would benefit from including information on the consequences of this process not being implemented, for example the financial impact, the possible impact on Unidentified Gas, and incorrect billing of customers.

2. CSS Consequential Changes – Detailed Design Report

David Addison (DA) provided a presentation on the processes to apply where a Central Switching Service (CSS) Supply Point becomes a Non-CSS Supply Point (continue to use UK Link) – these are elective, subject to other conditions as defined in the UNC and Gas Act these typically would be Shared Supply Meter Points and Supplier Exempt. DA provided a list which are not elective, typically NTS Supply Meter Points.

DA confirmed that there are 3 Shared Sites at LDZ level and 10 Supplier Exempt sites.

DA explained that a process is required to allow a Supply Point to move from being a CSS Supply Meter Point to Non-CSS Supply Meter Point and vice versa, described at a high level within UNC. The timetable proposed would allow for a minimum of two-months' notice to ensure relevant dates align. Therefore, registrations would need to be submitted in advance to have assurance these dates would align for Non-CSS Supply effective date with the CSS deactivation date or the CSS registration date and Non-CSS Supply Point effective withdrawal date. DA confirmed this will be captured within the process documentation.

Claire Manning (CM) enquired if this could result in the supply point changing supplier. DA explained a new supplier can be involved in the process. SM asked how parties would be aware of the Shared Supply Meter Point process 2-month notice period, if wanting to change the current arrangements. DA clarified this is set out in the UNC with minimum timescales for Shared Supply Meter Points. The Workgroup considered how best to communicate this for the 13 sites impacted to ensure they are aware of the timescales involved.

DA provided the UNC references involved and a copy of the Shared Supply Meter Points guide and procedures, with marked up changes. DA provided a link to the associated Change Pack on the Xoserve website and confirmed updated documents will be re-circulated and this will include the DSC Change Management and DSC Contract Management Committees.

DA provided a list of the processes that will be impacted. For full details please refer to the presentation published.

SM expressed concern that the mechanics of the process may not work, suggesting this should be considered further. It was agreed to discuss this offline.

DA provided notification of additional changes required to the UNC Validation Rules (UNCVR), noting that version 7.0 will need to be approved for CSS Go-Live. DA notified the Workgroup of the intention to submit the UNCVR for approval to the July UNCC.

DA noted that the Gas Day Settlement will remain as 05:00 to 04:59 post the SCR and that DM Meter Readings will continue to be obtained at start/end of the Gas Day.

DA wished to note that in the CSS Programme Operational Choreography, suppliers take responsibility for a Meter Point at 00:00 in both electricity and gas (the start of a calendar day). Further he highlighted the difference of taking responsibility of a Meter Point, from a settlement perspective everything starts at 05:00 but from an emergency perspective the Supplier would be responsible from 00:00.

It was noted the reading time is not recorded in UK Link. DA provided a table indicating the settlement impacts. For full details please refer to the presentation published.

RHa asked DA to investigate consequences for emergency responsibilities from 00:00, for provision to the industry.

New Action 0601: Xoserve (DA) to investigate consequences for emergency responsibilities from 00:00

3. Workgroups

3.1. 0763R - Review of Gas Meter By-Pass Arrangements

(Due to report to Panel 21 July 2022)

<https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0763>

3.2. 0808 – Reverse Compression

(Report to Panel 18 August 2022)

<https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0808>

3.3. 0809S – Review of Unidentified Gas Process

(Due to Report to Panel 21 July 2022)

<https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0781>

4. Issues

None raised.

5. Any Other Business

5.1. XRN5316 Update

James Barlow (JB) introduced XRN5316 - Rejecting a replacement read with a pre-Line in the Sand (LIS) read date - UNC Validation Rules Update, explaining that, currently there is not a specific CDSP validation routine that checks/validates this condition. This change will therefore introduce that validation and utilise an existing rejection code should the criteria not be met.

The change proposes an exception to this rule so that, where a Meter Reading does not exist on LIS, the latest Meter Reading prior to the LIS date can be replaced.

