

Change Proposal

Read Design Gaps - Missing Override Flags In RGMA and Retro Files

Mod reference (where applicable): NA CDSP Reference: XRN4281

Document Stage	Version	Date	Author	Status
ROM Request / Change Proposal				Choose an item.
ROM Response				Choose an item.
Change Management Committee Outcome				Choose an item.
EQR				Choose an item.
Change Management Committee Outcome				Choose an item.
BER				Choose an item.
Change Management Committee Outcome				Choose an item.
CCR				Choose an item.
Change Management Committee Outcome				Choose an item.



Document Purpose

This document is intended to provide a single view of a change as it moves through the change journey. The document is constructed in a way that enables each section to build upon the details entered in the preceding section. The level of detail is built up in an incremental manner as the project progresses.

The template is aligned to the Change Management Procedures, as defined in the CDSP Service Document. The template is designed to remove the need for duplication of information. Where information is required in one section but has been previously captured in a previous section, the previous section will be referenced.

The summary table on the front page shows the history and the current status of the Change Proposal.

Section	Title	Responsibility
1	Proposed Change	Proposer / Mod Panel
2	ROM Request / Change Proposal	Proposer / Mod Panel
3	ROM Request Rejection	CDSP
4	Rough Order of Magnitude (ROM) Analysis	CDSP
5	Change Proposal: Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee
6	EQR: Change Proposal Rejection	CDSP
7	Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Notification of delivery date	CDSP
8	Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR)	CDSP
9	Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee
10	Business Evaluation Report (BER)	CDSP
11	Business Evaluation Report (BER): Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee
12	Change Completion Report (CCR)	CDSP
13	Change Completion Report (CCR): Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee
14	Document Template Version History	CDSP
Appendix	<u></u>	
A1	Glossary of Key Terms	N/A



Section 1: Proposed Change

Please complete section 1 and 2 and specify within section 2 the output that is required from the CDSP

