

UNC Modification Panel
Minutes of Meeting 296 held on
Thursday 15 September 2022
via teleconference

Attendees

Voting Panel Members:

Shipper Representatives	Transporter Representatives	Consumer Representatives
D Fittock (DF), Corona Energy D Morley (DM), Ovo Energy <i>from 11:00</i> O Chapman (OC), Centrica and on behalf of M Bellman and on behalf of D Morley R Fairholme (RF), Uniper S Mulinganie (SM), SEFE Energy <i>until 11:30</i>	K Matiringe (KM), BUUK D Mitchell (DMi), SGN G Dosanjh (GD), Cadent J Bates (JB), National Grid NTS T Saunders (TS), Northern Gas Networks and on behalf of R Pomroy	S Hughes (SH), Citizens Advice E Proffitt (EP), Major Energy User's Council and on behalf of S Mulinganie

Non-Voting Panel Members:

Chairperson	Ofgem Representative	Independent Supplier Representative
W Goldwag (WG), Chair	J Semple (JS)	(None)

Also, in Attendance:

- A Adams (AA), National Grid NTS
- D Addison (DA), Xoserve
- D Turpin (DT), Xoserve
- E Rogers (ER), Xoserve - CDSP Representative
- H Moss (HM), Cornwall Insight
- L Greenwood (LG), Centrica
- L Jauss (LJ), RWE

M Bhowmick-Jewkes (MBJ), Joint Office

P Garner (PG), Joint Office

R Hailes (RH), Joint Office

T Lattimore (TL), Gemserv

Y Reid-Healy (YRH), Joint Office

Record of Discussions

296.1 Introduction

The UNC Modification Panel Chair, Wanda Goldwag (WG), welcomed all attendees.

WG noted the death of Her Majesty The Queen and expressed condolences on behalf of the UNC Modification Panel at this sad time.

296.2 Note of any alternates attending the meeting

E Proffitt on behalf of S Mulinganie from 11:30

K Matiringe on behalf of A Travell

O Chapman on behalf of D Morley until 11:00

T Saunders on behalf of R Pomroy

296.3 Record of apologies for absence

S Mulinganie, SEFE Energy

A Travell, BUUK

R Pomroy, Wales & West Utilities

296.4 Minutes of the last meetings 18 August 2022

P Garner (PG) advised some amendments were suggested for the minutes of 18 August 2022 Modification Panel.

Panel Members approved the amended minutes.

296.5 Review of Outstanding Action(s)

PAN 07/01: JGAC to consider the recording of Panel meetings.

Update: PG advised that JGAC would be meeting on 26 September 2022, further to which an update would be provided at the October Panel.

Carried Over

PAN 08/01: Joint Office (KE) to review Modification awaiting Ofgem decisions on the basis of age and whether they are out of date and can be closed.

Update: An update will be provided at the October Panel.

Carried Forward

PAN 08/03: Joint Office (RH) to liaise with ENA and Metering Experts group on where the Gas Meter By-Pass Arrangements Guidance Note and Flow Chart should be published.

Update: An update will be provided at the October Panel.

Carried Forward

296.6 Consider Urgent Modifications

- a) None.

296.7 Consider Variation Request

- a) None.

296.8 Final Modification Reports

a) Modification 0805 - Introduction of Weekly NTS Exit Capacity Auctions

Panel Discussion: see documents published at:
<https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0805>

P Garner (PG) noted of the 8 representations received 3 supported implementation, 2 provided comments and 3 were not in support.

WG noted the main issue appeared to be that some parties felt that the Modification was discriminatory.

T Saunders (TS) suggested that representations opposing the Modification were likely due to the discrimination resulting from excluding Gas Distribution Network Operators (DNs). TS added that the proposal stops DN's from using weekly auctions, which is discriminatory. It was noted that responses in favour of the Modification were submitted by Shippers whilst those representations opposed were submitted by DN's.

J Bates (JB) noted that the exclusion of DN's from weekly auctions would not hinder National Grid.

JB asked how the issues identified by respondents could be addressed.

S Mulinganie (SM) explained that if parties do not support a proposed Modification, they should raise an alternative Modification. Whilst a number of parties had expressed their dissatisfaction with this Modification, they had not proposed any alternates, although Workgroup discussions had highlighted that the Proposer of this Modification would not amend the Modification.

