










UNC Modification	At what stage is this document in the process?
<h1>UNC 0660:</h1> <h2>Amendment to PARR permissions to allow PAC to update with UNCC approval</h2>	<div>01 Modification</div> <div>02 Workgroup Report</div> <div>03 Draft Modification Report</div> <div>04 Final Modification Report</div>
<p><b>Purpose of Modification:</b></p> <p>To allow the Performance Assurance Committee (PAC) to request updates to the Performance Assurance Reports Register (PARR) without recourse to the full UNC modification process, but instead be able to submit requests for new and amended reports to the UNCC for approval.</p>	
	<p>The Proposer recommends that this modification should be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>considered a material change and not subject to self-governance</li> <li>assessed by a Workgroup</li> </ul> <p>This modification will be presented by the Proposer to the Panel on <b>21 June 2018</b>. The Panel will consider the Proposer's recommendation and determine the appropriate route.</p>
	<p>High Impact:</p>
	<p>Medium Impact:</p> <p>Shippers, Transporters</p>
	<p>Low Impact:</p>

Contents		 Any questions?												
1	Summary	3												
2	Governance	3												
3	Why Change?	3												
4	Code Specific Matters	4												
5	Solution	4												
6	Impacts & Other Considerations	4												
7	Relevant Objectives	5												
8	Implementation	6												
9	Legal Text	6												
10	Recommendations	6												
Timetable		 0121 288 2107												
The Proposer recommends the following timetable:		Proposer: Graham Wood												
<table><tr><td>Initial consideration by Workgroup</td><td>28 June 2018</td></tr><tr><td>Workgroup Report presented to Panel</td><td>20 September 2018</td></tr><tr><td>Draft Modification Report issued for consultation</td><td>20 September 2018</td></tr><tr><td>Consultation Close-out for representations</td><td>11 October 2018</td></tr><tr><td>Final Modification Report available for Panel</td><td>15 October 2018</td></tr><tr><td>Modification Panel decision</td><td>18 October 2018 (at short notice)</td></tr></table>		Initial consideration by Workgroup	28 June 2018	Workgroup Report presented to Panel	20 September 2018	Draft Modification Report issued for consultation	20 September 2018	Consultation Close-out for representations	11 October 2018	Final Modification Report available for Panel	15 October 2018	Modification Panel decision	18 October 2018 (at short notice)	 <a href="mailto:enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk">enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk</a>
Initial consideration by Workgroup	28 June 2018													
Workgroup Report presented to Panel	20 September 2018													
Draft Modification Report issued for consultation	20 September 2018													
Consultation Close-out for representations	11 October 2018													
Final Modification Report available for Panel	15 October 2018													
Modification Panel decision	18 October 2018 (at short notice)													
		 07979 567686												
		Transporter: Chris Warner Cadent												
		 <a href="mailto:Crhis.Warner@cadentgas.com">Crhis.Warner@cadentgas.com</a>												
		 01926 653541												
		Systems Provider: Xoserve												
		 <a href="mailto:UKLink@xoserve.com">UKLink@xoserve.com</a>												
		Other: Insert name												
		 email address												
		 telephone												

## 1 Summary

This proposal has been raised on behalf of the Performance Assurance Committee.

### What

The Performance Assurance Report Register (PARR) was created so that any change to the PARR could only be progressed by raising a UNC modification. Since implementation, a number of reports have been identified for potential inclusion into the PARR, however as a request for each proposed new report or amendment currently has to be progressed through the full UNC modification process, this means that the Performance Assurance Committee (PAC) is not as agile and responsive as it could be.

### Why

Since the introduction of Project Nexus, a number of reports have been identified as being required for the PARR by the PAC. A modification proposal has recently been raised to add a proposed new report and following recent discussions at the PAC, it is likely that at least one further modification will be needed in the near future to make further additions in relation to meter read performance. Raising a modification every time additional or amended reports are required is a cumbersome and inefficient way to manage the PARR suite of reports.

### How

It is proposed that the current arrangements are changed so that the modification process does not need to be used every time an amendment to the PARR is identified by the PAC and are replaced by a process that simplifies arrangements by requiring PARR related changes to be submitted to the UNCC for approval. This will ensure that industry oversight will still remain in place, to ratify and/or challenge any requests from the PAC but enable the process to be undertaken in a more quick and efficient manner.