This exception is proposed for two reasons

1. The Meter Reading may require update to allow later Meter Readings to be accepted.
2. The portion of energy post LIS is still available for reconciliation and, therefore, this allows Valid Meter Readings to be used by the Shipper User to correct their position.

JB confirm that a detailed design Change Pack has been issued for consideration and is closing for industry representations on 27 June 2022.

Oorlagh Chapman (OC) asked about the monitoring of the rejections to check if this is being taken advantage of. Xoserve agreed to provide reporting on its use to future Distribution Workgroups and PAC.

If supported, an update will be drafted and submitted to the UNCC to update the UNCVR.

Mark Jones (MJ) asked about compliance with the UNC TPD Section M5.16.1 and asked for this to be checked in case a Modification is required.

“5.16 Updated Meter Readings

5.16.1 A User may submit to the CDSP an updated Meter Reading (“Updated Meter Reading”) to replace an existing Valid Meter Reading previously submitted by the User pursuant to paragraph 4.3 and this paragraph 5 provided:

(a) the Read Date of the Updated Meter Reading and the Meter Reading which it updates are the same;

(b) the Read Date of the Updated Meter Reading;

(i) is on or after the Code Cut Off Date...”

5.2. Priority Consumer Proforma

Steve Mulinganie had provided a copy of the Priority Consumer Proforma for consideration however discussion was deferred until July.

6. Diary Planning

Further details of planned meetings are available at: <https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/events-calendar/month>

Time / Date	Paper Publication Deadline	Venue	Programme
Thursday 10:00 28 July 2022	5pm 19 July 2022	Microsoft Teams	Standard Agenda
Thursday 10:00 25 August 2022	5pm 16 August 2022	Microsoft Teams	Standard Agenda
Thursday 10:00 22 September 2022	5pm 13 September 2022	Microsoft Teams	Standard Agenda
Thursday 10:00 27 October 2022	5pm 18 October 2022	Microsoft Teams	Standard Agenda
Thursday 10:00 24 November 2022	5pm 15 November 2022	Microsoft Teams	Standard Agenda
Monday 10:00 12 December 2022	5pm 01 December 2022	Microsoft Teams	Standard Agenda

Action Table (as of 23 June 2022)						
Action Ref	Meeting Date	Minute Ref	Action	Reporting Month	Owner	Status Update
0302	27/01/22	2.0	<i>Registration Timeline with REC</i> - Xoserve (ER/DA) to advise Workgroup what the transition arrangement will be.	May 2022	Xoserve (ER/DA)	Carried Forward
0104	28/04/22	1.6.1	<i>Pre-Modification for Reverse Compression:</i> Ofgem (HBr) to confirm where Net Zero fits into the Relevant Objectives within a Modification.	May 2022	Ofgem (HBr)	Closed
0204	28/04/22	1.6.1	<i>Pre-Modification for Reverse Compression:</i> CNG Services (JB) to send a briefing on these issues to the Joint Office for Joint Office to share with Distribution Networks.	May 2022	CNG Services (JB)	Closed
0105	26/05/22	6.1	Joint Office, in conjunction with Xoserve, to review the Transmission Workgroup Change Horizon to assess if there is a suitable version for Distribution Workgroup.	June 2022	Joint Office (RHa) and Xoserve (ER)	Carried Forward
0601	23/06/22	2.0	Xoserve (DA) to investigate consequences for emergency responsibilities from 00:00.	July 2022	Xoserve (/DA)	Pending

**UNC Workgroup 0763R Minutes
Review of Gas Meter By-Pass Arrangements
Thursday 23 June 2022
via Microsoft Teams**

Attendees		
Rebecca Hailes (Chair)	(RHa)	Joint Office
Helen Cuin (Secretary)	(HCu)	Joint Office
Adrian Symons	(AS)	CNG Services Ltd
Andy Clasper	(AC)	Cadent
Ben Hanley	(BH)	Northern Gas Networks
Ben Mulcahy	(BM)	Northern Gas Networks
Claire Louise Roberts	(CLR)	ScottishPower
Clare Manning	(CM)	E.ON Energy
David Mitchell	(DM)	SGN
Hursley Moss	(HM)	Cornwall Insight
Joel Martin	(JM)	SGN
Kate Lancaster	(KL)	Xoserve
Louise Hellyer	(LH)	Totalenergies Gas & Power
Mark Jones	(MJ)	SSE
Martin Attwood	(MA)	Correla
Oorlagh Champan	(OC)	Centrica
Richard Pomroy	(RP)	WWU
Rhys Kealley	(RK)	British Gas
Steve Mulinganie	(SM)	Gazprom
Tracey Saunders	(TS)	Northern Gas Networks

