

UNC Workgroup Report		At what stage is this document in the process?
<h1>UNC 0XXX:</h1> <h2>Mod Title</h2>		<div style="display: flex; flex-direction: column; gap: 5px;"> <div style="border: 1px solid green; padding: 2px; display: flex; align-items: center; gap: 5px;">01 Modification</div> <div style="border: 1px solid blue; padding: 2px; display: flex; align-items: center; gap: 5px;">02 Workgroup Report</div> <div style="border: 1px solid purple; padding: 2px; display: flex; align-items: center; gap: 5px;">03 Draft Modification Report</div> <div style="border: 1px solid orange; padding: 2px; display: flex; align-items: center; gap: 5px;">04 Final Modification Report</div> </div>
<p>Purpose of Modification: Insert Text Here</p>		
	<p>The Workgroup recommends that this modification should be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> subject to self-governance <p>The Panel will consider this Workgroup Report on dd month 2018. The Panel will consider the recommendations and determine the appropriate next steps.</p>	
	<p>High Impact: Here</p>	
	<p>Medium Impact: Here</p>	
	<p>Low Impact: Here</p>	

Contents		 Any questions?
1	Summary	3
2	Governance	3
3	Why Change?	3
4	Code Specific Matters	4
5	Solution	4
6	Impacts & Other Considerations	4
7	Relevant Objectives	<u>Error! Bookmark not defined.</u> 5
8	Implementation	<u>87</u>
9	Legal Text	<u>87</u>
10	Recommendations	<u>87</u>
Timetable		 Contact: Joint Office of Gas Transporters
		 enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk
		 0121 288 2107
		Proposer: Insert name
		 email address
		 telephone
		Transporter: Insert name
		 email address
		 telephone
		Systems Provider: Xoserve
		 UKLink@xoserve.com
		Other: Insert name
		 email address
		 telephone
Modification timetable: <i>(amend as appropriate)</i>		
Initial consideration by Workgroup	dd month year	
Amended Modification considered by Workgroup	dd month year	
Workgroup Report presented to Panel	dd month year	
Draft Modification Report issued for consultation	dd month year	
Consultation Close-out for representations	dd month year	
Variation Request presented to Panel	dd month year	
Final Modification Report available for Panel	dd month year	
Modification Panel decision	dd month year	

1 Summary

The Workgroup Report is written by the JO on behalf of the Workgroup and should be agreed by the group as a whole. As a starting point use the text submitted by the Proposer, but (generally) remove references to the proposer/proposing organisation – e.g. if the Modification says “National Grid consider that implementation would facilitate” this should be amended to “Implementation would facilitate”. If differing opinions emerge, these need to be recorded and identified as such – e.g. Some Workgroup attendees considered that ...”. NB Workgroups do not have members and so there should never be any references to Workgroup members.

What

Insert text here

Why

Insert text here

How

Insert text here

2 Governance

Justification for Self-Governance, Authority Direction or Urgency

Insert text here

Panel determined the modification is [un]likely to have a material effect on *(insert the relevant SG criteria from the mod or from Panel)* because of *(insert rationale from mod or from Panel)*.

Modification 06xx will therefore follow [self-governance / Authority Direction] procedures.

Requested Next Steps

This modification should: *(delete as appropriate)*

- be considered a non-material change and subject to self-governance
- be considered a material change and not subject to self-governance
- be assessed by a Workgroup
- proceed to Consultation

Rationale for requested next steps inserted here

3 Why Change?

Insert text here

The Code Administrator may set subheadings appropriate to the specific Code.

Insert subheading here (if required)

Insert text here

4 Code Specific Matters

Reference Documents

Insert text here

Knowledge/Skills

Insert text here

Insert subheading here

Insert text here

5 Solution

THIS SECTION CAN ONLY BE AMENDED BY THE PROPOSER AMENDING THE MODIFICATION and cannot include any views from Workgroup participants – it should remain a straight lift from the modification at the time the Workgroup Report is finalised (subsequent changes are not included in an already finalised Workgroup Report i.e. approved by the Workgroup for submission to the Panel).

The solution must clearly set out the contractual (UNC) changes required, not the detail of the process/system change required.

Insert subheading here (if required)

Insert text here

6 Impacts & Other Considerations

All parts of this section must be completed; showing “None” where the Workgroup believes this is so.

Does this modification impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

In the event, there is an impact on an SCR, Proposers must confirm that they have Ofgem approval to proceed and the Workgroup must provide an assessment of the impact

Insert text here

Consumer Impacts

The Workgroup must provide an assessment of the impacts on all consumer groups that may be affected. If ‘none’, please also explain.

Insert text here

Consumer Impact Assessment <i>(Workgroup assessment of proposer initial view or subsequent information)</i>	
Criteria	Extent of Impact
Which Consumer groups are affected?	<p><i>Please consider each group and delete if not applicable.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Domestic Consumers • Small non-domestic Consumers • Large non-domestic Consumers • Very Large Consumers
What costs or benefits will pass through to them?	<p><i>Please explain what costs will ultimately flow through to each Consumer group. If no costs pass through to Consumers, please explain why. Use the General Market Assumptions approved by Panel to express as 'cost per consumer'.</i></p> <p>Insert text here</p>
When will these costs/benefits impact upon consumers?	<p><i>Unless this is 'immediately on implementation', please explain any deferred impact.</i></p> <p>Insert text here</p>
Are there any other Consumer Impacts?	<p><i>Prompts:</i></p> <p><i>Are there any impacts on switching?</i></p> <p><i>Is the provision of information affected?</i></p> <p><i>Are Product Classes affected?</i></p> <p>Insert text here</p>
General Market Assumptions as at December 2016 (to underpin the Costs analysis)	
<i>Number of Domestic consumers</i>	<i>21 million</i>
<i>Number of non-domestic consumers <73,200 kWh/annum</i>	<i>500,000</i>
<i>Number of consumers between 73,200 and 732,000 kWh/annum</i>	<i>250,000</i>
<i>Number of very large consumers >732,000 kWh/annum</i>	<i>26,000</i>

Cross Code Impacts

The Workgroup is to identify and assess any other impacted energy code – a full list is available in the CACoP ([Ofgem](#)) - and the extent of those impacts e.g. a similar modification has been raised in another Code.