R Fairholme (RF) agreed with this view noting that this Modification was raised by Shippers, for Shippers, and DN's were welcome to raise an alternative proposal if they were dissatisfied with what this Modification was proposing. RF added that the capacity booking methodology for DN's was completely different and therefore this Modification should not have any direct impact on them.

S Hughes (SH) highlighted that this Modification cannot be implemented before November 2023, although the justification for raising the Modification is the benefits it would bring during the winter of 2022. If it is not implementable by winter 2022, the position of the Modification changes materially.

RF agreed that the Modification would have been more beneficial if it was implemented by winter 2022.

SM remarked that whilst implementing this Modification in time for winter 2022 would be helpful, it was not predicated on being implemented in 2022 as the Modification delivers an enduring benefit. Therefore, whilst earlier delivery would be great, it was not essential.

TS highlighted the points raised in R Pomroy's (RP's) response on why this Modification was discriminatory to DNs.

RF noted that it was clear to highlight that the Modification does not actively deny DNs from doing anything.

JB noted that Modifications are raised to put forward proposals to make the market efficient and therefore Panel should not take the lack of alternatives having been raised as an indication of the Modification being supported by the industry.

SH agreed with this view and noted that the Modification may be discriminatory.

SM advised that the Modification had been developed for the inclusion of all parties and that it was important to identify that there were routes available for parties to address any issues whilst the Modification was under development. If these routes have not been taken up, the progression of the Modification should not be hindered.

Legal Text:

TS suggested that the Legal Text for the Modification was contradictory and could result in a misconception that DNs were not being excluded from weekly capacity auctions, when they were.

SH asked if the Legal Text was factually incorrect.

WG asked for National Grid's views as the Legal Text provider. JB explained that the Legal Text was factually and legally correct, even if it was inelegant, as it was understandable in plain English. TS acknowledged this view.

Panel Members discussed at length whether the Legal Text could be amended at this stage. Further to discussions, TS confirmed she was satisfied that the Legal Text does in fact deliver the intent of the solution and that she was not proposing any amendments to it. Panel Members agreed that the Legal text was fit for purpose.

Implementation:

JB agreed with SH's view on the Modification's position changing materially if it was not implemented in time for winter 2022, noting that the Rough Order of Magnitude (ROM) advises that the Modification cannot be implemented until October 2023 and implementation would incur costs of £280k - £365k.

E Rogers (ER) confirmed this and noted that the work to implement these changes has not yet begun. ER advised that a 3 month lead time would be required to start works plus 20 - 22 weeks to carry out the systems change, subject to DSC Change Management Committee prioritisation.

Panel Members then determined that (14 Panel votes were available for the determinations):

- No new issues were identified during consultation, by unanimous vote (14 out of 14).
- Modification 0805 is not related to the Significant Code Review, by unanimous vote (14 out of 14).
- Modification 0805 has no Cross Code impacts, by unanimous vote (14 out of 14).
- Modification 0805 not recommended for implementation, by majority vote (9 out of 14).

b) Modification 0806 - Change to Curtailment Trade Price Compensation in Section Q

Panel Discussion: see documents published at: <https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0806>

RH noted of the 4 representations received 3 supported implementation and 1 was not in support.

Whilst Panel Members agreed that this Modification may result in an increase to the value of payments made to consumers who are subject to involuntary curtailment in a Gas Deficit Emergency (GDE) and that implementation may reduce the likelihood of a GDE because Users would be better incentivised to procure gas in advance.

EP on behalf of SM remarked that only parties likely to benefit from this Modification were gas fired power generators who are potentially less likely to be subject to involuntary interruption as it is the Shipper who is subject to a greater penalty for being short.

D Fittock (DF) noted that this Modification was being raised when generators were being extremely profitable.

JB did not agree with the proposal asking how a payment for being curtailed in emergency would incentivise early contracts.

RF noted that the contracts or pre-emergency tools available are not suitable in this situation and Modification 0822 (Urgent) - *Reform of Gas Demand Side Response Arrangements* raised by National Grid may help industrial customers but doesn't assist gas fired electricity generators.

SH remarked that the point about fair price was worth noting to clarify the purpose of the payments.

JB suggested that it would be difficult to guarantee that this Modification would reduce the risk to security of supply.

RF advised that to prevent risk to the market including any risk to balancing, this is a last resort measure and therefore a market reflective price is appropriate.

Panel Members discussed the Relevant Objectives.