Copies of all papers are available at: <https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0763/230622>

The Workgroup Report is due to be presented at the UNC Modification Panel by 18 August 2022

1.0 Introduction and Status Review

1.1. Approval of Minutes (26 May 2022)

The minutes from the previous Workgroup were approved.

1.2. Approval of Late Papers

There were no late papers to consider.

1.3. Review of Outstanding Actions

0102: Consumer Owns Equipment - Proposer (CLR) to check what happens with the installation of a Meter By-Pass when a Consumer owns the equipment

Update: See item 2.1. **Closed.**

0105: ScottishPower (CLR) to clarify how the notification of the intention to use the By-Pass is issued to the relevant parties.

Update: See item 2.1. **Closed.**

0205: ScottishPower (CLR) to clarify if a Modification will be needed to add to Uniform Network Code (UNC) reference to the Guidance Document.

Update: See item 2.1. **Closed.**

2.0 Consideration of Request

2.1. Review Guidance Document and end-to-end flowchart

Claire Louise Roberts (CLR) provided an overview of the updated Meter By-Pass Process Flowchart. Positive feedback was provided on the changes made.

The Workgroup considered the guidance document and where it should reside, and who should own it i.e. REC, MEMs or Shippers.

It was suggested that the document is finalised and a view sought from REC on where the document would best sit. David Addison (DA) suggested this could be considered a UNC related Document as there are obligations on Shippers when a Meter ByPass has been installed.

It was noted when reviewing the guidance document that approval should be sought for a Meter ByPass under the UNC from GTs.

It was also noted the documents should refer to MEMs Meter Equipment Managers (MEMs).

It was agreed that CLR would seek a view from REC on the guidance document.

<p>New Action 0601: ScottishPower (CLR) to seek a view from REC on the Guidance document content and where it should reside.</p>

3.0 Next Steps

It was agreed that the Workgroup Report could be concluded and presented to the July UNC Modification Panel to agree that this Request could now be Closed. No Modification was anticipated as a result of the Workgroup.

4.0 Any Other Business

None.

5.0 Diary Planning

Further details of planned meetings are available at: www.gasgovernance.co.uk/events-calendar/month

No further meetings planned

Action Table (as of 23 June 2022)

Action Ref	Meeting Date	Minute Ref	Action	Reporting Month	Owner	Status Update
0102	24/02/22	2.1	<i>Consumer Owns Equipment</i> – Proposer (CLR) to check what happens with the installation of a Meter By-Pass when a Consumer owns the equipment.	June 2022	Scottish Power (CLR)	Closed
0105	26/05/22	2.1	ScottishPower (CLR) to clarify how the notification of the intention to use the By-Pass is issued to the relevant parties.	June 2022	Scottish Power (CLR)	Closed
0205	26/05/22	2.1	ScottishPower (CLR) to clarify if a Modification will be needed to add to Uniform Network Code (UNC) reference to the Guidance Document	June 2022	Scottish Power (CLR)	Closed
0601	23/06/22	2.1	ScottishPower (CLR) to seek a view from REC on the Guidance document content and where it should reside.	July 2022	Scottish Power (CLR)	Pending