Insert text here

EU Code Impacts

The Workgroup is to identify and assess any other impacted EU energy code

Insert text here

Central Systems Impacts

The Workgroup must provide an assessment of the impacts on central systems (inc. Gemini and UK Link) that may be affected; this will be supported by further input from the Central Data Services Provider (Xoserve) later in the process. If 'none', please also explain.

Insert text here

Workgroup Impact Assessment *(Joint Office to complete)*

Insert text here

Settlement Performance Assessment

Insert text here

Include the PAFA/PAC assessment summary and record Workgroups response regarding mitigations (or record where no material impacts are expected) including details of any recommended PAC/Industry Reporting (whether or not included in Section 5 above) and noting which of these recommendations is included in the Modification and which are not included and why.

Rough Order of Magnitude (ROM) Assessment *(Cost estimate from CDSP)*

Cost estimate from CDSP where the Modification relates to a change to a CDSP Service Document

Insert text here

OR

Rough Order of Magnitude (ROM) Assessment *(Workgroup assessment of costs)*

Cost estimate from CDSP	Insert text here
Insert Subheading here	Insert text here

7 Relevant Objectives

Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:	
Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	Positive/Negative/None
b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.	Positive/Negative/None
c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	Positive/Negative/None
d) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant	Positive/Negative/None

shippers.	
e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	Positive/Negative/None
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.	Positive/Negative/None
g) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	Positive/Negative/None

OR, for Section Y (Charging Methodology) Modifications

Impact of the modification on the Relevant Charging Methodology Objectives:	
Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Save in so far as paragraphs (aa) or (d) apply, that compliance with the charging methodology results in charges which reflect the costs incurred by the licensee in its transportation business;	Positive/Negative/None
aa) That, in so far as prices in respect of transportation arrangements are established by auction, either: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) no reserve price is applied, or (ii) that reserve price is set at a level - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (I) best calculated to promote efficiency and avoid undue preference in the supply of transportation services; and (II) best calculated to promote competition between gas suppliers and between gas shippers; 	Positive/Negative/None
b) That, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraph (a), the charging methodology properly takes account of developments in the transportation business;	Positive/Negative/None
c) That, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), compliance with the charging methodology facilitates effective competition between gas shippers and between gas suppliers; and	Positive/Negative/None
d) That the charging methodology reflects any alternative arrangements put in place in accordance with a determination made by the Secretary of State under paragraph 2A(a) of Standard Special Condition A27 (Disposal of Assets).	Positive/Negative/None
e) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	Positive/Negative/None

In the case of a modification to a NTS Charging Methodology, please state why the modification does not conflict with:

- (i) paragraphs 8, 9, 10 and 11 of Standard Condition 4B of the Transporter's Licence; or*
- (ii) paragraphs 2, 2A and 3 of Standard Special Condition A4 of the Transporter's Licence;*

The Workgroup must assess all Relevant Objectives for potential impacts from the appropriate lists above

Demonstration of how the Relevant Objectives are furthered inserted here

8 Implementation

As far as they are known, the anticipated implementation costs for all industry parties (e.g. Transporters, Shippers, adjacent TSOs, Storage/Terminal Operators, central systems, customers) should be provided.

Workgroup to assess implementation timescales, including the costs and benefits of a range of implementation options where appropriate.

Where the Workgroup is recommending a change to the self-governance status of a modification, they should provide an assessment of implementation options for the existing status and recommended status of the modification.

If a suggested implementation date is not provided and the decision is to accept the modification, then the Transporters will set the implementation date.

*If a timescale for implementation is suggested, the format explained below **must** be used, and brief reasons provided for each suggested date.*

- At least two fixed implementation dates must be specified, and for each of these the latest date by which an implementation decision is required if the date is to apply: e.g. 01 June 2014 if a decision to implement is issued by 15 May 2014; 01 September 2014 if a decision to implement is received by 06 August 2014.*
- In addition, a backstop lead time must be specified to allow for any later decision date: e.g. if a decision to implement is received after 06 August 2014, implementation 21 business days following the decision to implement.*

Suggested wording for Self-Governance Modifications:

As self-governance procedures are proposed, implementation could be sixteen business days after a Modification Panel decision to implement, subject to no Appeal being raised.

Suggested wording for Fast Track Self-Governance Modifications:

As fast track self-governance procedures are proposed, implementation could be sixteen business days after a unanimous vote in favour of implementation by the Modification Panel, subject to no Objection being raised.

9 Legal Text

Insert Proposers Suggested Legal Text where provided and not superseded by Transporters Text.

Legal text will be drawn up by the relevant Transporter at a time when the modification is sufficiently developed in line with the [Legal Text Guidance Document](#).

Legal Text has been provided by [name] and is [included below/published alongside this report]. The Workgroup has considered the Legal Text and is satisfied that it meets the intent of the Solution.

Text Commentary

Insert text here

Text

Insert text here

10 Recommendations

Workgroup's Recommendation to Panel

The Workgroup asks Panel to agree that:

- This [self-governance] modification should proceed to consultation.
- This proposal requires further assessment and should be returned to Workgroup.

The Code Administrator may set alternative subheadings appropriate to the specific Code.

Insert subheading here

Insert text here