Panel Members then determined that (14 Panel votes were available for the determinations):

- No new issues were identified during consultation, by unanimous vote (14 out of 14).
- Modification 0806 is not related to the Significant Code Review, by unanimous vote (14 out of 14).
- Modification 0806 has no Cross Code impacts, by unanimous vote (14 out of 14).
- Modification 0806 not recommended for implementation, by majority vote (13 out of 14).

c) Modification 0809S - Distribution of Last Resort Supplier Payment (LRSP) claims to include IGT sites

Panel Discussion: see documents published at: <https://www.gasgovernance.co.uk/0809>

RH noted of the 5 representations received 4 supported implementation, and 1 provided comments.

TS, the Proposer, suggested that the Modification did not meet the Self Governance criteria due to the expectation from Ofgem within their response to the “IGT LRSP Open Letter” that their expectation is for a review of the proposed Modification 0809.

Panel members agreed with this view and voted that this Modification did not meet the Self-Governance criteria.

It was noted that implementation of this Modification should ideally be by 01 April 2023 to align with the next DN charging year. Panel Members noted that this may be impacted by the change of governance of the Modification to Authority Direction and Ofgem should note the lead times required to implement this Modification for 01 April 2023.

ER advised that the expectation is that systems implementation will be accommodated in the February 2023 release.

TS noted that this Modification does not change Modification 0797 (Urgent) - *Last Resort Supply Payments Volumetric Charges* but adds clarity in relation to IGT sites being included in LRSP claim calculations and their corresponding charges from April 2023. This clarity is in line with both the open letters from Ofgem in relation to the current charging year, and with how IGT sites were treated prior to April 2022.

SH highlighted IGTs already have a licence condition to recover Last Resort Supplier Payments from their system users. D Mitchell (DMi) agreed with this view.

Panel Members discussed the Relevant Objectives. TS noted on behalf of RP that the Charging Methodology Relevant Objectives were not applicable to this Modification as Supplier of Last Resort (SoLR) charges are not transportation charges.

EP advised on behalf of SM that Cross Code impacts should be noted. T Lattimore (TL), the IGT Representative clarified that there was no actual impact on the IGT UNC from this Modification.

Panel Members then determined that (14 Panel votes were available for the determinations):

- No new issues were identified during consultation, by unanimous vote (14 out of 14).
- Modification 0809 does not meet the Self-Governance criteria, by unanimous vote (14 out of 14).
- Modification 0809 is not related to the Significant Code Review, by unanimous vote (14 out of 14).
- Modification 0809 has no Cross Code impacts, by majority vote (13 out of 14).
- Modification 0809 recommended to be implemented, by majority (13 out of 14).

296.9 Consider New, Non-Urgent Modifications

a) Modification 0818 – Releasing of unused capacity under a specific set of circumstances

T Saunders (TS) presented this Modification, explaining that this Modification is seeking to address the capacity which may remain unused. This is only an issue for other Shippers and end consumers where there is limited capacity available on the same part of the system. This Modification is to release the unused capacity only where a site meets set specific criteria.

TS suggested the Modification should report back to Panel in four months. PG advised that the Joint Office would suggest a 6 month period instead with early reporting if the Modification was developed sufficiently.

It was noted that this Modification will be included in the Distribution Workgroup. RH explained that the Distribution Workgroup is currently extremely busy, which is why a longer timeframe for the Modification is being requested.

WG agreed with a 6 month timeframe for the Modification with the ability to report early if possible.

Panel agreed to vote on both options.

EP noted he would submit an initial representation via email for consideration at the first Workgroup.

K Matiringe (KM) noted that there may be IGT impacts from this Modification as some IGTs have Class One meters. TL clarified that as CSEPs were excluded, there should not be any impact on IGTs. KM accepted this.

Panel Questions:

Q1. This Modification gives the DNs the power to dictate large DM sites that have booked capacity and not used it, which may be for a number of reasons – one of which currently may be due to increased gas costs. Where no relationship exists between the customer and the DN, do Workgroup members think this is appropriate where DN decisions may have adverse impacts on large sites such as I&C production sites and hospitals?

Q2. The Modification directs that communications regarding this imposed capacity reduction are passed to the customer via the Shipper. What would happen if this communication route breaks down and the DN imposes a capacity reduction on the customer without their knowledge? Would the DN carry the legal liability for any losses incurred by the customer?

Q3. What costs have been incurred by consumers as a result of the issue set out in the problem statement?