**UNC Workgroup 0808 Minutes
Reverse Compression
Thursday 23 June 2022
via Microsoft Teams**

Attendees		
Rebecca Hailes (Chair)	(RHa)	Joint Office
Helen Cuin (Secretary)	(HCu)	Joint Office
Adrian Symons	(AS)	CNG Services Ltd
Andy Clasper	(AC)	Cadent
Ben Hanley	(BH)	Northern Gas Networks
Ben Mulcahy	(BM)	Northern Gas Networks
Claire Louise Roberts	(CLR)	ScottishPower
Clare Manning	(CM)	E.ON Energy
David Mitchell	(DM)	SGN
Hursley Moss	(HM)	Cornwall Insight
Joel Martin	(JM)	SGN
John Baldwin	(JB)	CNG Services Ltd
Kate Lancaster	(KL)	Xoserve
Louise Hellyer	(LH)	Totalenergies Gas & Power
Mark Jones	(MJ)	SSE
Oorlagh Chapman	(OC)	Centrica
Richard Pomroy	(RP)	WWU
Rhys Kealley	(RK)	British Gas
Steve Mulinganie	(SM)	Gazprom
Tim Davis	(TD)	Barrow Green Gas
Tracey Saunders	(TS)	Northern Gas Networks

Copies of all papers are available at: <https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0808/230622>

The Workgroup Report is due to be presented at the UNC Modification Panel by 18 August 2022.

1.0 Introduction and Status Review

1.1. Approval of Minutes (26 May 2022)

The minutes from the previous Workgroup were approved.

1.2. Approval of Late Papers

There were no late papers to consider.

1.3. Review of Outstanding Actions

0501: DNs to provide justification for an Authority Direction Governance route based on v1.0 of the Modification

Update: Deferred to July. **Carried Forward.**

2.0 Initial Discussion

Tim Davis (TD) gave a brief overview of the status of the Modification, and confirmed that discussions have been held with Scotia Gas Networks (SGN) to assist with the production of the Legal Text, which had resulted in some subsequent questions for the Workgroup to consider.

3.0 Legal Text Questions

The Workgroup discussed each of the questions raised. The following outlines the questions raised, a summary of the areas discussed and any conclusions:

If gas exits the DNO's network for reverse-compression (i.e. it leaves the Total System) who is responsible for that (and for subsequent redelivery)?

TD clarified that if gas exits the DNO network to supply the compressor it will reverse back into the system. The party responsible for this is not the DNO; it would be the owner/operator of the compressor facility. It was noted that the gas going back into the system could be metered if necessary, and this could be captured within the Modification. John Baldwin (JB) assured the Workgroup that gas going out and back in again would be monitored.

Who decides when the Reverse Compression Facility (RCF) is operational and the quantities to be subject to reverse-compression (and what are the timescales for that)?

TD clarified this would be captured within the operating procedures.

The Workgroup considered the connection obligations and if there would be a need for a GT Licence and consideration of CSEP arrangements. TD confirmed there would be no other connections, therefore there would be no need for a GT licence for the network. JB explained that a Biomethane plant needs to connect to the grid but a biomethane plant has no specific need to be linked to this reverse compression facility.

Tracey Saunders (TS) enquired about the likely number of sites and about the ability to use the facility for storage. TD confirmed there will be around 20 sites and JB clarified that it is not feasible to use the facility for storage as it would need to be long-term storage.

How is a third party owned RCF classified for the purposes of TPD Sections I and J?

The Workgroup considered the reverse compression facility (RCF) classification.

The Workgroup considered the associated CDSP arrangements, TD clarified the classifications would be captured within an operational document.

It was anticipated the 3rd party RCF would not be classified as a supply point so the arrangement would not be included within TPD Sections I and/or J.

Ben Hanley (BH) understood if the RCF transfers to 3rd party ownership this would be classified as an exit and entry. It was clarified that the assumption is that the 3rd party continues to both own and operate the facility.

The Workgroup considered the option of pipeline adoption and managing the process applying exit and entry point charges. However, TD expressed the seeking of a level playing field with an ability for 3rd parties to have access to Reverse Compression in the same manner as the DNOs currently have.

Richard Pomroy (RP) explained that there are Gas Act obligations to measure the Calorific Value (CV), if gas is leaving and entering the system. It was noted that if Ofgem direct that the CV needs to be measured then this will be managed by the developer/owner of the Reverse Compression Facility.

What arrangements (e.g. operational, information flows) are needed between the DNO and the owner of the RCF? Where will these be set out of the available options?

TD clarified this would be captured within the operational document. The type of arrangements will be set out in the ancillary agreement to capture the information flows between the DNO and the operator/owner.