Contact Number Contact Number D121 623 2386 Email Address Emma.Smith@xoserve.com		Originator Details				
Contact Number Emma Smith Emma Smith Email Address Emma.Smith@xoserve.com	Submitted By	Emma Smith		Contact Number	0121 623 2386	
Email Address Emma.Smith@xoserve.com				Email Address	Emma.Smith@xoserve.com	
Email Address Emma.Smith@xoserve.com		Emma Smith		Contact Number	0121 623 2386	
Email Address Email Address Emma.Smith@xoserve.com Customer Class Shipper National Grid Transmission Distribution Network Operator Grid Grid Change Details Change Description: Requirement to include read validation to the reads supplied by Registered User in the RGMA and Retro update file flows, in order to achieve this the read tolerance override flag will be required to be added to the relevant file formats and validation to consider when the tolerance override flag has been set the by User. These design gaps have been discussed with Shippers and it has been agreed it will not be in place for day 1, however would expect this to be a priority for a later release therefore may not require impact assessment at this time. This CP has been generated on the back of deferred UKPL change UKLP IADBI073. Supporting Questions: 1. How does this requirement impact the services for iGT sites? Answer - All Sites 2. How does this requirement impact unique sites? Answer - Yes 3. Are there any non-functional requirements linked to this change? Answer - No 4. Are the boundary conditions, if any, clearly defined? Answer - Standard Validation for reads to be applied 5. Does the requirement require any additional consideration based on the class of site? Answer - No	Representative			Email Address	Emma.Smith@xoserve.com	
Customer Class Shipper National Grid Transmission Distribution Network Operator iGT Change Details Change Details Change Description: Requirement to include read validation to the reads supplied by Registered User in the RGMA and Retro update file flows, in order to achieve this the read tolerance override flag will be required to be added to the relevant file formats and validation to consider when the tolerance override flag has been set the by User. These design gaps have been discussed with Shippers and it has been agreed it will not be in place for day 1, however would expect this to be a priority for a later release therefore may not require impact assessment at this time. This CP has been generated on the back of deferred UKPL change UKLP IADBI073. Supporting Questions: 1. How does this requirement impact the services for iGT sites? Answer - All Sites 2. How does this requirement impact Unique sites? Answer - Yes 3. Are there any non-functional requirements linked to this change? Answer - No 4. Are the boundary conditions, if any, clearly defined? Answer - Standard Validation for reads to be applied 5. Does the requirement require any additional consideration based on the class of site? Answer - No		Emma Smith		Contact Number	0121 623 2386	
□ National Grid Transmission □ Distribution Network Operator □ iGT Change Details Change Description: Requirement to include read validation to the reads supplied by Registered User in the RGMA and Retro update file flows, in order to achieve this the read tolerance override flag will be required to be added to the relevant file formats and validation to consider when the tolerance override flag has been set the by User. These design gaps have been discussed with Shippers and it has been agreed it will not be in place for day 1, however would expect this to be a priority for a later release therefore may not require impact assessment at this time. This CP has been generated on the back of deferred UKPL change UKLP IADBI073. Supporting Questions: 1. How does this requirement impact the services for iGT sites? Answer - All Sites 2. How does this requirement impact Unique sites? Answer - Yes 3. Are there any non-functional requirements linked to this change? Answer - No 4. Are the boundary conditions, if any, clearly defined? Answer - Standard Validation for reads to be applied 5. Does the requirement require any additional consideration based on the class of site? Answer - No				Email Address	Emma.Smith@xoserve.com	
Change Description: Requirement to include read validation to the reads supplied by Registered User in the RGMA and Retro update file flows, in order to achieve this the read tolerance override flag will be required to be added to the relevant file formats and validation to consider when the tolerance override flag has been set the by User. These design gaps have been discussed with Shippers and it has been agreed it will not be in place for day 1, however would expect this to be a priority for a later release therefore may not require impact assessment at this time. This CP has been generated on the back of deferred UKPL change UKLP IADBI073. Supporting Questions: 1. How does this requirement impact the services for iGT sites? Answer - All Sites 2. How does this requirement impact Unique sites? Answer - Yes 3. Are there any non-functional requirements linked to this change? Answer - No 4. Are the boundary conditions, if any, clearly defined? Answer - Standard Validation for reads to be applied 5. Does the requirement require any additional consideration based on the class of site? Answer - No	Customer Class		□ National G☑ Distribution			
Requirement to include read validation to the reads supplied by Registered User in the RGMA and Retro update file flows, in order to achieve this the read tolerance override flag will be required to be added to the relevant file formats and validation to consider when the tolerance override flag has been set the by User. These design gaps have been discussed with Shippers and it has been agreed it will not be in place for day 1, however would expect this to be a priority for a later release therefore may not require impact assessment at this time. This CP has been generated on the back of deferred UKPL change UKLP IADBI073. Supporting Questions: 1. How does this requirement impact the services for iGT sites? Answer - All Sites 2. How does this requirement impact Unique sites? Answer - Yes 3. Are there any non-functional requirements linked to this change? Answer - No 4. Are the boundary conditions, if any, clearly defined? Answer - Standard Validation for reads to be applied 5. Does the requirement require any additional consideration based on the class of site? Answer - No	Overview of propo	osed change				
	Change Details Change Details Change Desails Requirement Registered Userhieve this total added to the tolerance over the tol			to include read validation ser in the RGMA and Rethe read tolerance override relevant file formats and varide flag has been set the gaps have been discussing to be in place for day 1, a later release therefore matchis time. Deen generated on the basical set in requirement impact All Sites is this requirement impact any non-functional requirement validation for reads to be requirement require any of site? Answer - No	ro update file flows, in order to e flag will be required to be validation to consider when the e by User. ed with Shippers and it has been however would expect this to be hay not require impact ck of deferred UKPL change the services for iGT sites? Unique sites? Answer - Yes rements linked to this change? c, clearly defined? Answer - e applied additional consideration based on	



service change	we hold. This is reflected by using the 'PAF Indicator' information that his currently held within UK Link. Therefore, if we are not able to report this information correctly Xoserve is failing in one of the services we provide and also will be reflecting an untrue and less positive position on the quality of our data. This would see a reduction in the trust our stakeholders will have in the service we provide and the data we hold.
Status of related UNC Mod	
Full title of related UNC Mod	
Benefits of change	Service Sustaining
Required Change Implementation Date	May 2018
Please provide an assessment	□High
of the priority of this change from the perspective of the	□Medium
industry.	□Low
	Rationale for assessment:



Section 2: Initial Assessment / ROM Request / Change Proposal

Service Level of	Evaluation	Services	
Quote/Estimate Robustness Requested	□Initial Ass	sessment (Mod related changes only)	
The state of the s		imate for Analysis and Delivery	
	CDSP Change Services		
	□Firm Quo	te for Analysis	
	☐Firm Quote for both Analysis and Delivery		
Has any initial assessment	□Yes		
been performed in support of this change?	⊠No		
Is this considered to be a Priority	Service	□Yes (Mod Related)	
Change?		□Yes (Legislation Change Related)	
		□No	
Is this change considered to rela 'restricted class' of customers?	te to a	☐Yes (please mark the customer class(es) to whom this is restricted)	
Consider if the particular change is		□No	
to impact those who fall under a particular customer class			
If it impacts all customer classes (i.e.		□Shippers	
Transmission, Distribution & Shippe		□National Grid Transmission	
choose 'No'.		□ Distribution Network Operators	
		□iGT's	
Is it anticipated that the change would have an adverse impact on customers of any		□Yes (please give details)	
other customer classes?	or uniy	□No	
Please refer to appendix one for the	a definition		
Please refer to appendix one for the definition of an 'adverse impact'			
General Service Changes Only (p	olease ensui	re that either A or B below is completed)	
A) Customer view of impacted service area(s) For a definition of the Service Areas, please see the 'Charge Base Apportionment Table' within the <u>Budget and Charging Methodology</u> . Please indicate the service area(s) that are understood to be impacted by the change. Please enter 'unknown' if relevant. Where the change is likely to impact more than one service area please indicate the percentage split of the impact across the impacted service areas. For example if it is split equally across two service areas then enter 50% in the 'split' against each service area.			
2)			
		e creation of a new service area and service line please ne of new service area and title of service line:	



Specific Service Changes Only:

Please detail the proposed methodology (or amendment to the existing methodology) for determining Specific Service Change Charges.

Please detail the proposed basis (that is, Charging Measure and Charging Period) for determining Specific Service Change Charges in respect of the Specific Service.

Impacts to UKLink System or File Formats

Please mention if there are any expected impacts to UK Link Systems/File Formats. Any changes to it will need UK Link Committee approval

If it has already been through UK Link committee then please mention the date it was taken to the committee and detail the outcome

Impacts UKL Manual Appendix 5b

Mention the updates to be captured in the Appendix 5B of the UK Link Manual due to this Change

Impacts to Gemini System

Please give any other relevant information.

Recipient	Email
Xoserve Portfolio Office	changeorders@xoserve.com
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 3: ROM Request Acceptance

Is there sufficient detail within the ROM Request to enable a ROM Analysis to be produced?	□Yes □No
If no, please define the additional details that are required.	

If the ROM Request is not accepted. Please forward this document to the Portfolio Office for onward transmission to the Change Management Committee



Section 4: ROM Analysis

This ROM is Xoserve's response to the above Evaluation Service Request. The response is intended to support customer involvement in the development of industry changes.

Should the request obtain approval for continuance then a Change Proposal must be raised for any further analysis / development.

Disclaimer:

This ROM Analysis has been prepared in good faith by Xoserve Limited but by its very nature is only able to contain indicative information and estimates (including without limitation those of time, resource and cost) based on the circumstances known to Xoserve at the time of its preparation. Xoserve accordingly makes no representations of accuracy or completeness and any representations as may be implied are expressly excluded (except always for fraudulent misrepresentation).

Where Xoserve becomes aware of any inaccuracies or omissions in, or updates required to, this Report it shall notify the Network Operators' Representative as soon as reasonably practicable but Xoserve shall have no liability in respect of any such inaccuracy or omission and any such liability as may be implied by law or otherwise is expressly excluded.

This Report does not, and is not intended to; create any contractual or other legal obligation on Xoserve.

© 2017 Xoserve Ltd

All rights reserved.

ROM Analysis

Change Assessment

High level indicative assessment of the change on the CDSP service description, on UKLink and any alternative options if applicable

Change Impact:

Initial assessment of whether the service change is / would have:

- a restricted class change,
- · a priority service change
- an adverse impact on any customer classes

Change Costs (implementation):

An approximate estimate of the costs (or range of costs) where options are identified

Change Costs (on-going):

The approximate estimate of the impact of the service change on service charges

Timescales:

Details of timescale for the change i.e. 3months etc.

Details of when Xoserve could start this change i.e. the earliest is release X.