For Modification 0818 Members determined (14 Panel votes were available for the determinations):

- It is not related to the Significant Code Review, by unanimous vote (14 out of 14).
- There are no Cross-Code impacts, by unanimous vote (14 out of 14).
- The criteria for Self-Governance is not met, as this Modification is likely to have a material effect on competition in the shipping, transportation or supply of gas conveyed through pipes or any commercial activities connected with the shipping, transportation or supply of gas conveyed through pipes, by unanimous vote (14 out of 14).
- Modification 0818 not to be issued to Workgroup 0818 for 4 months with a report to be presented to the 19 January 2023 Panel, by majority vote (11 out of 14).

- Modification 0818 issued to Workgroup 0818 for 6 months with a report to be presented to the 16 March 2023 Panel, by majority vote (11 out of 14).

b) Modification 0819 - Establishing/Amending a Gas Vacant Site Process

L Greenwood (LG) introduced this Modification, advising that this Modification seeks to provide Shippers with the ability to effectively manage their Settlement Performance Obligations and Transportation Costs for Vacant sites.

Panel Questions:

Q1. What PAC monitoring should be in place for this Modification?

For Modification 0819 Members determined (14 Panel votes were available for the determinations):

- It is not related to the Significant Code Review, by unanimous vote (14 out of 14).
- There are Cross-Code impacts, by unanimous vote (14 out of 14).
- The criteria for Self-Governance is not met, as this Modification is likely to have a material effect on competition in the shipping, transportation or supply of gas conveyed through pipes or any commercial activities connected with the shipping, transportation or supply of gas conveyed through pipes, by majority vote (13 out of 14).
- Modification 0819 issued to Workgroup 0819 with a report to be presented to the 16 March 2023 Panel, by unanimous vote (14 out of 14).

c) Modification 0820 - Clarification on how many decimal places the Conditional NTS Capacity Charge Discount is calculated to

A Adams (AA) presented this Modification, explaining that two clarifications in the legal text have been noted when compared with the solution proposed and approved under Modification 0728B (Urgent) - *Introduction of a Conditional Discount for Avoiding Inefficient Bypass of the NTS*. This Modification is proposing a housekeeping change to amend the legal text to match the solution and the practical implementation of UNC Modification 0728B.

TS noted on behalf of RP that the error being rectified most likely arose as an unintended consequence of raising Modification 0728B as an Urgent Modification.

Panel Members agreed this was a housekeeping Modification and the Fast Track Self-Governance criteria were being met.

For Modification 0820 Members determined (14 Panel votes were available for the determinations):

- It is not related to the Significant Code Review, by unanimous vote (14 out of 14).
- There are no Cross-Code impacts, by unanimous vote (14 out of 14).
- The criteria for Self-Governance is met, as this Modification is unlikely to have a material effect on competition in the shipping, transportation or supply of gas conveyed through pipes or any commercial activities connected with the shipping, transportation or supply of gas conveyed through pipes, by unanimous vote (14 out of 14).
- The criteria for Fast Track Self-Governance is met, by unanimous vote (14 out of 14).
- To implement Modification 0820FT, by unanimous vote in favour (14 out of 14).

d) Modification 0821 - Alignment of TPD Section I Licence Reference to the current National Grid Gas Licence

A Adams (AA) presented this Modification, explaining this housekeeping Modification proposes to update a reference to National Grid's Gas Licence within UNC TPD Section I to align it to the National Grid Gas (NGG) Licence applicable from 01 April 2021.

Panel Members agreed this was a housekeeping Modification and the Fast Track Self-Governance criteria were being met.

For Modification 0821 Members determined (14 Panel votes were available for the determinations):

- It is not related to the Significant Code Review, by unanimous vote (14 out of 14).
- There are no Cross-Code impacts, by unanimous vote (14 out of 14).
- The criteria for Self-Governance is met, as this Modification is unlikely to have a material effect on competition in the shipping, transportation or supply of gas conveyed through pipes or any commercial activities connected with the shipping, transportation or supply of gas conveyed through pipes, by unanimous vote (14 out of 14).
- The criteria for Fast Track Self-Governance is met, by unanimous vote (14 out of 14).
- To implement Modification 0821FT, by unanimous vote in favour (14 out of 14).

e) Modification 0823 - Amendment to the Allocation of Entry Capacity and Flow Quantities to Qualifying CNCCD Routes

L Jauss (LJ) presented this Modification, explaining this Modification seeks to amend the apportionment of Entry Capacity and Entry Flow between multiple Conditional NTS Capacity Charge Discount qualifying routes that share an Entry Point, so that both are based on the minimum of the Exit Capacity and the Exit Flow at the Exit Point of each route.

