

### Change Proposal

### .DUP & .DUS file type registration in AMT

# Mod reference (where applicable): NA CDSP Reference: XRN4287

Document Stage	Version	Date	Author	Status
ROM Request / Change Proposal				Choose an item.
ROM Response				Choose an item.
Change Management Committee Outcome				Choose an item.
EQR				Choose an item.
Change Management Committee Outcome				Choose an item.
BER				Choose an item.
Change Management Committee Outcome				Choose an item.
CCR				Choose an item.
Change Management Committee Outcome				Choose an item.



#### **Document Purpose**

This document is intended to provide a single view of a change as it moves through the change journey. The document is constructed in a way that enables each section to build upon the details entered in the preceding section. The level of detail is built up in an incremental manner as the project progresses.

The template is aligned to the Change Management Procedures, as defined in the CDSP Service Document. The template is designed to remove the need for duplication of information. Where information is required in one section but has been previously captured in a previous section, the previous section will be referenced.

The summary table on the front page shows the history and the current status of the Change Proposal.

Section	Title	Responsibility
1	Proposed Change	Proposer / Mod Panel
2	ROM Request / Change Proposal	Proposer / Mod Panel
3	ROM Request Rejection	CDSP
4	Rough Order of Magnitude (ROM) Analysis	CDSP
5	Change Proposal: Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee
6	EQR: Change Proposal Rejection	CDSP
7	Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Notification of delivery date	CDSP
8	Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR)	CDSP
9	Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee
10	Business Evaluation Report (BER)	CDSP
11	Business Evaluation Report (BER): Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee
12	Change Completion Report (CCR)	CDSP
13	Change Completion Report (CCR): Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee
14	Document Template Version History	CDSP
Appendix	<u></u>	
A1	Glossary of Key Terms	N/A



### Section 1: Proposed Change

Please complete section 1 and 2 and specify within section 2 the output that is required from the CDSP

Originator Details				
Code military 1 D		Origin		0404 000 0004
Submitted By	Kiran Kumar		Contact Number	0121 623 2381
			Email Address	kiran.kumar2@xoserve.com
Customer Representative	Kiran Kumar		Contact Number	0121 623 2381
noprocomany c			Email Address	kiran.kumar2@xoserve.com
Subject Matter Expert/Network	Emma Smith		Contact Number	0121 623 2386
Lead			Email Address	Emma.Smith@xoserve.com
Customer Class		⊠ Shipper		
		☐ National Gr	id Transmission	
		☐ Distribution	Network Operator	
		□ iGT		
Overview of propo	osed change			
Change Details		Change Descr	iption:	
		request for a This functional new UKLink a Interface inverregistered in proposed that any catalogue to .INV file val format whenev external stakel  The second issumilar is the duplicate Unlike .DUP fused for AMT under fast trace	duplicate invoice which the ity exists in the legacy works well. It was observed in a tory list and during UAT AMT since it was not in this file type must be regulations for .DUP file type received in a top of the control of this file type must be regulations in AMT can be prover .DUP file is received in a nolders.  Sue although different will be situation with the .DUS file is supporting information in the control of the	the stakeholders as per their ley have received in the past. It and is carried forward to the the recent discussions on the that this file extension is not included in the IID. It is now istered in the AMT to facilitate ype. Since the format is similar performed against the .INV file a AMT to be sent across to the have the same solution.  The type which is meant to issue to the external stakeholders, a standard file format to be would need to be considered d as a valid file type in AMT.
		UKLP140: Supporting Qu	estions:	
		Answer – I 2. How does	this requirement impact th No this is a duplicate file a this requirement impact U ate file and not site specific	nd not site specific. nique Sites? Answer - No this



	<ol> <li>Are there any non-functional requirements linked to this change?         Answer - No</li> <li>Are the boundary conditions, if any, clearly defined? Answer – No this is a duplicate file and not site specific.</li> <li>Does the requirement require any additional consideration based on the class of site? Answer – No this is a duplicate file and not site specific.</li> <li>Does this CR have any links to other known CRs? Answer - No</li> </ol>
Reason(s) for proposed service change	If the above solution is not implemented then potentially we will not be able to fulfil the external stakeholder request to issue out duplicate invoice and supporting information from the new UKLink application
Status of related UNC Mod	
Full title of related UNC Mod	
Benefits of change	Design Gap
Required Change Implementation Date	May 2018
Please provide an assessment of the priority of this change from the perspective of the industry.	☐ High ☐ Medium ☐ Low Rationale for assessment:



# Section 2: Initial Assessment / ROM Request / Change Proposal

Service Level of	Evaluation	Services	
Quote/Estimate Robustness Requested	□Initial Ass	sessment (Mod related changes only)	
	□ROM esti	imate for Analysis and Delivery	
	CDSP Cha	nge Services	
	□Firm Quote for Analysis		
	□Firm Quo	te for both Analysis and Delivery	
Has any initial assessment	□Yes		
been performed in support of this change?	⊠No		
Is this considered to be a Priority	/ Service	□Yes (Mod Related)	
Change?		☐Yes (Legislation Change Related)	
		□No	
Is this change considered to relate to a 'restricted class' of customers?		☐Yes (please mark the customer class(es) to whom this is restricted)	
Consider if the particular change is		□No	
to impact those who fall under a particular customer class			
If it impacts all customer classes (i.e.		□Shippers	
Transmission, Distribution & Shippers) then choose 'No'.		□National Grid Transmission	
		□Distribution Network Operators	
		□iGT's	
Is it anticipated that the change would have an adverse impact on customers of any		□Yes (please give details)	
other customer classes?	J. wy	□No	
Please refer to appendix one for the	e definition		
of an 'adverse impact'			
General Service Changes Only (please ensure that either A or B below is completed)			
A) Customer view of impacted service area(s)  For a definition of the Service Areas, please see the 'Charge Base Apportionment Table' within the <u>Budget and Charging Methodology</u> . Please indicate the service area(s) that are understood to be impacted by the change. Please enter 'unknown' if relevant. Where the change is likely to impact more than one service area please indicate the percentage split of the impact across the impacted service areas. For example if it is split equally across two service areas then enter 50% in the 'split' against each service area.			
B) If the change is anticipated to require the creation of a new service area and service line please			
give further details stating	proposed nan	ne of new service area and title of service line:	



#### Specific Service Changes Only:

Please detail the proposed methodology (or amendment to the existing methodology) for determining Specific Service Change Charges.

Please detail the proposed basis (that is, Charging Measure and Charging Period) for determining Specific Service Change Charges in respect of the Specific Service.

#### Impacts to UKLink System or File Formats

Please mention if there are any expected impacts to UK Link Systems/File Formats. Any changes to it will need UK Link Committee approval

If it has already been through UK Link committee then please mention the date it was taken to the committee and detail the outcome

#### **Impacts UKL Manual Appendix 5b**

Mention the updates to be captured in the Appendix 5B of the UK Link Manual due to this Change

#### Impacts to Gemini System

#### Please give any other relevant information.

Recipient	Email
Xoserve Portfolio Office	changeorders@xoserve.com
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



### Section 3: ROM Request Acceptance

Is there sufficient detail within the ROM Request to enable a ROM Analysis to be produced?	□Yes □No
If no, please define the additional details that are required.	

If the ROM Request is not accepted. Please forward this document to the Portfolio Office for onward transmission to the Change Management Committee



### Section 4: ROM Analysis

This ROM is Xoserve's response to the above Evaluation Service Request. The response is intended to support customer involvement in the development of industry changes.

Should the request obtain approval for continuance then a Change Proposal must be raised for any further analysis / development.

#### Disclaimer:

This ROM Analysis has been prepared in good faith by Xoserve Limited but by its very nature is only able to contain indicative information and estimates (including without limitation those of time, resource and cost) based on the circumstances known to Xoserve at the time of its preparation. Xoserve accordingly makes no representations of accuracy or completeness and any representations as may be implied are expressly excluded (except always for fraudulent misrepresentation).

Where Xoserve becomes aware of any inaccuracies or omissions in, or updates required to, this Report it shall notify the Network Operators' Representative as soon as reasonably practicable but Xoserve shall have no liability in respect of any such inaccuracy or omission and any such liability as may be implied by law or otherwise is expressly excluded.

This Report does not, and is not intended to; create any contractual or other legal obligation on Xoserve.

© 2017 Xoserve Ltd

All rights reserved.

#### **ROM Analysis**

#### **Change Assessment**

High level indicative assessment of the change on the CDSP service description, on UKLink and any alternative options if applicable

#### **Change Impact:**

Initial assessment of whether the service change is / would have:

- a restricted class change,
- a priority service change
- an adverse impact on any customer classes

#### Change Costs (implementation):

An approximate estimate of the costs (or range of costs) where options are identified

#### Change Costs (on-going):

The approximate estimate of the impact of the service change on service charges

#### Timescales:

Details of timescale for the change i.e. 3months etc.

Details of when Xoserve could start this change i.e. the earliest is release X.

