UK Link - Programme Impact Assessment Process Templates

To be authorised by a minimum level of a XM1 manager

All fields are mandatory. IA Requests without sufficient information will be rejected & returned to the originator.

Please submit this form to: .box.xoserve.UKLinkProgramme

Guidance notes in blue are to be deleted before submission

Section 1: To be completed by the IA requestor:

UK Link Programme Impact Assessment Form	
**Impact Assessment ID:	UKLP IADBI112
XRN log Number (if applicable):	3477
Change Title:	Missing Key Data Item from iGT's to Shippers (Plot Number)

XM1 Owner	Lee Chambers
Fast Track IA (Y/N):	Yes
Date Raised:	07/07/15
Raised By:	Steve Nunnington
Originator:	IE
Source of Change:	Solution Design Gap – Missing Requirement in Design
Date Approval Required By:	ASAP – To be aligned to CR's 81, 82 & 107 and completed in the same timelines
Portfolio Impact (Yes/No):	No
Portfolio Impact Details:	No
Required in Legacy (Yes/No):	No
Priority (1-Critical, 2-High, 3-Medium, 4 - Low):	2
Requested Implementation By Date:	Go Live Required for Market Trials

UK Link Programme Impact Assessment Form

Change Description:

Following the completion of the File Format Detailed Design, Shippers have identified that there is no flow within the current Shipper File Formats of the Plot Number that is required. The requirement under consideration is the provision and amendment of Plot addresses to Shippers.

In current iGT world the plot number is first provided to a Shipper by the iGT in a report known as the PSR (Project Summary Report) and is also provided to Shippers by the iGT at the point of a meter installation in a file known as the Meter Fit Report. Shippers use the data provided in both flows to ensure the meter installation and address details are correct before registering the site.

Under Single Service Provision proposals, iGTs will request Xoserve to create Meter Points once the PSR is in place, with all the associated data flowing through Xoserve to the Shipper. The Meter Fit Report will no longer be provided by iGTs to Shippers, with this flow being replaced by an RGMA 'Onjob' file. In order to conform to existing UK Link address format, iGTs were advised by Xoserve to populate plot number data in the Delivery Point Alias field. Industry requirements state that the shipper will be made aware of iGT meter point address data captured during the iGT meter point creation & amendment process, which we will be doing via the AES file, however Delivery Point Alias is not contained within this file. The upshot is that under the current design proposals the Shipper will not be made aware of the plot details on iGT sites.

In response to this the Shippers have met with iGTs to try to work out a workable solution. On a t-con this morning EDF and BGT liaised with ourselves to work through the proposals detailed in the attached email from Andrew Margan of BGT.

Shippers have two solutions in mind.

- A. Create a new field for plot which would be included in the AES. This would mean major changes to industry flows as the file flow would be needed in several processes. This has been identified as complex and potentially costly by the industry. EDF didn't seem keen on this solution.
- B. Utilise an existing field. Here Shippers pointed to the 'Building Name' field which already flows within the AES file. This is cheaper and less complex but could have issues. E.g. Where the address has both a plot number and a building name. As this is a free format field maybe we could capture Plot Number & Building name in that single field dependent on field length.

These are the two solutions proposed by Shippers but there could be others that the UKLP need to analyse and propose alternative options to the above.

The UKLP need to undertake an impact assessment on this change proposal and develop a solution which will work for both the industry and Xoserve. Shippers on a t-con were considering raising SPAA changes and potential UNC mods. Xoserve have been able to head them off on the proviso that we explore what we can do to meet the requirement. We have approximately 2 weeks (by 20th July) to assess this before Shippers start to take things into their own hands and raise governance changes. This change is a result of external parties raising this and we need to consult on any changes to any File Format product.

Reason for Change / Justification:

This is a missed file format requirement from Wipro's initial file format baseline and is a requirement for NGD to receive from Xoserve to support their business processes. The file format will require some amended to be consistent with the new EWS (Emergency) file and to work in the new SAP ISU system. The file format needs to be documented with its hierarchy and Wipro will need to build this into SAP ISU, PO, AMT Marketflow etc.

Impacted System(s):

SAP ISU, SAP PO, AMT Marketflow and EFT