JB stressed that if, for example, a DNO requirement would be to have a meter, then because the RCF can only go ahead with an agreement, a meter would then be needed.

JB also confirmed the Entry Forum will be engaged with the process.

It was noted by JB that for Reverse Compressions Facilities to work the GDNs/DNOs will have to want to be involved. If agreements with the DNO cannot be reached (managing the proposed arrangements by ancillary agreements/network entry agreements), the operators/owners will not want to invest in the required set up. JB wanted to work with GDNs to agree/manage Reverse Compression Facilities with no specific licence obligation to promote biomethane.

The Workgroup debated the need to promote successful biomethane markets, achieving net zero and the need to assess the ability to manage Reverse Compression Flows to contribute to industry development. It was agreed the assessment should focus on whether the Modification was implementable rather than evaluating views if it's a good idea or not.

The Workgroup noted the current relevant objectives do not include net zero, but that net zero should fit into all relevant objectives as it is an overarching goal.

The Workgroup considered the potential for leakage and how this would be treated. The GDN Shrinkage model and Unidentified Gas were both considered.

Following further consideration on the potential need for a GT licence, it was deemed that a GT licence was not required. It was agreed there were no GT licence conditions as they were not relevant. However RP suggested the Workgroup should consider for the combined entry/exit agreement, if the service takes on another connection, the arrangement would be terminated.

The Workgroup considered how to address any concerns relating to a breach of the Gas Act, License Conditions / Safety Aspects. It was agreed that any breach would simply result in a termination of the agreement as an arrangement cannot be permitted if it would lead to a contravention.

How is any gas consumed by the RCF treated?

TD clarified this would be captured within the defined documents.

Are there any GT licence considerations?

TD clarified this would be captured within the defined documents.

The agreement envisaged between the GDN and the owner of the transportation recompression asset is an obligatory document for operators of the transportation recompression asset to execute, and must be executed before development works are commenced.

The Workgroup considered the management of the process using Connection Agreements and Operating Procedures and signing agreements before physical gas flow.

Asset obsolescence risk sits with the transportation recompression asset owner; no requirement on GTs for the existence of the transportation recompression assets to determine their approach to network planning, network operation, network development etc activities.

No response required.

Any potential billing and finance impacts should be discussed by the workgroup and included in the solution for clarity as necessary.

TD confirmed there will be no billing or financial impacts.

The Workgroup considered what parameters should be in the agreement documents and the operating procedures. It was recognised that the rules are in the UNC of what must be in the NExA and that the NExA is a bilateral agreement between the DNO and operator.

It was agreed that the DNOs should provide a list of what is likely to be needed in the agreement documents.

New Action 0601: DNOs to supply list of mandatory items for ancillary agreements and items which that may be in an ancillary agreement.

Governance

The Workgroup considered whether the Modification should be treated as a Self-Governance Modification or Authority Direction. Some Workgroup Participants believed Authority Direction should be sought. However, TD explained that as the charging concept for charging net flow is already in the code, that this could be treated as a Self-Governance Modification.

4.0 Next Steps

It was anticipated that the Modification would need to be amended to capture the mandatory requirements for the RCF within the UNC, and the text would need to be updated to reflect this.

It was suggested based on discussions that it would be feasible to draft the legal text and insert a suitable table of the mandatory requirements which would allow the Workgroup to be concluded at the July meeting.

The agreed next steps were for:

- DNOs to supply list of mandatory items for ancillary agreements and items which that may be in the list
- TD to provide an Amended Modification
- SGN to produce Legal Text for review at the July meeting.

5.0 Any Other Business

None raised.