#### **Assumptions:**

Any key assumptions that have been made by Xoserve when providing the cost and or timescale

#### Dependencies:

Any material dependencies of the implementation on any other service changes



#### **Constraints:**

Any key constraints that are expected to impact the delivery of the service change

Recipient	Email
Xoserve Portfolio Office	changeorders@xoserve.com
Requesting Party	As specified in ROM Request



## Section 5: Change Proposal: Committee Outcome

The Change Proposal is approved. An EQR is requested	
Approved Change Proposal version	
The change proposal shall not proceed	
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the Change Proposal until a later meeting	Date of later meeting
The committee requires the proposer to make updates to the Change Proposal:	
Updates required:	



# Section 6: Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Change Proposal Rejection

Change Proposal Rejection				
	Yes		No	Is there sufficient detail within the Change Proposal to enable an EQR to be produced?  If no, please provide further details below.
Furth	er deta	ails red	quired:	

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



# Section 7: Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Notification of Delivery Date

Notification of EQR Delivery Date		
Original EQR delivery date:		
Revised EQR delivery date:		
Rationale for revision of delivery date:		

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



### Section 8: Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR)

Project Manager		Contact Nur	mber	
		Email Addre	ess	
Project Lead		Contact Nur	mber	
		Email Addre	ess	
Diagram annida an i		4 - 4 4 1 -		
Please provide an indicative assessment of the impact of the proposed change on:  i. CDSP Service Description  ii. CDSP Systems				
Approximate timescale for delivery of 'business evaluation report' (N.b this is from the date on which the EQR is approved.)				
preparation This can be expres	usiness evaluation resect as a range of costobably not more than	sts i.e. <i>'at</i>		
Does the CDSP agree with the 'Restricted class change' assessment (where provided)? Please refer to detail provided in the Change Proposal		□Yes □No (please give detail below)		
Does the CDSP agree with the 'Adverse Impact' assessment (where provided)? Please refer to detail provided in the Change Proposal		□Yes □No (please give detail below)		
Change' assessme	ree with the 'Priority 'nt (where provided)? ail provided in the Cha		□Yes □No (please give detail below)	
General service changes				
Does the CDSP agree with the assessment made in the Change Proposal regarding impacted service areas?  This should refer to whether the proposing party		□Yes □No (please give detail below)		



considers the service change to relate to an existing service area or whether is constitutes a new service area.	
Specific service changes	
Does the CDSP agree with the proposal made in the Change Proposal regarding specific change charges?  This should refer to the proposed methodology (or amendment to existing methodology) for determining the specific service charges and the proposed basis for determining the specific service change charges.	□Yes □No (please give detail below)
Please provide a draft amendment of the Specific Service Change Charge Annex setting out the methodology for determining Specific Service Change Charges proposed in the Change Proposal	
EQR validity period:	

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



# Section 9: Evaluation Quotation Report: Committee Outcome

The EQR is approved				
Approved EQR version				
The Change Proposal shall not proceed. The Change Proposal and this EQR shall lapse				
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the EQR until a later meeting			Date of later meeting	
The committee requires updates to the EQR:				
Updates required:				
General service changes only (The detail upon which the response w commented upon in the subsequent EC		based is originally defined in t	he change proposa	l and potentially
Does the committee agree with the assessment of the service area(s) to which the service lin belongs and the weighting of the impact?	ie	□ Yes □No		
If no, please enter the agreed service area(s) and the weighting:				
Specific service changes only (The detail upon which the response w potentially commented upon in the sub	sequ		he Change Propos	al and
Please confirm the methodolog for the determination of Specifi Service Change charges				
Please confirm the charging measure and charging period f the determination of Specific Service Change charges	for			_



## Section 10: Business Evaluation Report (BER)

Change Implementation Detail
1.) Detail changes required to the CDSP Service Description
2.) Detail modifications required to UK Link
3.) Detail changes required to appendix 5b of the UK Link Manual
o.) Detail changes required to appendix ob or the Ork Ellik Mandal
A \ Data il insurant an american areas duran and announces of the CDCD
4.) Detail impact on operating procedures and resources of the CDSP
5.) Implementation Plan
6.) Estimated implementation costs
6a.) How will the charging for the costs be allocated to different customer classes? (General Service Changes only)
Please mark % against each customer class:
National Grid Transmission
Distribution Network Operators and IGT's
DN Operator
IGT's
Shippers
100%
7.) Estimated impact of the service change on service charges
8.) Please detail any pre-requisite activities that must be completed by the customer prior to receiving or being
able to request the service.
·
Implementation Options
Please provide details on any alternative solution/implementation options: This should include:
(i) a description of each Implementation Option;
(ii) the advantages and disadvantages of each option
(iii) the CDSP preferred Implementation Option