TS noted on behalf of RP that exit and entry capacity were not tied together and whether the Workgroup should consider this issue.

WG asked if this was a housekeeping Modification. LJ clarified that whilst the principal of the proposal was straightforward, the calculations were complex and therefore required Workgroup discussions.

JB remarked that whilst the solution being proposed was interesting, it would impact on charging as well as consumers as there will be change to pricing arising out of this. JB suggested these were material changes and therefore this Modification did not meet the Self-Governance criteria. RH agreed with this view.

PG asked whether any analysis was required to support this Modification. LJ explained that she had carried out some calculations, including identifying the number of routes required and the small proportion of Users who used these routes. LJ noted that the ROM had been created based on this. However, LJ added that additional data was not readily available and needed to be gathered from National Grid.

PG asked if National Grid were able to share the data required within 3 months for parties to carry out their own analysis. JB advised NG would make this data available.

New PAN 09/01: National Grid (JB) to provide data required for analysis on Modification 0823 - *Amendment to the Allocation of Entry Capacity and Flow Quantities to Qualifying CNCCD Routes* at the NTSCMF Workgroup.

Panel Questions:

Q1. Given it was the principle that exit and entry were not tied together, this seems to define entry capacity by reference to exit capacity or usage. Can Workgroup comment on this please?

Q2. Consider appropriate Governance route.

Q3. What analysis is required to assess this Modification?

For Modification 0823 Members determined (14 Panel votes were available for the determinations):

- It is not related to the Significant Code Review, by unanimous vote (14 out of 14).
- There are no Cross-Code impacts, by unanimous vote (14 out of 14).
- The criteria for Self-Governance is met, as this Modification is unlikely to have a material effect on competition in the shipping, transportation or supply of gas conveyed through pipes or any commercial activities connected with the shipping, transportation or supply of gas conveyed through pipes, by majority vote (8 out of 14).
- Modification 0823S issued to Workgroup 0823S with a report to be presented to the 15 December 2023 Panel, by unanimous vote (14 out of 14).

296.10 Existing Modifications for Reconsiderations

J Semple (JS) provided the following update:

- There is a new EDD for Modification 0761 of 23 April 2023 as Ofgem have pushed the date back to focus on the Winter period.
- For Modification 0814, Ofgem are awaiting additional analysis and will resume the decision-making process as soon as possible.
- A decision on Modification 0746 to be published on 27 September 2022.

296.11 Workgroup Issues/Updates

- a) None.

296.12 Workgroup Reports for Consideration

a) Modification 0808 - Reverse Compression

Panel Members noted the Workgroup Report recommended that this proposal requires further assessment and should be returned to Workgroup with an extension to the reporting time of 2 months.

PG noted a deferral may be more appropriate than requesting a further extension. PG provided a brief background for this Modification noting that the Legal Text provider and Proposer's views were not aligned. PG thanked the SGN, the Legal Text provider for their efforts to engage with the Proposer.

DMi noted that the Modification had been underdeveloped when SGN had received the Legal Text request. Legal advice had suggested significant amendments were required to the Modification and this feedback was provided to the Proposer, but the Modification has not been updated.

PG suggested that the Workgroup Chair would formally write to the Proposer requesting the Proposer to engage.

New PAN 09/02: Joint Office (RH) to write to Proposer of Modification 0808 and Legal Text Provider to address the issues with developing this Modification, with an update to be brought to the October Panel.

For Modification 0808, Members determined (14 Panel votes were available for the determinations):

- Workgroup 0808 deferred to October 2022 Panel for Proposer to provide an update and agreed to extend for 2 months, by unanimous vote (14 out of 14).

296.13 Modification Reporting

Workgroup Extension Request

Modification	Current reporting date	Requested Panel reporting date	Reason for request to change Panel reporting date/Comments
0808 - Reverse Compression	15 September 2022	November 2022	2 months
0811S - Shipper Agreed Read (SAR) exceptions process	20 October 2022	November 2022	1 month
0815S - DSC Committee Quoracy	20 October 2022	November 2022	1 month

Legal Text Request:

None.