6.0 Diary Planning

Further details of planned meetings are available at: www.gasgovernance.co.uk/events-calendar/month

Workgroup meetings will take place as follows:

Time / Date	Paper Publication Deadline	Venue	Workgroup Programme
Thursday 10:00 28 July 2022	5pm 19 July 2022	Microsoft Teams	Review of Legal Text Conclusion of Workgroup Report

Action Table (as at 23 June 2022)

Action Ref	Meeting Date	Minute Ref	Action	Owner	Status Update
0501	26/05/22	3.0	DNOs to provide justification for an Authority Direction Governance route based on v1.0 of the Modification	DNOs	Pending
0601	23/06/22	3.0	DNOs to supply list of <u>mandatory</u> items for ancillary agreements and items which that <u>may</u> be in an ancillary agreement.	DNOs	Pending

UNC Workgroup 0809S Minutes
Distribution of Last Resort Supplier Payment (LRSP) claims to
include IGT sites
Thursday 23 June 2022
via Microsoft Teams

Attendees		
Rebecca Hailes (Chair)	(RHa)	Joint Office
Helen Cuin (Secretary)	(HCu)	Joint Office
Adrian Symons	(AS)	CNG Services Ltd
Andy Clasper	(AC)	Cadent
Ben Hanley	(BH)	Northern Gas Networks
Ben Mulcahy	(BM)	Northern Gas Networks
Claire Louise Roberts	(CLR)	ScottishPower
Clare Manning	(CM)	E.ON Energy
David Mitchell	(DM)	SGN
Gethyn Howard	(GH)	Last Mile UK
Hursley Moss	(HM)	Cornwall Insight
Joel Martin	(JM)	SGN
Kate Lancaster	(KL)	Xoserve
Louise Hellyer	(LH)	Totalenergies Gas & Power
Mark Jones	(MJ)	SSE
Oorlagh Chapman	(OC)	Centrica
Richard Pomroy	(RP)	WWU
Rhys Kealley	(RK)	British Gas
Simon Harris	(SH)	Xoserve
Steve Mulinganie	(SM)	Gazprom
Tracey Saunders	(TS)	Northern Gas Networks

Copies of all papers are available at: <https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0809/230622>

The Workgroup Report is due to be presented at the UNC Modification Panel by 21 July 2022.

1.0 Outline of Modification

Tracey Saunders (TS) introduced the Modification and explained that the purpose of the Modification is to add clarity to the UNC, that charges resulting from the Supplier of Last Resort (SoLR) are distributed to all end supply meter points, regardless of whether they are on an Independent Gas Transporter (IGT) Connected System Exit Point (CSEP) or directly connected to a Distribution Network (DN), to remove any ambiguity. Currently the UNC is silent on including or excluding IGT CSEPs.

It was noted that the intent of Urgent Modification 0797 - Last Resort Supply Payments Volumetric Charges cost recovery, was to target the market sector and align the costs to where they arose from.

Whilst this Modification adds clarity within the UNC around how the IGTs CSEP Supply Meter Points are treated and how Last Resort Supply Payments (LRSP) payments are managed, there were no required changes to the IGT UNC.

TS confirmed that the implementation charging rates will take effect from 01 April 2023 and the system changes need to be implemented in time for the 2023-2024 charging year onwards. The associated Change Proposal is XRN4992B.

TS clarified that the Modification would document current practice, will not change where the costs are being allocated and it will avoid misinterpretation in the future.

2.0 Initial Discussion

2.1. Issues and Questions from Panel

None raised.

2.2. Initial Representations

None received.

2.3. Terms of Reference

The standard UNC Workgroup Terms of Reference will apply and are available at www.gasgovernance.co.uk/mods

3.0 Completion of Workgroup Report

The Workgroup reviewed and concluded the Workgroup Report for consideration at the July UNC Modification Panel Meeting.

The Workgroup considered whether the Modification should be treated as a Self-Governance Modification or Authority direction. As there had been direction from Ofgem some Workgroup participants believed the Modification could be managed as a Self-Governance Modification. However other parties believed as this involved large sum of monies and Ofgem instructed the change based on Ofgem's letter, this indicated that Ofgem expect to consider the related Modification to enact the change. It was noted that being a Self Governance Modification, Ofgem could call in the Modification if required.

4.0 Next Steps

Rebecca Hailes (RHa) confirmed that the Workgroup will be asking Panel to agree that this Self-Governance Modification should now proceed to consultation.

5.0 Any Other Business

None.

6.0 Diary Planning

No further meetings were planned.

Further details of planned meetings are available at: www.gasgovernance.co.uk/events-calendar/month