Assumptions:

Any key assumptions that have been made by Xoserve when providing the cost and or timescale

Dependencies:

Any material dependencies of the implementation on any other service changes



Constraints:

Any key constraints that are expected to impact the delivery of the service change

Recipient	Email
Xoserve Portfolio Office	changeorders@xoserve.com
Requesting Party	As specified in ROM Request



Section 5: Change Proposal: Committee Outcome

The Change Proposal is approved. An EQR is requested		
Approved Change Proposal version		
The change proposal shall not proceed		
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the Change Proposal until a later meeting	Date of later meeting	
The committee requires the proposer to make updates to the Change Proposal:		
Updates required:		



Section 6: Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Change Proposal Rejection

	Change Proposal Rejection			
	Yes		No	Is there sufficient detail within the Change Proposal to enable an EQR to be produced? If no, please provide further details below.
Furth	ner deta	ails red	quired:	

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 7: Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Notification of Delivery Date

Notification of EQR Delivery Date			
Original EQR delivery date:			
Revised EQR delivery date:			
Rationale for revision of delivery date:			

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 8: Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR)

Project Manager		Contact Numbe		
		Email Addre	ess	
Project Lead		Contact Nur	mber	
		Email Addre	ess	
Discourse in the second	Post	r of the		
Please provide an indicative assessment of the impact of the proposed change on: i. CDSP Service Description ii. CDSP Systems				
Approximate timescale for delivery of 'business evaluation report' (N.b this is from the date on which the EQR is approved.)				
preparation This can be expres	usiness evaluation re sed as a range of cos robably not more than	of costs i.e. 'at		
Does the CDSP agree with the 'Restricted class change' assessment (where provided)? Please refer to detail provided in the Change Proposal		□Yes □No (please give detail below)		
Does the CDSP agree with the 'Adverse Impact' assessment (where provided)? Please refer to detail provided in the Change Proposal		□Yes □No (please give detail below)		
Change' assessme	ree with the 'Priority 'nt (where provided)? ail provided in the Ch		□Yes □No (please give detail below)	
General service changes				
Does the CDSP agree with the assessment made in the Change Proposal regarding impacted service areas? This should refer to whether the proposing party		□Yes □No (please give detail below)		



considers the service change to relate to an existing service area or whether is constitutes a new service area.	
Specific service changes	
Does the CDSP agree with the proposal made in the Change Proposal regarding specific change charges? This should refer to the proposed methodology (or amendment to existing methodology) for determining the specific service charges and the proposed basis for determining the specific service change charges.	□Yes □No (please give detail below)
Please provide a draft amendment of the Specific Service Change Charge Annex setting out the methodology for determining Specific Service Change Charges proposed in the Change Proposal	
EQR validity period:	

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 9: Evaluation Quotation Report: Committee Outcome

The EQR is approved				
Approved EQR version				
The Change Proposal shall not proceed. The Change Proposal and this EQR shall lapse				
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the EQR until a later meeting			Date of later meeting	
The committee requires updates to the EQR:				
Updates required:				
General service changes only (The detail upon which the response w commented upon in the subsequent EC		based is originally defined in th	e change proposa	l and potentially
Does the committee agree with the assessment of the service area(s) to which the service lin belongs and the weighting of the impact?	ne	□ Yes □No		
If no, please enter the agreed service area(s) and the weighting:				
Specific service changes only				
(The detail upon which the response w potentially commented upon in the sub	sequ		e Change Proposa	al and
Please confirm the methodolog for the determination of Specifi Service Change charges				
Please confirm the charging measure and charging period f the determination of Specific Service Change charges	for			



Section 10: Business Evaluation Report (BER)

Change Implementation Detail
1.) Detail changes required to the CDSP Service Description
2.) Detail modifications required to UK Link
2./ Botan modifications required to on Elink
3.) Detail changes required to appendix 5b of the UK Link Manual
4.) Detail impact on operating procedures and resources of the CDSP
5.) Implementation Plan
5.) Implementation Flan
6.) Estimated implementation costs
6a.) How will the charging for the costs be allocated to different customer classes?
(General Service Changes only)
Please mark % against each customer class:
National Grid Transmission
Distribution Network Operators and IGT's
DN Operator
IGT's
Shippers
100%
7.) Estimated impact of the service change on service charges
8.) Please detail any pre-requisite activities that must be completed by the customer prior to receiving or being
able to request the service.
Implementation Options
Please provide details on any alternative solution/implementation options:
This should include: (i) a description of each Implementation Option:
(i) a description of each Implementation Option; (ii) the advantages and disadvantages of each option
(iii) the CDSP preferred Implementation Option