Postricted Class Changes only
Restricted Class Changes only  Is there any change in the view of the CDSP on whether there would be an 'Adverse Impact' on customers.
Is there any change in the view of the CDSP on whether there would be an 'Adverse Impact' on customers outside the relevant customer class(es)?
□Yes (please give detail below)
□No
Dependencies:
Comptraints
Constraints:
Benefits:
Impacts:
Risks:



Assumptions:
Information Security:
Out of scope:
Please provide any additional information relevant to the proposed service change:

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



# Section 11: Business Evaluation Report: Committee Outcome

The BER is approved and the change can proceed					
Modification Changes Only Please ensure that the Transporters are formally informed of the Target Implementation Date					
Approved BER version					
The change proposal shall not proceed and the BER shall lapse					
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the BER until a later meeting	Date of later meeting				
The committee requires updates to the BER:					
Updates required:					



### Section 12: Change Completion Report (CCR)

Change Overview			
Please include detail on the following for the chosen implementation option: modifications to UKLink, impact on operating procedures and resources of the CDSP.  Actions required of the customer prior to the commencement date			
Please detail any difference	es between the solution that	was implemented and what w	as defined in the BER.
Detail the revised text of the	e CDSP Service Description	reflecting the change that has	been made
Were there any revisions to	the text of the UK Link Man	ual?	
☐Yes (please insert the re	vised text of the UK Link mar	nual below)	
□No			
Proposed Commencement Date		Actual Commencement Date	
Please provide an explanat	tion of any variance		
Please detail the main lessons learned from the project			



Service change costs			
Approved Costs (£)		Actual Costs (£)	
Reasons for variance	between approved and actual cos	sts:	

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk



# Section 13: Change Completion Report: Committee Outcome

The implementation is complete and the CCR is approved			
Approved CCR version			
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the CCR until a later meeting		Date of later meeting:	
The committee requires further information			
Further information required:			
The committee considers that the implementation is not complete			
Further action(s) required:			
The proposed changes to the CDSP Service Description or UK Link Manual are not correct			
Amendments to CDSP service description / UKLink ma	nual requir	ed:	



## Section 14: Document Template Version History

The purpose of this section is to keep a record of the changes to the overall version template and the individual sections within. It will be updated by the CDSP following approval of the template update by the Change Management Committee.

#### **Version History:**

Version	Status	Date	Author(s) Summary of Changes	
1.0	Approved		CDSP	Version Approved by Change Committee

--- END OF DOCUMENT ---



## Appendix One: Glossary

Term	Definition
Adverse Impact	A Service Change has or would have an Adverse Impact on Customers of a particular
	Customer Class if:
	(a) Implementing the Service Change would involve a modification of UK Link which
	would conflict with the provision of existing Services for which such Customer Class is a
	Relevant Customer Class;
	(b) the Service Change would involve the CDSP disclosing Confidential Information
	relating to such Customers to Customers of another Customer Class or to Third Parties;
	(c) Implementing the Service Change would conflict to a material extent with the
	Implementation of another Service Change (for which such Customer Class is a
	Relevant Customer Class) with an earlier Proposal Date and which remains Current,
	unless the Service Change is a Priority Service Change which (under the Priority
	Principles) takes priority over the other Proposed Service Change; or
	(d) Implementing the Service Change would have an Adverse Interface Impact for such
	Customers.
General Service	A service provided under the DSC to Customers or Customers of a Customer Class on
	a uniform basis.
Non-Priority	A Service Change which is not a Priority Service Change
Service Change	
Priority Service	A Modification Service Change;
Change	or
	A Service Change in respect of a Service which allows or facilitates compliance by a
	Customer or Customers with Law or with any document designated for the purposes of
	Section 173 of the Energy Act 2004 (including any such Law or document or change
	thereto which has been announced but not yet made).
Relevant	A Customer Class is a <b>Relevant Customer Class</b> in relation to a Service or a Service
Customer class	Change where Service Charges made or to be made in respect of such Service, or the
	Service subject to such Service Change, are or will be payable by Customers of that
	Customer Class
Restricted Class	Where, in relation to a Service Change, not all Customer Classes are Relevant
Change	Customer Classes, the Service Change is a <b>Restricted Class Change</b> ;
Service Change	A change to a Service provided under the DSC (not being an Additional Service), including:
	(i) the addition of a new Service or removal of an existing Service; and
	(ii) in the case of an existing Service, a change in any feature of the Service specified in
	the CDSP Service Description,
	and any related change to the CDSP Service Description
Specific Service	A service (other than Additional Services) available under the DSC to all Customer or
	Customers of a Customer Class but provided to a particular Customer only upon the
	order of the Customer.