296.14 AOB

a) Xoserve Update

D Turpin (DT) provided an update on the Energy Price Guarantee Scheme, noting that over the last two weeks, Xoserve had been liaising with BEIS to discuss how to administer this and that an Urgent Modification would be raised to allow payments to Suppliers, and which would appoint the CDSP as the Scheme Administrator. The change needs to be effective by 01 October 2022. The Modification had been drafted and was intended to insert the administration of the Scheme as a CDSP Service.

DT outlined the proposed timeline that would mean that the Modification would need to be provided to Ofgem as soon as possible in order for the Authority to implement the Modification with effect from 01 October 2022.

Post Meeting Update: the Modification timeline has been updated to reflect that the Modification is required to be with Ofgem by 23 September 2022 to meet the required implementation timeline.

DT advised that the Legal Text was to be produced. Parties were requested to approach Xoserve if they were willing to sponsor the Modification. WG asked Panel Members for any volunteers. TS offered to sponsor the Urgent Modification and produce the Legal Text. DT thanked TS.

SH asked how closely this Modification would be aligned with the BSC Modification proposing the same thing for electricity. DT explained that it would be closely aligned to the BSC P446 Modification¹.

b) Bank Holiday for 19th September 2022 for HRH the Queen's Funeral

D Addison (DA) attended to advise that Xoserve had updated the UK Link Calendar on 14 September to reflect 19 September 2022 as a Non Business Day and as a Non Supply Point System Business Day.

DA highlighted that given the notice provided by the Government some business processes had been initiated in UK Link systems prior to the new Bank Holiday being held in UK Link systems. As a consequence, some effective dates had been calculated and some transactions had been accepted without taking account of 19 September being a non-working Day. DA proposed leaving these dates and transaction outcomes as is without undertaking complex data-fixes and reversal actions (for which there was no precedent in the UNC).

¹ <https://www.elexon.co.uk/consultation/p446-urgent-modification-consultation/>

A full assessment of the impacts of the Bank Holiday was requested by a Panel Member. DA indicated that this was not possible given the scale of processes in UNC that referenced Business Days or Supply Point System Business Days – but the processes that DA had identified in UK Link were specific to Supply Point Administration activities (such as AQ management and Supply Point Amendments) so were likely to impact Shippers rather than Transporters.

DA indicated that if parties had questions related to specific processes he would investigate as required.

c) Update on User Representative Appointment Process

H Bennett (HB) provided a brief update on the User Representative process. Please see published slides for full details.

d) December Panel

G Dosanjh (GD) asked when Panel would meet in person. PG advised that the Joint Office would try to arrange face to face Panel for December at Elexon's offices in London.

e) Code Governance Update

PG noted with the new Prime Minister, the Energy Bill proposed under the Code Governance Review may be abandoned. If so, the proposed plans for Code reform could potentially no longer be progressed. PG asked JS for her views.

JS advised that Ofgem cannot speculate on the future of the Energy Bill, but an update is expected after Parliament reconvenes.

296.15 Date of Next Meeting(s): 10:00 Thursday 20 October 2022, by teleconference

Action Table (15 September 2022)

Action Ref	Meeting Date	Minute Ref	Action	Owner	Status Update	Date of Expected update
PAN 07/01	21/07/22	292.4	JGAC to consider the recording of Panel meetings.	Joint Office (PG)	Carried Forward	20 October 2022
PAN 08/01	18/08/22	294.5	Joint Office (KE) to review Modification awaiting Ofgem decisions on the basis of age and whether they are out of date and can be closed.	Joint Office (KE)	Carried Forward	20 October 2022
PAN 08/03	18/08/22	294.12 a)	Joint Office (RH) to liaise with ENA and Metering Experts group on where the Gas Meter By-Pass Arrangements Guidance Note and Flow Chart should be published.	Joint Office (RH)	Carried Forward	20 October 2022
PAN 09/01	15/09/22	296.9 f)	National Grid (JB) to provide data required for analysis on Modification 0823 - Amendment to the Allocation of Entry Capacity and Flow Quantities to Qualifying CNCCD Routes at the NTSCMF Workgroup.	National Grid (JB)	Pending	20 October 2022
PAN 09/02	15/09/22	296.12 a)	Joint Office (RH) to write to Proposer of Modification 0808 and Legal Text Provider to address the issues with developing this Modification, with an update to be brought to the October Panel.	Joint Office (RH)	Pending	20 October 2022