## 2 Governance

### Justification for Self-Governance, Authority Direction or Urgency

As this proposal changes the nature of one element of the Performance Assurance Framework and would potentially impact the availability of non-anonymised and commercially sensitive data to PAC, it is proposed that this modification is not suitable for Self-Governance as it could impact competition between Shippers and Suppliers, therefore it will need to be sent for Authority Direction.

### Requested Next Steps

This modification should:

- be considered a material change and not subject to self-governance
- be assessed by a Workgroup

## 3 Why Change?

The Performance Assurance Report Register (PARR) was created so that any change to the PARR could only be progressed by raising a UNC modification. Since implementation, a number of reports have been identified for potential inclusion into the PARR, however as a request for each proposed new report or amendment

currently has to be progressed through the full UNC modification process, this means that the Performance Assurance Committee (PAC) is not as agile and responsive as it could be.

Since the introduction of Project Nexus, a number of reports have been identified as being required for the PARR by the PAC. A modification proposal has recently been raised to add a proposed new report and following recent discussions at the PAC it is likely that at least one further modification will be needed in the near future, to make further additions in relation to meter read performance. Raising a modification every time additional or amended reports are required is a cumbersome and inefficient way to manage the PARR suite of reports.

It is proposed that the current arrangements are changed so that the modification process does not need to be used every time an amendment to the PARR is identified by the PAC and are replaced by a process that simplifies arrangements by requiring PARR related changes to be submitted to the UNCC for approval. This will ensure that industry oversight will still remain in place, to ratify and/or challenge any requests from the PAC but enable the process to be undertaken in a more quick and efficient manner.

## 4 Code Specific Matters

### Reference Documents

Below is a link to the PARR.

[https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/sites/default/files/ggf/PAC%20Document%201%20Performance%20Assurance%20Framework%20Report%20Register%20v1.0\\_0.pdf](https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/sites/default/files/ggf/PAC%20Document%201%20Performance%20Assurance%20Framework%20Report%20Register%20v1.0_0.pdf)

## 5 Solution

Currently, UNC Section V references in 16.1.2 that *“Any amendment to the Performance Assurance Report Registers shall be made in accordance with the Modification Rules and for such purposes the Performance Assurance Report Registers shall be deemed to be a part of the Code.”*

It is proposed that this is amended to allow the PARR to become a UNC related document that can be changed through a request by the PAC, that is subsequently approved by UNCC.

## 6 Impacts & Other Considerations

### Does this modification impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

None identified.

### Consumer Impacts

None identified.

## Cross Code Impacts

There may be an IGT UNC impact.

## EU Code Impacts

None identified.

## Central Systems Impacts

None identified.

## 7 Relevant Objectives

Impact of the modification on the Relevant Objectives:

Relevant Objective	Identified impact
a) Efficient and economic operation of the pipe-line system.	None
b) Coordinated, efficient and economic operation of (i) the combined pipe-line system, and/ or (ii) the pipe-line system of one or more other relevant gas transporters.	None
c) Efficient discharge of the licensee's obligations.	None
d) Securing of effective competition: (i) between relevant shippers; (ii) between relevant suppliers; and/or (iii) between DN operators (who have entered into transportation arrangements with other relevant gas transporters) and relevant shippers.	None
e) Provision of reasonable economic incentives for relevant suppliers to secure that the domestic customer supply security standards... are satisfied as respects the availability of gas to their domestic customers.	None
f) Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code.	Positive
g) Compliance with the Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	None

This modification would enhance Relevant Objective f) – Promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the Code by removing additional administration in the development of PARR reporting arrangements. In addition, by making reporting more responsive, it would support PAC in its investigations of issues and risks and reduce the administrative burden on PAC.

## 8 Implementation

No implementation timescales are proposed. However, implementation could take place soon after an authority direction is received.

## 9 Legal Text

### Text

To be provided.

## 10 Recommendations

### Proposer's Recommendation to Panel

Panel is asked to:

- Agree that Authority Direction should apply
- Refer this proposal to a Workgroup for assessment.