Restricted Class Changes only
Is there any change in the view of the CDSP on whether there would be an 'Adverse Impact' on customers
outside the relevant customer class(es)?
□Yes (please give detail below)
□No
Dependencies:
Constraints:
Benefits:
Impacts:
·
Risks:



Assumptions:
Information Security:
Out of scope:
Please provide any additional information relevant to the proposed service change:

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 11: Business Evaluation Report: Committee Outcome

The BER is approved and the change can proceed					
Modification Changes Only Please ensure that the Transporters are formally informed of the Target Implementation Date					
Approved BER version					
The change proposal shall not proceed and the BER shall lapse					
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the BER until a later meeting	Date of later meeting				
The committee requires updates to the BER:					
Updates required:					



Section 12: Change Completion Report (CCR)

Change Overview			
Please include detail on the following for the chosen implementation option: modifications to UKLink, impact on operating procedures and resources of the CDSP. Actions required of the customer prior to the commencement date			
Please detail any difference	es between the solution that	was implemented and what w	as defined in the BER.
Detail the revised text of the	e CDSP Service Description	reflecting the change that has	s been made
Were there any revisions to	the text of the UK Link Man	ual?	
☐Yes (please insert the re	vised text of the UK Link mar	nual below)	
□No			
Proposed Commencement Date		Actual Commencement Date	
Please provide an explanat	ion of any variance		
Please detail the main lessons learned from the project			



Service change costs			
Approved Costs (£)		Actual Costs (£)	
Reasons for variance	between approved and actual cos	sts:	

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 13: Change Completion Report: Committee Outcome

The implementation is complete and the CCR is approved			
Approved CCR version			
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the CCR until a later meeting		Date of later meeting:	
The committee requires further information			
Further information required:			
The committee considers that the implementation is not complete			
Further action(s) required:			
The proposed changes to the CDSP Service Description or UK Link Manual are not correct			
Amendments to CDSP service description / UKLink ma	nual requir	ed:	



Section 14: Document Template Version History

The purpose of this section is to keep a record of the changes to the overall version template and the individual sections within. It will be updated by the CDSP following approval of the template update by the Change Management Committee.

Version History:

Version	Status	Date	Author(s) Summary of Changes	
1.0	Approved		CDSP	Version Approved by Change Committee

--- END OF DOCUMENT ---



Appendix One: Glossary

Term	Definition
Adverse Impact	A Service Change has or would have an Adverse Impact on Customers of a particular
, avoice impact	Customer Class if:
	(a) Implementing the Service Change would involve a modification of UK Link which
	would conflict with the provision of existing Services for which such Customer Class is a
	Relevant Customer Class;
	(b) the Service Change would involve the CDSP disclosing Confidential Information
	relating to such Customers to Customers of another Customer Class or to Third Parties;
	(c) Implementing the Service Change would conflict to a material extent with the
	Implementation of another Service Change (for which such Customer Class is a
	Relevant Customer Class) with an earlier Proposal Date and which remains Current,
	unless the Service Change is a Priority Service Change which (under the Priority
	Principles) takes priority over the other Proposed Service Change; or
	(d) Implementing the Service Change would have an Adverse Interface Impact for such
	Customers.
General Service	A service provided under the DSC to Customers or Customers of a Customer Class on
	a uniform basis.
Non-Priority	A Service Change which is not a Priority Service Change
Service Change	
Priority Service	A Modification Service Change;
Change	or
	A Service Change in respect of a Service which allows or facilitates compliance by a
	Customer or Customers with Law or with any document designated for the purposes of
	Section 173 of the Energy Act 2004 (including any such Law or document or change
	thereto which has been announced but not yet made).
Relevant	A Customer Class is a Relevant Customer Class in relation to a Service or a Service
Customer class	Change where Service Charges made or to be made in respect of such Service, or the
	Service subject to such Service Change, are or will be payable by Customers of that
	Customer Class
Restricted Class	Where, in relation to a Service Change, not all Customer Classes are Relevant
Change	Customer Classes, the Service Change is a Restricted Class Change ;
Service Change	A change to a Service provided under the DSC (not being an Additional Service), including:
	(i) the addition of a new Service or removal of an existing Service; and
	(ii) in the case of an existing Service, a change in any feature of the Service specified in
	the CDSP Service Description,
	and any related change to the CDSP Service Description
Specific Service	A service (other than Additional Services) available under the DSC to all Customer or
	Customers of a Customer Class but provided to a particular Customer only upon the
	order of the Customer.